

Engineering Analytics Models for Performance Prediction and Optimization

Dr. Ethan Williams

Researcher, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Abstract

Engineering systems are becoming increasingly complex because of the integration of advanced materials, automated processes, digital technologies, connected sensors, computational models, and data-intensive operational environments. Under such conditions, conventional performance assessment approaches based primarily on historical observations and deterministic calculations may not adequately capture changing system behavior. Engineering analytics provides an integrated approach for transforming engineering data into predictive insights and optimization decisions. This study examines analytical models used for engineering performance prediction and optimization, with particular attention to statistical modeling, machine learning, predictive analytics, simulation, optimization algorithms, and data-driven decision-support mechanisms.

A conceptual literature-based methodology is adopted to identify major analytical components and their relationships within engineering environments. The analysis indicates that effective engineering analytics models require reliable data acquisition, appropriate feature engineering, model selection, validation, uncertainty assessment, and integration with optimization procedures. Predictive models can estimate future system behavior, while optimization models can use those predictions to identify suitable operating conditions, resource allocations, maintenance strategies, or design parameters. The study develops a conceptual framework connecting engineering data acquisition, preprocessing, analytical modeling, performance prediction, optimization, decision support, and continuous feedback.

The analysis further identifies challenges involving data quality, model interpretability, computational requirements, system heterogeneity, uncertainty, cybersecurity, and organizational readiness. The study concludes that predictive analytics and optimization should be integrated into a unified engineering decision framework rather than implemented as separate analytical activities. Such integration can strengthen evidence-based engineering decisions and support improved efficiency, reliability, adaptability, and resource utilization across complex engineering systems.

Keywords: Engineering Analytics, Performance Prediction, Optimization, Predictive Modeling, Machine Learning, Engineering Systems, Data Analytics, Decision Support, Simulation, Industrial Intelligence

1. Introduction

Engineering systems increasingly generate large volumes of data through sensors, monitoring devices, simulation platforms, control systems, enterprise applications, and connected equipment. This expansion

of engineering data has created opportunities to understand system behavior beyond traditional analytical approaches. Historical operational information can be used to identify relationships between input conditions and performance outcomes, while real-time data can provide information about current system states. Engineering analytics combines these data sources with statistical and computational techniques to support prediction, diagnosis, optimization, and decision-making. Consequently, analytics is becoming an important component of modern engineering management and system design.

Performance prediction is particularly important because engineering organizations frequently need to estimate how systems will behave under future operating conditions. Examples include predicting equipment degradation, energy consumption, structural responses, production performance, transportation demand, system reliability, and process quality. Traditional engineering models remain valuable because they incorporate physical principles and established relationships. However, data-driven models can complement these approaches when systems involve nonlinear relationships, large datasets, uncertain operating conditions, or complex interactions that are difficult to represent completely through conventional equations. Machine-learning techniques have consequently received considerable attention for engineering prediction tasks.

Optimization represents a complementary analytical function. Prediction estimates what may happen under particular conditions, whereas optimization identifies conditions that can produce a desired objective while satisfying defined constraints. Engineering optimization can involve minimizing energy consumption, production cost, material waste, maintenance expenditure, or environmental impact while maintaining required performance and safety levels. Multi-objective optimization is especially relevant when engineering decisions involve competing objectives. A system may simultaneously require high reliability, low cost, low energy consumption, and high productivity. Analytical optimization models can provide structured mechanisms for evaluating such trade-offs.

The integration of prediction and optimization creates a more comprehensive engineering analytics environment. A predictive model can estimate system performance for alternative operating conditions, while an optimization algorithm can evaluate those predictions to identify suitable decisions. For example, a predictive maintenance model may estimate equipment failure probability, while an optimization model determines maintenance timing based on cost, availability, production requirements, and reliability constraints. Similarly, an energy prediction model can estimate consumption under alternative production schedules, while an optimization model determines a schedule that satisfies production requirements while reducing energy use.

Despite these opportunities, engineering analytics models face several practical challenges. Engineering data can contain missing values, noise, inconsistent measurements, sensor errors, and changes in operating conditions. Models may also perform differently when applied outside the conditions represented in their training data. Interpretability is another important consideration because engineers often need to understand why a model produces a particular prediction before incorporating it into safety-critical or high-value decisions. Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine the structure of engineering analytics models for performance prediction and optimization and to develop an integrated conceptual framework that connects data, predictive modeling, optimization, validation, and engineering decision-making.

