

Advanced Civil Engineering Models for Sustainable Infrastructure

Prof. Adam Zybura

Professor, Silesian University of Technology, Poland

Abstract

Sustainable infrastructure development requires civil engineering models that integrate structural performance, environmental responsibility, resource efficiency, economic feasibility, and long-term resilience. Traditional infrastructure models frequently emphasize initial construction requirements while giving comparatively limited attention to whole-life environmental impacts, climate risks, material circularity, and adaptive performance.

This paper examines advanced civil engineering models for sustainable infrastructure through a conceptual and descriptive research approach based on established academic literature, engineering frameworks, sustainability standards, and infrastructure-development research. The analysis focuses on integrated lifecycle modeling, sustainable material selection, resilience-oriented infrastructure planning, digital engineering, and performance optimization.

The findings indicate that advanced models can improve resource utilization, reduce environmental impacts, strengthen infrastructure resilience, and support more informed decision-making throughout planning, construction, operation, and maintenance stages. The study emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary engineering approaches that connect structural analysis with environmental assessment, digital technologies, lifecycle thinking, and adaptive infrastructure management. Such models provide a stronger foundation for developing infrastructure systems capable of meeting present societal requirements while maintaining environmental and functional performance over extended service periods.

Keywords: Sustainable Infrastructure, Civil Engineering Models, Lifecycle Assessment, Structural Sustainability, Resilient Infrastructure, Digital Engineering, Resource Efficiency, Infrastructure Planning.

1. Introduction

Infrastructure systems constitute a fundamental component of economic development, social well-being, urban functionality, and environmental management. Roads, bridges, buildings, water systems, transportation networks, drainage facilities, and energy-supporting structures require substantial quantities of materials, energy, land, and financial resources throughout their development and operation. Consequently, contemporary civil engineering increasingly requires models that move beyond conventional structural adequacy and construction efficiency toward broader considerations of environmental impact, resource consumption, resilience, adaptability, and whole-life performance.

Sustainable infrastructure therefore represents not merely a construction objective but an integrated engineering challenge involving technical, environmental, economic, and social dimensions.

Traditional civil engineering models have generally concentrated on parameters such as structural safety, serviceability, material strength, construction cost, and expected design life. Although these dimensions remain essential, infrastructure systems are now exposed to increasingly complex conditions, including changing climatic patterns, resource constraints, rapid urbanization, population growth, technological transformation, and increasing demands for low-carbon development. These circumstances require engineering models capable of evaluating infrastructure performance under multiple interacting conditions. Sustainable models must consequently incorporate lifecycle considerations, environmental assessment, material efficiency, maintenance requirements, resilience, and long-term adaptability into the engineering decision-making process.

Advanced civil engineering models provide opportunities to integrate multiple sources of information into infrastructure planning and management. Lifecycle assessment can be used to evaluate environmental consequences from material production through construction, operation, maintenance, and end-of-life stages. Building information modeling and digital engineering can improve coordination and information management, while structural health monitoring and predictive maintenance can support more efficient infrastructure operation. Similarly, resilience-based models can evaluate infrastructure behavior under hazards and uncertain future conditions. When these approaches are integrated, engineers can assess infrastructure not only according to its immediate construction performance but also according to its long-term environmental and operational consequences.

The development of sustainable infrastructure also requires improved material and resource strategies. Cement, steel, aggregates, and other construction materials contribute substantially to the environmental footprint of infrastructure. Advanced engineering models can assist in comparing alternative materials, structural configurations, construction techniques, and maintenance strategies according to their performance and lifecycle consequences. Circular-economy principles can further encourage material reuse, recycling, durability enhancement, and waste reduction. Such approaches allow infrastructure decisions to be evaluated through a broader optimization perspective in which structural reliability, environmental performance, resource efficiency, and economic considerations are considered simultaneously.

Against this background, the present study examines advanced civil engineering models for sustainable infrastructure and considers their contribution to environmentally responsible, resilient, and resource-efficient infrastructure development. The study focuses particularly on lifecycle-oriented engineering, sustainable material and resource modeling, digital engineering integration, and resilience-based infrastructure planning. By synthesizing established research and engineering principles, the paper highlights how advanced models can support better infrastructure decisions throughout the project lifecycle.

2. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to examine advanced civil engineering models that can support sustainable infrastructure development by integrating structural performance, lifecycle assessment, resource efficiency, environmental responsibility, digital engineering, and resilience considerations. The

study seeks to explain how integrated modeling approaches can improve infrastructure planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, and long-term performance.

2.1 Evaluation of Lifecycle-Based Engineering Models

The first objective is to examine the importance of lifecycle-based civil engineering models in sustainable infrastructure development. Such models consider infrastructure performance from material extraction and manufacturing through construction, operation, maintenance, renovation, and eventual decommissioning.

The objective is to identify how lifecycle perspectives can help engineers evaluate environmental impacts, energy consumption, material requirements, maintenance demands, and long-term costs more systematically. This approach supports decisions that prioritize durable and resource-efficient infrastructure rather than focusing exclusively on initial construction expenditure.

2.2 Assessment of Sustainable Materials and Resource Efficiency

The second objective is to assess how advanced engineering models can improve material selection and resource utilization in infrastructure projects. The objective focuses on evaluating alternative construction materials, recycled resources, low-carbon materials, optimized structural designs, and waste-reduction strategies.

Advanced models can assist engineers in comparing materials according to strength, durability, environmental impact, availability, and lifecycle performance. Such assessment can contribute to reduced material consumption, lower embodied environmental impacts, greater resource efficiency, and improved alignment between engineering performance and sustainability requirements.

2.3 Examination of Digital and Resilience-Oriented Modeling

The third objective is to examine the contribution of digital engineering and resilience-oriented models to sustainable infrastructure management. Digital models can integrate design, construction, monitoring, and operational information, while resilience models can evaluate infrastructure responses to environmental hazards and uncertain future conditions.

The objective is to understand how these capabilities can support predictive maintenance, risk-informed planning, adaptive infrastructure design, improved decision-making, and longer service life. Integrating digital and resilience perspectives can strengthen infrastructure performance while reducing unnecessary resource consumption.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Lifecycle Assessment and Sustainable Infrastructure

Lifecycle assessment has become an important framework for evaluating the environmental consequences of infrastructure systems across different stages of their existence. Research has demonstrated that infrastructure impacts can arise from material production, transportation, construction, operation, maintenance, and end-of-life activities. ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 established internationally recognized principles for lifecycle assessment, providing methodological foundations for evaluating environmental impacts. In civil engineering, lifecycle approaches enable engineers to compare design

alternatives according to cumulative environmental consequences rather than relying exclusively on initial construction characteristics.

Previous studies have also emphasized the relationship between lifecycle assessment and infrastructure decision-making. Huang et al. (2018) demonstrated the increasing importance of lifecycle assessment in construction-related sustainability research, while Cabeza et al. (2014) examined lifecycle assessment applications within the built environment. These studies indicate that lifecycle approaches can improve understanding of energy use, emissions, material requirements, and environmental trade-offs. However, methodological uncertainty, data availability, system boundaries, and assumptions regarding future operation remain important considerations when applying lifecycle models to complex infrastructure systems.

3.2 Sustainable Materials and Circular Construction

Sustainable material selection represents another significant area of civil engineering research. Construction materials influence infrastructure durability, embodied environmental impacts, resource consumption, and waste generation. Research concerning concrete and cement has particularly emphasized the need to reduce environmental impacts while maintaining structural performance. Habert et al. (2020) examined strategies for reducing the environmental impact of cement and concrete, demonstrating the importance of technological innovation and material optimization. Alternative binders, supplementary cementitious materials, recycled aggregates, and optimized mixture designs have therefore received considerable research attention.

Circular-economy research has expanded this perspective by emphasizing material recovery, reuse, recycling, durability, and waste prevention. Pomponi and Moncaster (2017) examined circular-economy principles within the built environment and identified the importance of systemic approaches to resource management. Geissdoerfer et al. (2017) further conceptualized the relationship between circular economy and sustainability. These studies suggest that sustainable civil engineering requires models capable of assessing materials not only according to immediate structural properties but also according to resource availability, recoverability, durability, environmental consequences, and end-of-life opportunities.

