

Industrial Innovation Frameworks for Competitive Technology Development

Prof. Tim Minshall

Professor, University of Cambridge–Australia research collaborations, Australia

Abstract

Industrial competitiveness increasingly depends on the capacity of organizations to convert technological knowledge into scalable products, efficient processes, and sustainable market advantages. Industrial innovation frameworks provide structured approaches for coordinating research, technology development, organizational capabilities, commercialization, and continuous improvement. This paper examines advanced industrial innovation frameworks for competitive technology development through a qualitative descriptive research approach based on secondary academic literature and established innovation-management concepts.

The study focuses on technology integration, collaborative innovation, organizational capabilities, digital transformation, knowledge management, and commercialization. The analysis indicates that competitive technology development requires more than technological investment; it depends on coordinated innovation processes, cross-functional collaboration, adaptive decision-making, and effective management of technological resources.

Integrated innovation frameworks can help organizations identify technological opportunities, accelerate development cycles, reduce innovation-related risks, and improve alignment between research outcomes and market requirements. The study further highlights challenges associated with resource constraints, technological uncertainty, organizational resistance, intellectual property management, and rapidly changing competitive environments. The findings support the development of flexible industrial innovation systems that connect technological capabilities with organizational strategy and market-oriented implementation.

Keywords: Industrial Innovation, Innovation Frameworks, Technology Development, Competitive Advantage, Digital Transformation, Technology Management, Organizational Innovation, Industrial Competitiveness.

1. Introduction

Industrial innovation has become a central determinant of competitiveness as organizations operate within technology-intensive and rapidly changing markets. Advances in artificial intelligence, automation, advanced manufacturing, digital platforms, robotics, materials science, and data-driven decision-making have transformed the conditions under which industrial organizations develop and commercialize technologies. Competitive performance increasingly depends on the ability to identify technological opportunities, integrate knowledge, develop practical applications, and translate

innovation into measurable organizational value. Consequently, industrial innovation requires systematic frameworks capable of coordinating technological, organizational, financial, and market-related activities.

Traditional approaches to industrial technology development often emphasize research and development as the principal source of innovation. However, technological competitiveness increasingly depends on interactions among research institutions, industrial organizations, suppliers, customers, technology providers, and regulatory environments. Open innovation and collaborative innovation perspectives have demonstrated that valuable knowledge can originate both inside and outside organizational boundaries. This development requires frameworks that support knowledge exchange, partnership formation, experimentation, technology validation, and commercialization while maintaining appropriate control over intellectual property and strategic capabilities.

Digital transformation has further expanded the scope of industrial innovation. Digital technologies enable organizations to collect and analyze operational data, simulate production systems, automate processes, monitor technological performance, and develop new business models. Industry 4.0 approaches illustrate how interconnected technologies can transform manufacturing and industrial operations. However, technological adoption alone does not guarantee competitive advantage. Organizations require strategic frameworks that determine which technologies should be adopted, how they should be integrated, what capabilities are necessary, and how technological investments can contribute to long-term organizational objectives.

Competitive technology development also depends on organizational learning and adaptive capabilities. Industrial environments are characterized by technological uncertainty, changing customer expectations, shorter product lifecycles, global competition, and evolving regulatory requirements. Innovation frameworks must therefore support experimentation, knowledge accumulation, rapid feedback, and strategic adaptation. Organizations that can learn from unsuccessful projects and systematically incorporate new knowledge into subsequent innovation activities may develop stronger technological capabilities than organizations that treat innovation as a sequence of isolated projects.

Against this background, this study examines industrial innovation frameworks that can support competitive technology development. Particular attention is given to technological integration, collaborative innovation, organizational capabilities, digital transformation, knowledge management, and commercialization. The study seeks to provide a structured understanding of how these dimensions can be coordinated within industrial innovation systems. It also examines implementation challenges and future research opportunities associated with developing flexible innovation frameworks capable of supporting continuous technological competitiveness.

2. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to examine industrial innovation frameworks that support competitive technology development by integrating technological capabilities, organizational resources, collaborative knowledge, digital transformation, and commercialization processes. The study seeks to identify how structured innovation frameworks can improve technology development efficiency, organizational adaptability, innovation performance, and the ability of industrial organizations to respond effectively to changing competitive environments.