2. Objectives of the Study

2.1 To Examine Engineering Analytics Models for Performance Prediction

The first objective is to examine the major analytical approaches used to predict engineering performance. The study considers statistical models, regression techniques, machine-learning algorithms, time-series approaches, simulation-based models, and hybrid analytical methods. Particular attention is given to the relationship between engineering inputs, system conditions, and measurable performance outcomes. The objective is to identify how analytical models can transform historical and real-time engineering information into estimates of future behavior.

A further purpose is to examine the role of data preparation and model validation in prediction accuracy. Engineering datasets frequently originate from multiple sensors, equipment types, operational periods, and information systems. Consequently, preprocessing, feature selection, normalization, outlier treatment, and appropriate validation procedures are important components of predictive analytics. A model that performs well on historical data may not necessarily maintain equivalent performance under changing operating conditions. Robust validation is therefore essential for reliable engineering applications.

2.2 To Analyze Optimization Approaches for Engineering Decision-Making

The second objective is to analyze optimization methods that can be integrated with engineering prediction models. Engineering optimization can involve mathematical programming, evolutionary algorithms, heuristic techniques, gradient-based optimization, simulation optimization, and multi-objective approaches. These methods provide mechanisms for evaluating alternative decisions under defined objectives and constraints. The study examines how predicted performance can become an input to optimization procedures for selecting appropriate engineering decisions.

The objective also considers the relationship between optimization and engineering constraints. Practical engineering decisions cannot generally optimize one performance measure without considering safety, capacity, reliability, cost, resource availability, and regulatory requirements. Optimization models must therefore represent real-world constraints appropriately. Integrating predictive models with optimization algorithms can provide a decision-support environment in which alternative solutions are evaluated according to both predicted outcomes and engineering requirements.

2.3 To Develop an Integrated Framework for Predictive and Optimization Analytics

The third objective is to develop a conceptual framework that integrates data acquisition, preprocessing, prediction, optimization, validation, and decision support. Rather than treating prediction and optimization as independent analytical tasks, the framework considers them as sequential and feedback-based components of an engineering analytics cycle. Predictions provide information about potential system outcomes, while optimization uses these predictions to identify suitable decisions.

The study additionally aims to identify major implementation requirements and challenges associated with integrated analytics. These include data quality, model transparency, uncertainty, computational complexity, cybersecurity, interoperability, and organizational capability. Understanding these factors is important because analytical performance depends not only on algorithmic selection but

also on the quality of data infrastructure and the manner in which analytical results are incorporated into engineering workflows.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Engineering Analytics and Predictive Modeling

Predictive analytics has developed through the integration of statistics, machine learning, computational modeling, and domain-specific engineering knowledge. Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman established a broad statistical-learning foundation for regression, classification, model selection, and predictive analysis. Bishop similarly described machine-learning methods for pattern recognition and probabilistic modeling. These approaches provide the mathematical and computational foundations for developing models capable of identifying relationships between engineering variables and performance outcomes.

In manufacturing and engineering environments, machine learning has been investigated for predictive maintenance, quality assessment, process monitoring, fault detection, and production optimization. Wuest et al. reviewed machine-learning applications in manufacturing and identified opportunities associated with increasingly available production data. Jardine, Lin, and Banjevic examined condition-based maintenance and predictive maintenance approaches, emphasizing the importance of monitoring equipment conditions and converting collected information into maintenance decisions. These studies demonstrate that predictive analytics can support engineering systems when appropriately connected to physical processes and operational requirements.

3.2 Optimization and Decision-Support Models

Optimization has a long-established role in engineering design, operations, scheduling, resource allocation, and system management. Deb's work on multi-objective optimization demonstrates how engineering problems can involve several competing objectives that cannot always be represented through a single performance measure. Genetic algorithms and evolutionary optimization have also been applied to complex engineering problems where traditional mathematical optimization may be difficult because of nonlinearities or discontinuities.

Engineering analytics expands optimization by providing data-driven estimates of system performance. Instead of relying exclusively on fixed analytical equations, optimization procedures can use predictive models to evaluate alternative configurations or operating conditions. This combination creates a surrogate-model or predictive-optimization approach in which computationally expensive engineering simulations can sometimes be approximated by faster analytical models. Such methods can reduce computational burden while allowing broader exploration of potential engineering solutions.