3.3 Digital Engineering and Infrastructure Resilience

Digital engineering has increasingly transformed the planning, design, construction, and management of infrastructure. Building information modeling provides an integrated digital representation of physical and functional characteristics, supporting information exchange across project participants. Succar (2009) described BIM as an integrated framework for managing information throughout the building lifecycle. More recent research has expanded digital modeling toward digital twins, sensor-based monitoring, predictive analytics, and data-driven infrastructure management. These approaches can support continuous assessment of infrastructure condition and improve maintenance decision-making.

Infrastructure resilience has similarly become a major research area because infrastructure systems must operate under uncertain and changing environmental conditions. Bruneau et al. (2003) proposed a framework for understanding community and infrastructure resilience, emphasizing robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and rapid recovery. Ahern (2011) discussed resilient urban

development and the importance of designing systems capable of adapting to changing conditions. Together, these studies support a broader engineering perspective in which sustainability involves not only reducing environmental impacts but also maintaining infrastructure functionality under disturbances, uncertainty, and long-term change.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine advanced civil engineering models for sustainable infrastructure. The research was based on secondary information obtained from established academic literature, international standards, engineering publications, and scholarly research concerning infrastructure sustainability. The design was selected because the study primarily seeks to synthesize existing knowledge rather than experimentally test a newly constructed engineering system. The conceptual approach enables relationships among lifecycle assessment, sustainable materials, digital engineering, resilience, and infrastructure performance to be examined within an integrated analytical framework.

The research process involved identifying major dimensions associated with sustainable civil engineering modeling and organizing them into interconnected analytical categories. Particular attention was given to lifecycle performance, resource efficiency, environmental impact, structural durability, digital information management, resilience, and maintenance. Relevant academic contributions were reviewed to identify recurring concepts and methodological approaches. The resulting synthesis was used to explain how advanced engineering models can support infrastructure decision-making across planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, and end-of-life stages while recognizing the technical and practical limitations associated with integrated sustainability modeling.

4.2 Data Collection

Secondary data were collected from peer-reviewed research articles, international standards, academic books, and authoritative engineering publications addressing sustainable infrastructure and civil engineering modeling. Major areas reviewed included lifecycle assessment, sustainable construction materials, infrastructure resilience, building information modeling, circular economy, structural durability, and lifecycle decision-making. Sources were selected according to their relevance to the research objectives and their contribution to established engineering knowledge. Internationally recognized standards were also considered to provide methodological foundations for lifecycle and sustainability assessment.

The literature collection process focused on identifying studies that connected engineering performance with sustainability-related outcomes. Information concerning material efficiency, environmental assessment, digital technologies, infrastructure resilience, maintenance, and lifecycle management was organized thematically. The collected material was then examined for recurring relationships and areas of research emphasis. Rather than assigning numerical values to individual studies, the research synthesized findings conceptually to develop an integrated understanding of advanced civil engineering models and their potential contribution to sustainable infrastructure planning and management.

4.3 Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed using thematic analysis. Information was organized into major themes corresponding to lifecycle engineering, sustainable materials, digital infrastructure modeling, resilience, and resource efficiency. Each theme was examined to identify its principal engineering applications, sustainability contributions, and implementation requirements. Relationships among the themes were subsequently evaluated to understand how individual modeling approaches could be integrated into broader infrastructure decision-making systems. This analytical procedure allowed the study to identify common principles without reducing complex infrastructure sustainability issues to a single performance indicator.

The analysis emphasized comparison and synthesis rather than statistical hypothesis testing. Engineering models were considered according to their potential contribution to environmental performance, structural reliability, resource efficiency, lifecycle management, and resilience. Particular attention was given to the interaction between design decisions and long-term infrastructure outcomes. The analysis therefore treated sustainable infrastructure as a multidimensional engineering problem in which technical performance, environmental responsibility, operational requirements, and future uncertainty need to be considered together. The findings from this process formed the basis for the analytical tables and subsequent discussion.