2.1 Evaluation of Technology Development Frameworks

The first objective is to examine frameworks used to organize industrial technology development from opportunity identification through research, experimentation, validation, implementation, and commercialization. The objective focuses on understanding how systematic innovation processes can reduce development uncertainty and improve resource allocation.

Effective frameworks can provide organizations with structured mechanisms for prioritizing technological opportunities, evaluating feasibility, coordinating technical teams, and monitoring development progress. Such approaches can strengthen the connection between technological research and practical industrial applications.

2.2 Assessment of Collaborative Innovation Capabilities

The second objective is to assess the importance of collaboration in competitive technology development. Industrial innovation increasingly involves interactions among firms, universities, research organizations, suppliers, customers, startups, and technology providers. Collaborative frameworks can expand access to specialized knowledge and accelerate experimentation.

The objective is to examine how organizations can develop mechanisms for knowledge sharing, partnership management, joint development, and external technology acquisition while protecting intellectual property and maintaining strategic technological capabilities.

2.3 Examination of Digital and Organizational Capabilities

The third objective is to examine how digital technologies and organizational capabilities contribute to industrial innovation performance. Digital platforms, data analytics, automation, simulation, and connected production systems can improve technology development and implementation. However, their effectiveness depends on organizational skills, leadership, investment capacity, and change management.

The objective is therefore to examine how technological capabilities can be aligned with organizational learning, workforce development, strategic decision-making, and innovation management to strengthen sustainable competitive technology development.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Industrial Innovation and Competitive Advantage

Schumpeter's work established innovation as an important mechanism of economic and industrial development, emphasizing new products, processes, markets, and organizational forms. Subsequent innovation research expanded this perspective by examining how firms develop technological capabilities and transform knowledge into competitive outcomes. Porter (1985) emphasized the role of technological change within competitive strategy, while Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997) highlighted dynamic capabilities as a foundation for responding to rapidly changing environments. These perspectives demonstrate that innovation must be connected with organizational strategy rather than treated exclusively as a technical activity.

Innovation-management research has further emphasized the importance of systematic processes for transforming ideas into marketable outcomes. Cooper's stage-gate approach proposed structured

stages and decision points for managing new product development. Chesbrough (2003) subsequently introduced the open-innovation perspective, emphasizing the strategic use of internal and external knowledge. Together, these contributions indicate that competitive technology development requires mechanisms for idea evaluation, experimentation, knowledge integration, resource allocation, and commercialization. Innovation frameworks therefore provide organizational structures through which technological opportunities can be transformed into competitive capabilities.

3.2 Digital Transformation and Industrial Technology

The development of Industry 4.0 has significantly changed industrial technology management. Research by Kagermann, Wahlster, and Helbig (2013) emphasized interconnected production systems, cyber-physical technologies, and digital integration as important components of advanced manufacturing. Frank, Dalenogare, and Ayala (2019) examined Industry 4.0 technologies and their relationship with manufacturing capabilities. Digital technologies can improve production flexibility, data availability, automation, predictive decision-making, and process optimization, thereby creating new opportunities for technology-driven competitiveness.

However, digital transformation involves organizational changes as well as technological adoption. Vial (2019) described digital transformation as a process through which digital technologies trigger significant changes in organizational structures, processes, and value creation. Westerman, Bonnet, and McAfee (2014) similarly emphasized the importance of organizational leadership and capabilities in achieving digital transformation. These studies indicate that competitive technology development requires coordinated technological and organizational transformation. Industrial innovation frameworks therefore need to integrate digital infrastructure with workforce capabilities, leadership, governance, and strategic planning.

3.3 Knowledge Management and Collaborative Innovation

Knowledge represents a fundamental resource within technology-intensive organizations. Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) demonstrated the importance of organizational knowledge creation, emphasizing interactions between tacit and explicit knowledge. Grant (1996) further examined knowledge integration as an organizational capability. These perspectives suggest that industrial innovation depends not simply on possessing technical knowledge but on the capacity to combine knowledge from different individuals, departments, technologies, and external organizations. Effective knowledge-management mechanisms can therefore strengthen learning and accelerate technological development.

