

# Artificial Intelligence-Based Diagnostic Support Systems in Healthcare

Jeremy C. Wyatt

Professor, University of Southampton, United Kingdom

## Abstract

Artificial intelligence has emerged as an important technological approach for strengthening diagnostic support in modern healthcare. The increasing volume of clinical records, medical images, laboratory information, physiological measurements, and other biomedical data has created opportunities for intelligent systems to assist healthcare professionals in detecting diseases, interpreting complex information, assessing clinical risks, and supporting diagnostic decision-making. This study examines artificial intelligence-based diagnostic support systems in healthcare, with particular emphasis on machine learning, deep learning, medical image analysis, clinical data interpretation, predictive analytics, and clinical decision support.

A qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design was adopted using secondary academic literature. The analysis indicates that AI-based diagnostic support systems can process large and heterogeneous datasets and identify patterns that may assist clinicians in disease detection and classification. These systems can support radiology, pathology, cardiology, oncology, laboratory medicine, and general clinical decision-making. However, their implementation is affected by challenges involving data quality, algorithmic bias, interpretability, privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability, model generalizability, clinical validation, and workflow integration.

The study concludes that AI-based diagnostic support systems can strengthen healthcare when they are developed using representative datasets, clinically validated algorithms, transparent analytical processes, and secure data infrastructures. Future research should emphasize explainable AI, multimodal clinical data integration, real-time diagnostic support, federated learning, human-AI collaboration, and prospective clinical validation.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Diagnostic Support Systems, Healthcare, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Clinical Decision Support, Medical Diagnosis, Medical Imaging, Predictive Analytics, Healthcare Informatics.

## 1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has become an increasingly important component of digital healthcare because of its ability to process large volumes of complex information and identify patterns within clinical datasets. Modern healthcare organizations generate extensive information through electronic health records, medical imaging, laboratory investigations, genomic analysis, physiological monitoring, and clinical

documentation. The complexity and scale of these data have increased the need for computational systems capable of supporting healthcare professionals in interpreting information efficiently and consistently.

Diagnosis is a fundamental component of healthcare because appropriate identification of disease conditions influences subsequent treatment and patient-management decisions. Conventional diagnosis relies heavily on clinical expertise, patient history, physical examination, laboratory testing, and imaging interpretation. Although these approaches remain essential, the growing complexity of medical information can create challenges in identifying subtle or interconnected patterns. AI-based diagnostic support systems can complement conventional processes by analyzing large datasets and providing clinically relevant predictions or alerts.

Machine learning provides one of the major technological foundations of AI-based diagnostic support. Supervised learning algorithms can learn relationships between patient characteristics and known diagnostic outcomes, while unsupervised learning methods can identify previously unrecognized patterns. Classification models can distinguish between different disease categories, and predictive models can estimate the probability of specific clinical conditions. These capabilities can assist healthcare professionals in evaluating complex cases.

Deep learning has further expanded the capabilities of diagnostic support systems. Neural networks can process high-dimensional information, including medical images, pathology slides, electrocardiographic signals, and other biomedical datasets. Automated feature extraction allows deep-learning systems to identify patterns that may be difficult to represent through manually designed variables. However, the complexity of deep-learning models also creates important requirements for transparency, validation, and clinical interpretation.

Medical imaging represents one of the most established areas of AI-based diagnostic support. Radiological images contain detailed information about anatomical structures and pathological changes. AI systems can assist with image classification, abnormality detection, segmentation, and quantitative analysis. Such capabilities may support radiologists by identifying potentially relevant findings and prioritizing cases requiring further attention.

Pathology is another important area for AI-supported diagnosis. Digital pathology generates high-resolution images containing complex cellular and tissue-level information. Machine-learning systems can analyze these images to identify morphological patterns associated with disease. Automated image analysis may support pathologists by providing quantitative assessments and assisting with the identification of potentially abnormal tissue regions.

Cardiovascular healthcare also provides significant opportunities for AI-based diagnostic support. Electrocardiographic signals, imaging, laboratory information, and patient histories can be analyzed using machine-learning approaches. Algorithms may identify patterns associated with cardiovascular abnormalities and estimate clinical risks. Such systems can potentially provide additional information to healthcare professionals during diagnostic assessment.

Oncology is another field where AI-based diagnostic support can contribute to disease identification. Cancer diagnosis frequently requires the integration of imaging, pathology, molecular information, laboratory results, and clinical history. AI models can analyze these datasets to identify

suspicious patterns, classify lesions, and support disease characterization. Multimodal systems may provide more comprehensive information than models based on a single data source.

## 2. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to examine artificial intelligence-based diagnostic support systems in healthcare by analyzing machine learning, deep learning, medical image analysis, predictive analytics, clinical data interpretation, and decision-support applications.

The study seeks to understand how AI can assist healthcare professionals in disease identification while maintaining accuracy, transparency, fairness, privacy, security, clinical validity, and appropriate human oversight.

### 2.1 Examination of AI-Based Diagnostic Technologies

The first objective is to examine major artificial intelligence technologies used for diagnostic support, including supervised learning, unsupervised learning, ensemble models, deep learning, computer vision, and predictive analytics.

The study evaluates their capabilities for analyzing electronic health records, medical images, laboratory data, physiological signals, and other clinical information relevant to disease detection and diagnostic classification.

### 2.2 Assessment of Healthcare Diagnostic Applications

The second objective is to assess applications of AI-based diagnostic support across medical imaging, pathology, cardiology, oncology, laboratory medicine, and general clinical practice. The study examines how AI-generated predictions, classifications, alerts, and analytical outputs can complement conventional diagnostic procedures and provide healthcare professionals with additional evidence for clinical interpretation and decision-making.