5. Analysis

5.1 Integrated Dimensions of Advanced Civil Engineering Models

Advanced civil engineering models increasingly combine multiple performance dimensions instead of evaluating infrastructure exclusively through structural strength or initial construction cost. Lifecycle assessment introduces environmental considerations, material modeling addresses resource efficiency, digital engineering improves information continuity, and resilience modeling evaluates performance under uncertain conditions.

The integration of these dimensions can provide engineers with a more comprehensive basis for comparing infrastructure alternatives. The following table summarizes the major dimensions and their potential contributions to sustainable infrastructure.

Table 1: Major Dimensions of Advanced Civil Engineering Models for Sustainable Infrastructure

Modeling Dimension	Primary Engineering Focus	Sustainability Contribution	Infrastructure Application
Lifecycle Assessment	Whole-life performance	Reduces cumulative environmental impacts	Roads, bridges, buildings
Sustainable Material Modeling	Material selection and optimization	Improves resource efficiency	Concrete, steel, aggregates
Digital/BIM Modeling	Information integration	Reduces design and construction inefficiencies	Buildings and infrastructure projects

Modeling Dimension	Primary Engineering Focus	Sustainability Contribution	Infrastructure Application
Structural Health Monitoring	Condition assessment	Supports longer service life	Bridges and critical structures
Predictive Maintenance Modeling	Maintenance forecasting	Reduces unnecessary resource use	Transportation and utility assets
Resilience Modeling	Hazard and uncertainty assessment	Improves long-term adaptability	Flood, seismic, and climate-exposed infrastructure
Circularity Modeling	Reuse and recycling	Reduces waste and primary resource demand	Demolition and reconstruction projects
Multi-Criteria Optimization	Integrated decision-making	Balances technical, environmental, and economic factors	Infrastructure planning

The table indicates that sustainable infrastructure cannot be effectively represented through a single engineering variable. Lifecycle, material, digital, maintenance, resilience, and circularity models address different stages and dimensions of infrastructure performance.

Their integration can create a more comprehensive decision-support structure. For example, an infrastructure alternative may demonstrate strong structural performance but require high material consumption or frequent maintenance. An integrated model can identify such trade-offs and help engineers select solutions that provide stronger overall lifecycle performance.

5.2 Comparative Sustainability Performance of Modeling Approaches

Different advanced modeling approaches provide different sustainability benefits. Lifecycle assessment is particularly useful for environmental comparison, whereas digital models strengthen information coordination and operational management. Resilience models are important where infrastructure is exposed to hazards, while circularity approaches are valuable for minimizing material waste and supporting resource recovery.

The effectiveness of these models therefore depends partly on project characteristics and decision objectives. presents a comparative assessment of their potential contribution to sustainable infrastructure.

Table 2: Comparative Assessment of Advanced Modeling Approaches

Modeling Approach	Environmental Performance	Resource Efficiency	Resilience	Lifecycle Management	Digital Integration
Lifecycle Assessment	High	High	Moderate	High	Moderate
Sustainable Material Modeling	High	High	Moderate	High	Moderate
BIM/Digital Modeling	Moderate	High	High	High	High
Structural Health Monitoring	Moderate	High	High	High	High
Predictive Maintenance	Moderate	High	High	High	High
Resilience Modeling	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	High
Circularity Modeling	High	High	Moderate	High	Moderate
Multi-Criteria Optimization	High	High	High	High	High

The comparative assessment demonstrates that integrated approaches provide broader sustainability potential than isolated modeling techniques. Multi-criteria optimization can simultaneously consider environmental, technical, economic, and resilience requirements, while digital engineering can provide the information infrastructure required to operationalize these approaches. Nevertheless, no model is universally superior.

The appropriate modeling combination depends on infrastructure type, environmental conditions, available data, project scale, regulatory requirements, and expected service life. Consequently, sustainable civil engineering requires context-sensitive model selection rather than uniform adoption of a single framework.