Collaborative innovation has also become increasingly important as technological complexity expands. Laursen and Salter (2006) examined the relationship between external knowledge sources and innovation performance, while Bogers, Chesbrough, and Moedas (2018) discussed the development of open innovation as a broader innovation paradigm. Collaboration can provide access to specialized technologies, research capabilities, market knowledge, and complementary resources. Nevertheless, effective collaboration requires governance mechanisms, clearly defined responsibilities, intellectual property arrangements, trust, and communication systems. Innovation frameworks must therefore balance openness with strategic control.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine industrial innovation frameworks for competitive technology development. The research relied on secondary academic literature, established innovation theories, technology-management research, and publications concerning digital transformation and industrial competitiveness. The design was selected because the study seeks to synthesize existing knowledge and identify relationships among major innovation dimensions rather than conduct experimental testing. The conceptual approach allows technological, organizational, collaborative, and commercialization factors to be examined as interconnected components of industrial innovation.

The research framework was organized around five major dimensions: technology development, organizational capability, collaborative innovation, digital integration, and commercialization. These dimensions were selected because they repeatedly appear within research addressing industrial innovation and technology competitiveness. The study examined how each dimension contributes to innovation performance and how the dimensions interact within an integrated framework. This approach provides a structured basis for understanding industrial innovation as a coordinated organizational process rather than as an isolated research and development activity.

4.2 Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from peer-reviewed academic literature, scholarly books, established innovation frameworks, and research addressing industrial technology development. Sources concerning dynamic capabilities, open innovation, stage-gate development, knowledge management, Industry 4.0, digital transformation, and competitive strategy were considered. Literature was selected according to its relevance to the research objectives and its contribution to understanding industrial innovation processes. Foundational theoretical studies were combined with contemporary research concerning digital technologies and industrial transformation.

The collected literature was organized according to conceptual themes rather than individual publications. Information concerning technology development, collaboration, organizational capabilities, digital transformation, knowledge integration, and commercialization was extracted and compared. Particular attention was given to relationships between technological capabilities and competitive outcomes. This process enabled the study to identify recurring principles across different innovation approaches and to develop an integrated interpretation of the requirements for competitive technology development within industrial organizations.

4.3 Data Analysis

The collected information was examined through thematic analysis. Relevant concepts were categorized according to innovation processes, technological capabilities, collaboration, organizational learning, digital transformation, and commercialization. Each category was examined to identify its contribution to competitive technology development and its relationship with other innovation dimensions. Thematic analysis was considered appropriate because the study focuses on synthesizing conceptual and

descriptive evidence from diverse innovation-management literature rather than statistically testing predetermined relationships.

The analysis emphasized comparison among different innovation approaches and identification of complementary capabilities. Stage-based development models were considered in relation to structured decision-making, while open-innovation models were examined in relation to external knowledge and collaboration. Digital transformation was analyzed as both a technological and organizational process. The resulting synthesis was used to construct comparative analytical tables showing how major industrial innovation dimensions contribute to competitive technology development and organizational performance.

5. Analysis

5.1 Core Dimensions of Industrial Innovation Frameworks

Competitive technology development requires coordination among technological research, organizational capabilities, collaboration, digital infrastructure, and commercialization. Individual innovation activities can generate limited benefits when they are disconnected from broader organizational strategy. An integrated framework can provide mechanisms for connecting technology identification with experimentation, validation, implementation, and market development.

Table 1: Core Dimensions of Industrial Innovation Frameworks

Innovation Dimension	Primary Function	Contribution to Technology Development	Competitive Benefit
Technology Scanning	Identifies emerging technologies	Expands innovation opportunities	Early technological positioning
Research and Development	Creates technical knowledge	Develops new technological solutions	Product and process differentiation
Prototyping	Tests technological concepts	Reduces development uncertainty	Faster technology validation
Collaborative Innovation	Integrates external knowledge	Expands technical capabilities	Access to complementary expertise
Digital Integration	Connects data and technologies	Improves development and operational decisions	Greater flexibility and efficiency
Knowledge	Captures and	Strengthens organizational	Improved

Innovation Dimension	Primary Function	Contribution to Technology Development	Competitive Benefit
Management	distributes knowledge	learning	innovation continuity
Organizational Capability	Provides skills and resources	Enables technology implementation	Stronger technology absorption
Commercialization	Converts technology into market value	Connects innovation with customers	Revenue and market growth

The table demonstrates that industrial innovation is multidimensional. Technology development depends on activities beginning with opportunity identification and continuing through technical development, validation, organizational implementation, and commercialization.