3.3 Hybrid Models, Simulation, and Intelligent Engineering Systems

Engineering systems frequently combine known physical relationships with uncertain and data-driven behaviors. Consequently, hybrid modeling has become an important research direction. Hybrid models combine physical or mechanistic models with statistical or machine-learning components. Such integration can preserve engineering knowledge while using data-driven methods to capture relationships that may be difficult to model explicitly.

Simulation also remains important for engineering prediction and optimization. Simulation models can represent complex system behavior under alternative conditions without requiring direct physical experimentation for every scenario. When simulation is combined with machine learning, surrogate modeling, or optimization algorithms, engineers can evaluate a larger decision space more efficiently. Digital engineering environments further strengthen this integration by connecting physical assets, computational models, operational data, and analytical decision-support mechanisms.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative conceptual research design based on a structured review and synthesis of academic literature related to engineering analytics, predictive modeling, optimization, machine learning, simulation, engineering decision support, and performance assessment. The research focuses on identifying recurring analytical components and their relationships rather than testing a specific experimental hypothesis. Literature addressing engineering prediction, optimization, machine learning, predictive maintenance, and data-driven engineering was considered to establish the conceptual foundation.

The research design organizes the identified concepts into an integrated engineering analytics process. This process begins with engineering data acquisition and preprocessing, followed by predictive modeling, performance estimation, optimization, validation, and decision support. Feedback mechanisms are incorporated because engineering systems continuously generate new operational data that can be used to update analytical models and evaluate model performance.

4.2 Analytical Framework Development

The framework was developed through thematic synthesis of recurring concepts in engineering analytics literature. First, data-related concepts were grouped into acquisition, preprocessing, feature engineering, and data management. Second, predictive modeling concepts were organized around statistical, machine-learning, simulation, and hybrid models. Third, optimization concepts were categorized according to objective functions, constraints, search mechanisms, and decision variables.

The final stage connected prediction and optimization with engineering decision-making. The resulting framework treats predictive performance as an input to optimization while maintaining engineering constraints and validation requirements. This approach reflects the practical relationship between estimating system behavior and selecting decisions intended to achieve desired performance.

4.3 Analytical Dimensions

The analysis was conducted across the following dimensions:

- **Data Acquisition:** Collection of operational, environmental, structural, process, and performance data.
- **Data Preprocessing:** Treatment of missing values, noise, outliers, inconsistent observations, and measurement errors.
- **Feature Engineering:** Identification and transformation of variables relevant to engineering performance.

- **Predictive Modeling:** Application of regression, classification, time-series, machine-learning, and hybrid models.
- **Model Validation:** Evaluation of prediction accuracy, generalization, robustness, and uncertainty.
- **Optimization:** Identification of suitable engineering decisions according to defined objectives and constraints.
- **Multi-Objective Analysis:** Evaluation of trade-offs among cost, reliability, efficiency, quality, safety, and sustainability.
- **Simulation Integration:** Use of computational models to evaluate system behavior under alternative conditions.
- **Decision Support:** Presentation and interpretation of analytical results for engineering decision-makers.
- **Continuous Learning:** Updating models using new operational data and monitoring changing system behavior.

5. Analysis

5.1 Architecture of Engineering Analytics Models

Engineering analytics can be represented as a layered analytical architecture. At the foundation, sensors, monitoring systems, engineering databases, simulations, and operational systems generate information about physical processes. The data-processing layer transforms raw observations into structured datasets through cleaning, integration, normalization, and feature engineering. The predictive layer then applies statistical or machine-learning models to estimate performance outcomes.

The optimization layer uses predicted outcomes to evaluate alternative engineering decisions. Decision variables may include operating parameters, production schedules, maintenance timing, resource allocation, material selection, design dimensions, or energy-management settings. Optimization algorithms search the feasible decision space according to predefined objectives and constraints. The resulting solutions are evaluated and transferred to engineering decision-makers. New operational data subsequently provide feedback for model validation and improvement.