6. Discussion

Advanced civil engineering models demonstrate the importance of shifting infrastructure planning from short-term construction performance toward whole-life sustainability. Conventional design processes can produce technically adequate structures while overlooking embodied impacts, maintenance

requirements, material recovery opportunities, and future environmental risks. Lifecycle-oriented models address this limitation by extending engineering evaluation across multiple project stages. When environmental impacts, maintenance requirements, and expected service life are incorporated during early design, engineers can identify alternatives that may require greater initial consideration but provide stronger long-term performance. Sustainable infrastructure therefore depends substantially on integrating lifecycle thinking into conventional engineering decision-making.

The analysis also indicates that digital engineering and sustainable material modeling can complement one another. Digital models can provide information continuity from design to construction and operation, while material-oriented models can evaluate resource consumption and environmental consequences. This combination creates opportunities for more informed design optimization, improved construction coordination, condition monitoring, and predictive maintenance. However, implementation requires reliable data, interoperability among software systems, skilled engineering personnel, and organizational willingness to modify established workflows. The benefits of advanced models can therefore be reduced when technical capabilities develop faster than institutional capacity, standards, and professional practices.

Resilience further expands the meaning of sustainable infrastructure by emphasizing the ability of infrastructure systems to withstand disruption and continue functioning under changing conditions. Climate-related hazards, extreme weather, seismic events, flooding, and urban pressures can affect infrastructure performance throughout its service life. Resilience-oriented models allow engineers to examine alternative responses to such uncertainties and incorporate adaptability into infrastructure planning. Combining resilience with lifecycle assessment is particularly valuable because an infrastructure alternative should ideally demonstrate both environmental efficiency and reliable performance over time. Sustainable infrastructure consequently requires integrated models capable of balancing present efficiency with future adaptability.

7. Challenges

7.1 Data Availability and Model Complexity

One of the principal challenges associated with advanced civil engineering models is the availability of reliable and consistent data. Lifecycle assessment, digital twins, predictive maintenance, and resilience modeling require information concerning materials, construction processes, operating conditions, maintenance histories, environmental exposures, and future scenarios. Such information may be incomplete, distributed across different systems, or recorded using incompatible formats. Complex models can also require specialized expertise and computational resources. Consequently, insufficient data quality can reduce model reliability, while excessive complexity can make advanced models difficult to implement within routine engineering practice.

Model complexity also creates challenges for interpretation and decision-making. Integrated sustainability models may incorporate numerous technical, environmental, economic, and social variables, creating potential trade-offs among competing objectives. Engineers and project stakeholders may find it difficult to interpret outputs when models depend on numerous assumptions or uncertainty ranges. Simplified models can improve usability but may omit important interactions, whereas highly detailed models may require substantial computational and organizational resources. Developing

appropriate levels of model complexity therefore remains an important challenge for practical sustainable infrastructure applications.

7.2 Interoperability and Digital Integration

Digital integration represents another significant challenge because infrastructure projects commonly involve multiple software platforms, consultants, contractors, asset owners, and data-management systems. Information created during planning may not always transfer effectively into construction or operational environments. Differences in data structures, modeling standards, software capabilities, and organizational procedures can reduce interoperability. Although BIM and related digital engineering approaches provide opportunities for information integration, achieving continuous information exchange across the complete infrastructure lifecycle remains technically and institutionally demanding.

The development of digital infrastructure models also raises requirements for professional capabilities and organizational adaptation. Engineers need knowledge extending beyond traditional structural and construction analysis toward data management, digital modeling, sensor technologies, and computational analysis. Organizations may need to revise workflows, responsibilities, procurement procedures, and information standards to benefit fully from digital systems. Smaller engineering organizations can face particular difficulties because advanced software, specialized personnel, and digital infrastructure may require significant investment. Effective implementation therefore requires coordinated technical, educational, and organizational development.