Organizations that focus exclusively on research may experience difficulties converting technological knowledge into market outcomes. Similarly, organizations with strong commercialization capabilities but weak technical development may become dependent on external technologies. Integrated frameworks can therefore create stronger alignment between technology generation and competitive strategy.

5.2 Comparative Contribution of Innovation Approaches

Different innovation approaches provide distinct advantages. Structured development models can improve project control and decision-making, while open innovation expands access to external knowledge. Digital innovation frameworks can increase technological connectivity and analytical capability, whereas dynamic-capability approaches emphasize organizational adaptation. Their combined application can provide broader support for competitive technology development.

Table 2: Comparative Contribution of Major Industrial Innovation Approaches

Innovation Approach	Technology Development	Collaboration	Adaptability	Digital Integration	Commercialization Support
Stage-Gate Model	High	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High
Open Innovation	High	High	High	Moderate	High
Dynamic Capabilities	High	High	High	High	High
Industry 4.0	High	Moderate	High	High	High

Innovation Approach	Technology Development	Collaboration	Adaptability	Digital Integration	Commercialization Support
Frameworks					
Knowledge Management	High	High	High	High	Moderate
Lean Innovation	High	Moderate	High	Moderate	High
Ecosystem Innovation	High	High	High	High	High
Integrated Innovation Framework	High	High	High	High	High

The comparative assessment indicates that no single innovation approach fully addresses the requirements of competitive technology development. Integrated frameworks offer broader capabilities because they can combine structured development with external collaboration, digital technologies, organizational learning, and market orientation.

The appropriate combination nevertheless depends on organizational size, technological maturity, industry characteristics, resource availability, and competitive conditions. Industrial organizations should therefore develop adaptable innovation frameworks rather than applying standardized models without considering organizational context.

6. Discussion

Industrial innovation frameworks can strengthen competitive technology development by providing systematic mechanisms for managing technological opportunities from initial identification through commercialization. The analysis indicates that innovation performance depends on the coordination of technical and organizational capabilities. Structured development processes can improve project selection and resource allocation, while knowledge-management mechanisms support learning across innovation activities. This suggests that organizations should treat technology development as an integrated strategic process rather than as an isolated research function. Stronger coordination can reduce duplication, improve development visibility, and increase the likelihood that technological investments generate measurable organizational value.

Collaboration and digital transformation represent complementary components of contemporary industrial innovation. External partnerships can provide specialized knowledge, research capabilities, and complementary technologies, while digital systems can facilitate information exchange, experimentation, simulation, and operational integration. However, collaboration creates challenges related to intellectual property, knowledge protection, governance, and coordination. Similarly, digital transformation can produce limited competitive benefits when organizations lack appropriate skills and

strategic direction. Effective innovation frameworks therefore need governance mechanisms that connect external knowledge with internal capabilities while ensuring that digital investments support clearly defined technological and business objectives.

The findings further suggest that competitive technology development requires organizational adaptability. Technologies, markets, and customer expectations change rapidly, making rigid innovation systems less effective in uncertain environments. Dynamic capabilities enable organizations to sense technological opportunities, seize promising innovations, and reconfigure resources as conditions change. Combining these capabilities with structured development processes can create a balance between control and flexibility. Industrial innovation frameworks should consequently encourage experimentation and rapid learning while maintaining strategic priorities, resource discipline, and measurable performance criteria.

7. Challenges

7.1 Resource Constraints and Investment Risks

Resource limitations represent a major challenge for industrial organizations attempting to develop advanced technologies. Research, prototyping, testing, digital infrastructure, skilled personnel, and commercialization activities require substantial financial and organizational resources. Large organizations may possess greater capacity to absorb innovation costs, whereas smaller firms may face difficulties sustaining long development cycles. Innovation investments also involve uncertainty because technological projects can fail to produce commercially viable outcomes. Organizations therefore require prioritization mechanisms that distinguish strategically important technologies from projects with limited potential.