Table 1: Components of an Engineering Analytics Framework

Analytical Component	Major Inputs	Primary Output	Engineering Purpose
Data Acquisition	Sensors, databases, simulations, monitoring systems	Raw engineering data	Capture system conditions
Data Preprocessing	Raw and heterogeneous data	Clean datasets	Improve data reliability
Feature Engineering	Process and operational variables	Relevant features	Represent important system relationships

Analytical Component	Major Inputs	Primary Output	Engineering Purpose
Predictive Modeling	Historical and real-time data	Performance predictions	Estimate future system behavior
Simulation	Engineering parameters and system models	Scenario outcomes	Evaluate alternative conditions
Optimization	Predictions, objectives, constraints	Optimized solutions	Identify suitable decisions
Validation	Predictions and observed outcomes	Performance measures	Assess model reliability
Decision Support	Analytical results	Recommendations/alternatives	Support engineering decisions
Feedback	New operational data	Updated models	Maintain analytical relevance

Interpretation: Table 1 shows that engineering analytics is a connected process rather than a single modeling activity. Data acquisition provides the evidence required for analysis, while preprocessing and feature engineering improve its usability. Predictive models estimate future or unobserved performance, and simulation provides additional opportunities to test alternative scenarios. Optimization converts analytical information into feasible engineering solutions. Validation determines whether the analytical results are sufficiently reliable, while feedback enables models to be monitored and updated as system conditions change.

5.2 Predictive Modeling for Engineering Performance

Predictive modeling can be applied to a wide range of engineering outcomes. Regression models can estimate continuous variables such as energy consumption, temperature, production rate, structural response, or equipment degradation. Classification models can identify discrete states such as normal or abnormal operation. Time-series models can analyze temporal dependencies and forecast future behavior. Machine-learning methods can provide flexible representations of nonlinear relationships between system inputs and performance outcomes.

Model selection should be guided by the characteristics of the engineering problem. A highly complex algorithm is not automatically appropriate if a simpler model provides sufficient accuracy and greater interpretability. Engineering applications may require a balance between predictive performance, computational efficiency, explainability, and robustness. Validation should therefore involve appropriate performance measures and, where possible, testing under operating conditions different from those used during model development.

5.3 Optimization of Engineering Performance

Optimization extends predictive analytics by determining how system inputs can be selected to achieve desired performance. For example, if an analytics model predicts energy consumption under different operating conditions, an optimization algorithm can identify an operating configuration that satisfies production requirements while reducing energy demand. Similar approaches can be applied to maintenance scheduling, structural design, logistics, production planning, and resource allocation.

Table 2: Prediction and Optimization Applications in Engineering

Engineering Area	Prediction Objective	Optimization Objective	Potential Performance Measures
Manufacturing	Predict production quality	Optimize process parameters	Quality, productivity, waste
Energy Systems	Predict demand and consumption	Optimize energy allocation	Cost, consumption, reliability
Maintenance	Predict equipment degradation	Optimize maintenance timing	Downtime, cost, reliability
Transportation	Predict traffic or demand	Optimize routing/scheduling	Travel time, fuel, capacity
Structural Engineering	Predict structural response	Optimize design parameters	Safety, weight, material use
Process Engineering	Predict process output	Optimize operating conditions	Yield, efficiency, stability
Supply Networks	Predict demand and disruptions	Optimize inventory and logistics	Cost, service level, resilience

Interpretation: Table 2 illustrates how predictive analytics and optimization can operate together across different engineering domains. Prediction provides information about expected outcomes, whereas optimization determines how controllable variables can be selected to achieve defined objectives. The appropriate performance measure varies by application. For manufacturing, quality and productivity may be central; for energy systems, consumption and reliability may be important; while maintenance applications may focus on downtime, cost, and reliability. This demonstrates the adaptability of integrated analytics across engineering contexts.

6. Discussion

6.1 Integration of Prediction and Optimization

The analysis indicates that prediction and optimization serve complementary purposes within engineering analytics. Prediction addresses questions concerning expected system behavior, whereas optimization addresses questions concerning the selection of system parameters or operational decisions. Combining the two creates an analytical cycle in which predicted outcomes become inputs to decision optimization. This approach can provide a more comprehensive basis for engineering decisions than either prediction or optimization alone.