7.3 Economic and Regulatory Constraints

Initial investment requirements can create barriers to adopting advanced sustainable engineering models. Digital modeling platforms, monitoring systems, specialized analysis software, sustainable material testing, and lifecycle assessment may increase project preparation costs. Although these approaches can produce long-term benefits through improved durability, reduced maintenance, lower resource consumption, and better risk management, such benefits may not be immediately visible within conventional procurement and budgeting systems. Short project timelines and emphasis on initial capital costs can consequently discourage investment in approaches that generate value primarily over the infrastructure's extended service life.

Regulatory and standardization differences can further complicate implementation. Sustainable infrastructure models require consistent criteria for environmental assessment, lifecycle performance, resilience, material circularity, and digital information management. Where regulatory frameworks remain focused primarily on minimum structural or construction requirements, sustainability-oriented modeling may receive limited institutional support. Engineers may also face uncertainty regarding which assessment methods should guide project decisions when different standards or methodologies produce different results. Greater harmonization of sustainability criteria and lifecycle-oriented procurement practices could therefore strengthen adoption.

7.4 Uncertainty and Long-Term Prediction

Long-term infrastructure modeling involves unavoidable uncertainty. Future climate conditions, material prices, maintenance requirements, technological developments, traffic patterns, population changes, and

regulatory requirements cannot be predicted with complete accuracy. Models that rely heavily on fixed assumptions may therefore become less reliable when actual conditions diverge from projected scenarios. Resilience-oriented and adaptive models can address some of these limitations by considering multiple scenarios and uncertainty ranges, but this increases analytical requirements and may complicate interpretation.

Another challenge is the long service life of many civil infrastructure assets. Decisions made during initial design can influence environmental and economic outcomes for several decades. Predicting performance over such extended periods requires assumptions regarding deterioration, maintenance, technological change, and environmental conditions. Engineers must therefore balance model precision with uncertainty. Rather than attempting to eliminate uncertainty completely, advanced infrastructure models should incorporate sensitivity analysis, scenario testing, monitoring feedback, and adaptive decision-making so that infrastructure strategies can be updated as new information becomes available.

8. Future Research

Future research should focus on developing integrated civil engineering models that combine lifecycle assessment, digital twins, structural health monitoring, material optimization, and resilience analysis within unified decision-support frameworks. Such models could continuously update infrastructure performance using real-time monitoring information and changing environmental conditions. Research should also investigate standardized data structures that allow information to move efficiently between design, construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning stages without unnecessary duplication or information loss.

Further research should investigate practical methods for integrating circular-economy principles into infrastructure modeling. Greater attention is needed toward material recovery, component reuse, recycled materials, low-carbon construction, and design for disassembly. Researchers should also develop context-specific sustainability indicators capable of balancing environmental, technical, economic, and resilience outcomes. Comparative studies across different infrastructure systems and geographic conditions could help determine which combinations of advanced models provide the greatest lifecycle benefits under varying engineering, environmental, and institutional circumstances.

9. Conclusion

Advanced civil engineering models provide an important pathway for strengthening the sustainability of infrastructure systems. The study demonstrates that sustainable infrastructure requires consideration of structural performance together with environmental impact, material efficiency, lifecycle cost, resilience, maintenance, and adaptability. Lifecycle assessment provides a foundation for understanding cumulative impacts, while sustainable material models support more efficient resource use. Integrating these approaches can help engineers move beyond short-term construction decisions toward infrastructure strategies that provide stronger long-term environmental and functional performance.

Digital engineering represents another important component of advanced infrastructure modeling. BIM, digital monitoring, predictive maintenance, and related technologies can improve information continuity and support evidence-based infrastructure management. Their value is

particularly significant when digital information is connected with lifecycle and sustainability assessments. Continuous infrastructure information can help engineers identify deterioration, optimize maintenance, reduce unnecessary interventions, and improve asset utilization. However, successful digital integration requires reliable data, interoperability, technical expertise, and organizational readiness across the infrastructure lifecycle.

Resilience-oriented modeling further strengthens sustainable infrastructure by addressing uncertainty and changing environmental conditions. Infrastructure systems must increasingly accommodate hazards, extreme events, climate-related pressures, and evolving societal requirements. Models capable of evaluating alternative scenarios can support more adaptable design and management strategies. Combining resilience analysis with lifecycle assessment and resource-efficiency modeling can help ensure that infrastructure is not only environmentally responsible under expected conditions but also capable of maintaining essential functionality when conditions become uncertain or disruptive.