Investment risk is further increased by rapid technological change. Technologies that appear commercially promising during early development may become obsolete before reaching full implementation. Organizations must therefore evaluate not only technical feasibility but also market timing, scalability, interoperability, regulatory conditions, and future technology trajectories. Flexible funding models, staged investment decisions, and continuous technology assessment can help reduce exposure to uncertain innovation outcomes. Effective innovation frameworks should provide mechanisms for terminating weak projects while preserving opportunities associated with emerging technologies.

7.2 Organizational Resistance and Skills Gaps

Organizational resistance can limit the implementation of new technologies even when their technical benefits are well established. Employees may perceive automation, digital transformation, or new innovation processes as threats to established responsibilities or professional practices. Resistance can reduce adoption and create gaps between technological investment and actual organizational utilization. Leadership commitment, transparent communication, employee involvement, and structured change-management practices are therefore important components of technology-development frameworks.

Skills gaps represent another significant challenge. Advanced industrial technologies require knowledge in areas such as data analytics, automation, artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, digital engineering, systems integration, and technology management. Existing employees may not possess all

required competencies, while competition for specialized professionals can make external recruitment difficult. Organizations must therefore establish continuous learning and workforce-development systems. Training should not focus exclusively on technical skills but should also develop cross-functional capabilities that enable employees to understand how technologies interact with operational, strategic, and commercial requirements.

7.3 Intellectual Property and Collaboration Management

Collaborative innovation can increase technological capabilities but also introduces intellectual-property challenges. Organizations working with universities, startups, suppliers, and technology providers must determine ownership rights, confidentiality requirements, data-sharing arrangements, and commercialization responsibilities. Ambiguous agreements can create disputes and discourage knowledge exchange. Innovation frameworks should therefore establish clear governance structures before collaborative technology-development activities begin.

Balancing knowledge openness with strategic protection is particularly difficult when organizations participate in innovation ecosystems. Excessive protection can restrict knowledge exchange and reduce collaborative benefits, whereas excessive openness may weaken competitive advantages. Organizations need mechanisms for determining which knowledge can be shared, which capabilities should remain internally controlled, and how jointly developed technologies should be managed. Effective intellectual-property governance can therefore support collaboration without unnecessarily exposing strategically important technological resources.

7.4 Market Uncertainty and Technology Adoption

Technological success does not necessarily guarantee market success. Industrial organizations may develop technically advanced products or processes that fail to meet customer requirements, cost expectations, regulatory conditions, or operational needs. Market uncertainty can therefore create substantial risks during commercialization. Innovation frameworks should incorporate customer feedback, market testing, pilot implementation, and business-model evaluation before large-scale deployment. Connecting technology development with market intelligence can improve alignment between innovation activities and actual demand.

Technology adoption can also be affected by compatibility and integration requirements. New technologies may need to operate alongside legacy systems, existing production processes, and established supply chains. Integration difficulties can increase implementation costs and reduce expected benefits. Organizations should therefore evaluate technological interoperability and infrastructure requirements during early development stages. Pilot projects and phased implementation can provide opportunities to identify operational problems before large-scale deployment, thereby reducing technological and financial risks.

8. Future Research

Future research should develop integrated quantitative frameworks capable of measuring relationships among technological capability, innovation investment, organizational learning, collaboration, digital transformation, and competitive performance. Researchers could examine how different combinations of

innovation practices influence technology-development speed, commercialization success, productivity, and market outcomes. Comparative studies across industrial sectors could also identify contextual factors that determine why particular innovation frameworks perform more effectively in specific technological and organizational environments.

Further research should investigate adaptive innovation frameworks supported by artificial intelligence, digital twins, predictive analytics, and real-time technology intelligence. Such systems could help organizations identify emerging technologies, evaluate innovation opportunities, forecast development risks, and dynamically allocate resources. Research should also examine governance mechanisms for responsible technology development, particularly regarding intellectual property, cybersecurity, data governance, workforce transformation, and ethical technology deployment within increasingly interconnected industrial innovation ecosystems.