The integration also enables scenario-based engineering analysis. Engineers can evaluate multiple operating conditions through predictive models and then use optimization techniques to identify feasible alternatives. Such an approach is particularly valuable when direct experimentation is expensive, time-consuming, or potentially unsafe. However, optimization results remain dependent on the quality and validity of the underlying predictive model. Consequently, predictive uncertainty should be considered when optimized solutions are generated.

6.2 Importance of Data Quality and Model Validation

Data quality is one of the central determinants of engineering analytics performance. Engineering datasets may contain sensor drift, missing measurements, inconsistent sampling frequencies, measurement noise, or changes in equipment configuration. If these issues are not identified and addressed, predictive models may learn relationships that do not accurately represent physical system behavior.

Validation must therefore extend beyond evaluating model accuracy on a single historical dataset. Engineers should examine model performance across different operating periods, system conditions, and relevant scenarios. Where engineering decisions involve safety or significant financial consequences, uncertainty assessment and sensitivity analysis can provide additional information regarding the reliability of model outputs. Transparent validation procedures can also increase confidence among engineers and other decision-makers.

6.3 Explainability and Engineering Decision Support

Engineering decisions often require an understanding of the relationships underlying analytical outputs. Explainable models can help engineers identify important variables and understand why predictions or optimization outcomes are produced. This is particularly relevant when analytical models are used in environments where safety, reliability, regulatory compliance, or expensive operational decisions are involved.

Decision-support systems should therefore present analytical results in a form that engineers can interpret and evaluate. Rather than replacing engineering expertise, analytics can provide additional evidence for professional judgment. Human engineers can review model outputs, consider contextual information that may not be represented in the dataset, and determine whether a proposed solution is practically appropriate. This collaborative approach can strengthen the connection between computational intelligence and engineering knowledge.

7. Challenges

7.1 Data Quality and System Heterogeneity

Engineering analytics frequently depends on data collected from heterogeneous sources. Sensors may use different measurement scales, sampling frequencies, communication protocols, and data formats. Integrating these sources into a consistent analytical environment can therefore require substantial preprocessing and data-engineering effort.

Another challenge involves changing system behavior. Engineering systems may be modified through equipment replacement, software updates, process changes, environmental conditions, or operational adjustments. A predictive model developed under one set of conditions may consequently experience reduced accuracy when the underlying system changes. Continuous monitoring and model updating are therefore important for long-term analytical reliability.

7.2 Model Complexity and Interpretability

Advanced machine-learning models can represent highly nonlinear relationships, but increasing model complexity may make interpretation more difficult. Engineers may hesitate to rely on predictions that cannot be explained adequately, particularly when decisions involve safety, reliability, or substantial economic consequences.

The challenge is therefore to identify an appropriate balance between model complexity and interpretability. In some applications, a transparent regression model may provide sufficient predictive performance. In other situations, more complex models may be justified because of nonlinear system behavior. Hybrid approaches that combine physical knowledge with data-driven methods can provide another pathway toward balancing predictive capability and engineering interpretability.

7.3 Computational, Cybersecurity, and Organizational Challenges

Large engineering datasets and complex optimization problems can require substantial computational resources. Real-time applications create additional requirements because predictions and decisions may need to be generated within short time intervals. Computational efficiency is consequently important for integrating analytics into operational engineering systems.

Cybersecurity is also increasingly relevant because connected engineering systems exchange data across networks, cloud platforms, and organizational boundaries. Unauthorized access, data manipulation, or disruption of analytical infrastructure could affect engineering decisions. In addition, organizations require personnel with expertise in engineering, analytics, optimization, software, and data management. Workforce development and interdisciplinary collaboration are therefore important components of successful analytics implementation.

8. Future Research

Future research should focus on the development of hybrid engineering analytics models that combine physical laws, engineering knowledge, simulation, and machine learning. Purely data-driven models can provide strong predictive capabilities under appropriate data conditions, while physics-based models provide established representations of system behavior. Combining the two approaches may improve

generalization, interpretability, and robustness when operational data are limited or system conditions change.

Another important research direction is real-time predictive optimization. Future engineering systems can increasingly integrate streaming sensor data with continuously updated predictive models and optimization algorithms. Such systems could evaluate changing conditions and generate updated decisions for production, energy management, maintenance, transportation, and other applications. Research should examine computational architectures capable of supporting these processes while maintaining reliability and safety requirements.