Overall, the development of sustainable infrastructure requires a transition toward integrated, lifecycle-oriented, digitally supported, and resilience-informed civil engineering models. No individual modeling approach can address the full complexity of infrastructure sustainability. Greater benefits can be achieved by combining complementary methods according to project characteristics, available data, environmental conditions, and long-term objectives. Future civil engineering practice should therefore emphasize interdisciplinary modeling, standardized information exchange, circular material strategies, adaptive management, and continuous performance evaluation to support infrastructure that remains efficient, resilient, and environmentally responsible throughout its service life.

References

1. Adetunji, I. O., Price, A. D. F., & Fleming, P. (2003). Achieving sustainability in the construction supply chain. *Construction Management and Economics*, 21(6), 635–643.
2. Akadiri, P. O., Olomolaiye, P. O., & Chinyio, E. A. (2013). Multi-criteria evaluation model for the selection of sustainable materials for building projects. *Automation in Construction*, 30, 113–125.
3. Cabeza, L. F., Rincón, L., Vilariño, V., Pérez, G., & Castell, A. (2014). Life cycle assessment (LCA) and life cycle energy analysis (LCEA) of buildings and the building sector: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 29, 394–416.
4. Ding, G. K. C. (2008). Sustainable construction—The role of environmental assessment tools. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 86(3), 451–464.
5. Häkkinen, T., & Belloni, K. (2011). Barriers and drivers for sustainable building. *Building Research & Information*, 39(3), 239–255.
6. Häkkinen, T., Kuittinen, M., Ruuska, A., & Törmänen, P. (2015). Reducing embodied carbon during the design process of buildings. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 4, 1–13.
7. Kibert, C. J. (2016). *Sustainable construction: Green building design and delivery* (4th ed.). Wiley.
8. Kylili, A., Fokaides, P. A., & Lopez Jimenez, P. A. (2016). Key performance indicators (KPIs) approach in buildings renovation for the sustainability of the built environment: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 56, 906–915.
9. Leckner, M., & Zmeureanu, R. (2011). Life cycle cost and energy analysis of a Net Zero Energy House with solar combisystem. *Applied Energy*, 88(10), 3241–3254.

10. Li, D. H. W., Yang, L., & Lam, J. C. (2013). Zero energy buildings and sustainable development. *Energy and Buildings*, 68, 1–6.
11. Marjaba, G. E., & Chidiac, S. E. (2016). Sustainability and resiliency in buildings: A review on definitions, metrics, and research trends. *Building and Environment*, 101, 116–129.
12. Matar, M. M., Georgy, M. E., & Ibrahim, M. E. (2008). Sustainable construction management: Introduction and assessment. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 24(2), 70–78.
13. Ofori, G. (2000). Greening the construction supply chain in Singapore. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 6(3–4), 195–202.
14. Osmani, M., Glass, J., & Price, A. D. F. (2008). Architects' perspectives on construction waste reduction by design. *Waste Management*, 28(7), 1147–1158.
15. Pacheco-Torgal, F., & Jalali, S. (2011). Nanotechnology: Advantages and drawbacks in the field of construction and building materials. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(2), 582–590.
16. Pomponi, F., & Moncaster, A. (2017). Circular economy for the built environment: A research framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 710–718.
17. Robichaud, L. B., & Anantatmula, V. S. (2011). Greening project management practices for sustainable construction. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 27(1), 48–57.
18. Sayce, S., Ellison, L., & Parnell, P. (2007). Understanding investment drivers for sustainable property: Identifying the key factors. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 25(4), 383–400.
19. Shi, L., Ye, K., Lu, W., & Hu, X. (2014). Improving the competence of construction management consultants to underpin sustainable construction in China. *Habitat International*, 41, 116–124.
20. Zuo, J., & Zhao, Z.-Y. (2014). Green building research—Current status and future agenda: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 271–281.