9. Conclusion

Industrial innovation frameworks provide structured mechanisms for transforming technological knowledge into competitive organizational capabilities. The study demonstrates that effective technology development requires coordination among research, experimentation, organizational resources, collaboration, digital integration, and commercialization. Innovation cannot be treated exclusively as a technical function because successful technological outcomes depend on strategic alignment and organizational implementation. Integrated frameworks can improve the connection between technological opportunities and competitive objectives while enabling organizations to manage development processes more systematically.

The analysis also demonstrates the importance of collaboration and knowledge management. External partnerships can provide access to specialized expertise, technologies, and market knowledge, while internal knowledge systems enable organizations to retain and reuse learning. However, collaboration must be supported by appropriate governance and intellectual-property mechanisms. Organizations should therefore establish balanced approaches that encourage knowledge exchange while protecting strategically important technologies and capabilities.

Digital transformation further strengthens industrial innovation by improving connectivity, data availability, automation, simulation, and decision-making. Nevertheless, digital technologies alone cannot create sustainable competitive advantages. Their effectiveness depends on organizational readiness, workforce capabilities, leadership, infrastructure, and strategic integration. Industrial organizations should consequently align digital investments with clearly defined technology-development objectives and develop the skills required to transform technological resources into operational and commercial value.

Overall, competitive technology development requires flexible and integrated industrial innovation frameworks. Organizations must simultaneously manage technological uncertainty, resource limitations, market changes, collaboration, digital transformation, and organizational adaptation. Future-oriented innovation systems should combine structured development processes with dynamic capabilities, external knowledge, digital technologies, and continuous learning. Such integration can enable industrial organizations to accelerate technological development, improve innovation efficiency,

strengthen adaptability, and establish more sustainable competitive positions in rapidly evolving technology-intensive markets.

References

1. Berawi, M. A. (2018). The fourth industrial revolution: Managing technology development for competitiveness. *International Journal of Technology*, 9(1), 1–4.
2. Brandl, F. J., Kagerer, M., & Reinhart, G. (2018). A hybrid innovation management framework for manufacturing—Enablers for more agility in plants. *Procedia CIRP*, 72, 1154–1159.
3. Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Harvard Business School Press.
4. Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (2000). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), 128–152.
5. Cooper, R. G. (2008). Perspective: The Stage-Gate idea-to-launch process—Update, what's new, and NexGen systems. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 25(3), 213–232.
6. David, A., Semanik, M., & Torsekar, M. (2018). *Framework for analyzing the competitiveness of advanced technology manufacturing firms* (Working Paper ID-18-057). U.S. International Trade Commission.
7. Damanpour, F., & Aravind, D. (2012). Managerial innovation: Conceptions, processes, and antecedents. *Management and Organization Review*, 8(2), 423–454.
8. Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Salter, A. (2006). *The management of technological innovation: Strategy and practice*. Oxford University Press.
9. Ettlie, J. E., & Reza, E. M. (1992). Organizational integration and process innovation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(4), 795–827.
10. Freeman, C., & Soete, L. (2000). *The economics of industrial innovation* (3rd ed.). MIT Press.
11. Gassmann, O., Enkel, E., & Chesbrough, H. (2010). The future of open innovation. *R&D Management*, 40(3), 213–221.
12. Lasi, H., Fettke, P., Kemper, H.-G., Feld, T., & Hoffmann, M. (2014). Industry 4.0. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 6(4), 239–242.
13. Lichtenthaler, U. (2011). Open innovation: Past research, current debates, and future directions. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 25(1), 75–93.
14. Piccarozzi, M., Aquilani, B., & Gatti, C. (2018). Industry 4.0 in management studies: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability*, 10(10), Article 3821.
15. Porter, M. E. (2000). *Location, competition, and economic development: Local clusters in a global economy*. Economic Development Quarterly.
16. Shah, S., Mabbott, O., Naghi Ganji, E., & Bate, J. (2018). Innovation and I4.0 management in connected and autonomous automotive manufacturing. In *2018 40th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. IEEE.
17. Szalavetz, A. (2018). Industry 4.0 and capability development in manufacturing subsidiaries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 145, 384–395.