Future studies should also examine explainable and uncertainty-aware optimization. An optimized solution based on an uncertain prediction may not necessarily represent a robust engineering decision. Models should therefore communicate prediction confidence, uncertainty ranges, sensitivity to input variables, and the consequences of alternative decisions. Research can further explore human-centered analytics in which engineers interact with predictive and optimization systems through transparent decision-support interfaces.

9. Conclusion

Engineering analytics models provide an important framework for transforming engineering data into performance predictions and optimized decisions. The analysis demonstrates that predictive modeling and optimization address complementary aspects of engineering decision-making. Predictive models estimate future or unobserved system behavior, while optimization methods identify feasible system configurations or operational decisions according to defined objectives and constraints.

The effectiveness of these models depends on several interconnected factors. Reliable data acquisition, preprocessing, feature engineering, model selection, validation, and uncertainty assessment form the foundation of predictive analytics. Optimization procedures must then incorporate appropriate objectives, constraints, and decision variables. The resulting analytical framework can be applied across manufacturing, energy, transportation, maintenance, structural engineering, process engineering, and supply-network applications.

The study also identifies challenges related to data quality, system heterogeneity, model interpretability, computational complexity, cybersecurity, and organizational readiness. These challenges demonstrate that engineering analytics cannot be reduced to algorithm selection. Successful implementation requires integration with engineering knowledge, operational systems, data infrastructure, and professional decision-making processes.

Future engineering analytics should increasingly combine physical modeling, machine learning, simulation, predictive analytics, and optimization within adaptive and continuously monitored frameworks. Such integration can support more informed engineering decisions while allowing organizations to respond to changing system conditions. A human-centered approach, in which analytical models augment rather than replace engineering expertise, can further strengthen the practical application of predictive and optimization technologies. Overall, integrated engineering analytics provides a structured foundation for improving performance assessment, operational planning, resource utilization, reliability, and engineering system management.

10. References

1. Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer.
2. Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2009). *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*. Springer.
3. Montgomery, D. C., Peck, E. A., & Vining, G. G. (2012). *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis*. Wiley.
4. Box, G. E. P., Hunter, J. S., & Hunter, W. G. (2005). *Statistics for Experimenters: Design, Innovation, and Discovery*. Wiley.
5. Deb, K. (2001). *Multi-Objective Optimization Using Evolutionary Algorithms*. Wiley.
6. Goldberg, D. E. (1989). *Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning*. Addison-Wesley.
7. Wuest, T., Weimer, D., Irgens, C., & Thoben, K. D. (2016). Machine learning in manufacturing: Advantages, challenges, and applications. *Production & Manufacturing Research*, 4(1), 23–45.
8. Jardine, A. K. S., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. (2006). A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 20(7), 1483–1510.
9. Lei, Y., Li, N., Guo, L., Li, N., Yan, T., & Lin, J. (2018). Machinery health prognostics: A systematic review from data acquisition to RUL prediction. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 104, 799–834.
10. Si, X. S., Wang, W., Hu, C. H., & Zhou, D. H. (2011). Remaining useful life estimation—A review on the statistical data-driven approaches. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 213(1), 1–14.
11. Lee, J., Wu, F., Zhao, W., Ghaffari, M., Liao, L., & Siegel, D. (2014). Prognostics and health management design for rotary machinery systems—Reviews, methodology and applications. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 42(1–2), 314–334.
12. Kusiak, A. (2018). Smart manufacturing. *International Journal of Production Research*, 56(1–2), 508–517.
13. Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H. A. (2015). A cyber-physical systems architecture for Industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing Letters*, 3, 18–23.
14. Qin, J., Liu, Y., & Grosvenor, R. (2016). A categorical framework of manufacturing for Industry 4.0 and beyond. *Procedia CIRP*, 52, 173–178.
15. Tao, F., Qi, Q., Wang, L., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twins and cyber-physical systems toward smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0: Correlation and comparison. *Engineering*, 5(4), 653–661.
16. Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 15(4), 2405–2415.
17. Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Traar, G., Henjes, J., & Sihn, W. (2018). Digital Twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 51(11), 1016–1022.
18. Lu, Y., Liu, C., Wang, K. I. K., Huang, H., & Xu, X. (2020). Digital Twin-driven smart manufacturing: Connotation, reference model, applications and research issues. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 61, 101837.
19. Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. *IEEE Access*, 8, 108952–108971.