### 2.3 Identification of Implementation Requirements

The third objective is to identify implementation requirements involving data quality, algorithmic fairness, explainability, privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability, clinical validation, generalizability, and workflow integration.

The study examines how these factors influence the reliability and acceptance of AI-based diagnostic systems and emphasizes secure, transparent, representative, clinically validated, and human-centered implementation.

## 3. Review of Literature

### 3.1 Artificial Intelligence and Clinical Diagnosis

Jiang et al. (2017) described artificial intelligence as an increasingly important component of healthcare, with applications involving diagnosis, prediction, and clinical decision support. Machine-learning models can analyze complex healthcare datasets and identify patterns associated with disease.

These capabilities provide opportunities for supporting healthcare professionals when large volumes of clinical information must be evaluated.

Rajkomar et al. (2019) highlighted the potential of machine learning for medical prediction and clinical applications. Supervised learning can classify known diagnostic outcomes, while other approaches can identify patterns within complex datasets.

However, clinical usefulness depends on appropriate data quality, validation, interpretability, and integration into healthcare workflows rather than predictive accuracy alone.

### 3.2 Deep Learning and Medical Imaging

Esteva et al. (2019) emphasized the growing importance of deep learning in healthcare. Deep neural networks can process high-dimensional clinical information and automatically learn representations from complex datasets.

These capabilities are particularly relevant to image-based diagnostic applications, where models can analyze visual features associated with disease conditions. Litjens et al. (2017) reviewed deep-learning applications in medical image analysis and identified substantial potential for classification, detection, segmentation, and other imaging tasks.

AI-supported imaging can assist healthcare professionals by identifying potentially abnormal findings and providing quantitative analysis. Nevertheless, differences in imaging equipment, acquisition procedures, and patient populations require careful external validation.

### 3.3 Explainability, Safety, and Clinical Validation

Amann et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of explainability for healthcare AI because healthcare professionals may require understandable information concerning AI-generated decisions. Explainable systems can provide information about influential features or model outputs, although explanations should not automatically be interpreted as evidence of causality.

Wiens et al. (2019) emphasized responsible development of machine-learning systems in healthcare. Their work highlighted the importance of safety, data quality, validation, fairness, and responsible implementation. These considerations are particularly important for diagnostic systems because inaccurate outputs may influence subsequent clinical decisions and patient-management pathways.

## 4. Research Methodology

### 4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine artificial intelligence-based diagnostic support systems in healthcare. Secondary academic literature addressing artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, clinical decision support, medical imaging, diagnostic prediction, healthcare informatics, and biomedical data analysis was reviewed.

The conceptual framework considered data acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, AI modeling, diagnostic prediction, clinical interpretation, validation, and decision support as interconnected stages. These components were examined according to accuracy, clinical relevance, interpretability, fairness, privacy, security, generalizability, and practical usability.

#### 4.2 Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and established academic publications related to AI-supported diagnosis. Literature addressing electronic health records, medical imaging, laboratory data, physiological signals, pathology, cardiology, oncology, machine learning, and deep learning was considered.

The literature was organized according to AI technology and diagnostic application. Technology categories included supervised learning, unsupervised learning, ensemble learning, deep learning, computer vision, and predictive analytics. Diagnostic categories included disease detection, image classification, clinical risk assessment, diagnostic prediction, patient stratification, and clinical decision support.

#### 4.3 Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed through thematic analysis. Major themes included diagnostic prediction, medical image analysis, clinical data interpretation, multimodal data integration, explainability, fairness, privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability, clinical validation, and human-AI collaboration.

A conceptual synthesis was developed to explain how AI-based diagnostic support systems transform healthcare information into diagnostic insights. Data are collected and prepared, relevant features are identified, AI models generate predictions or classifications, outputs are evaluated, and clinically appropriate information is presented to healthcare professionals. Human judgment remains essential throughout this process.

### 5. Analysis

#### 5.1 AI Technologies Supporting Diagnostic Decision-Making

**Table 1: AI Technologies and Diagnostic Support Applications**

| AI Technology                 | Analytical Capability     | Diagnostic Support Application  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Logistic Regression           | Probability estimation    | Disease-risk assessment         |
| Decision Trees                | Rule-based classification | Diagnostic classification       |
| Random Forest                 | Ensemble prediction       | Clinical prediction             |
| Support Vector Machines       | Pattern classification    | Disease detection               |
| Gradient Boosting             | Nonlinear prediction      | Diagnostic risk assessment      |
| Deep Neural Networks          | Complex feature learning  | Disease classification          |
| Convolutional Neural Networks | Image analysis            | Medical image diagnosis         |
| Clustering                    | Pattern discovery         | Patient subgroup identification |

The analysis demonstrates that AI technologies provide complementary capabilities for diagnostic support. Traditional machine-learning methods may provide relatively interpretable predictions, while deep-learning approaches can analyze complex imaging and high-dimensional biomedical data. Model selection should be based on clinical requirements, data characteristics, interpretability, computational requirements, and the consequences associated with incorrect predictions.

## 5.2 Healthcare Applications of AI-Based Diagnostic Support

**Table 2: Healthcare Applications and Diagnostic Contributions**

| Healthcare Area     | Primary Data               | AI-Based Diagnostic Contribution |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Radiology           | Medical Images             | Abnormality detection            |
| Pathology           | Digital Tissue Images      | Disease classification           |
| Cardiology          | ECG and Clinical Data      | Cardiovascular assessment        |
| Oncology            | Imaging and Molecular Data | Cancer characterization          |
| Laboratory Medicine | Laboratory Results         | Disease classification           |
| General Medicine    | EHR Data                   | Clinical risk prediction         |
| Neurology           | Imaging and Clinical Data  | Neurological assessment          |
| Remote Monitoring   | Physiological Data         | Early condition identification   |

The analysis indicates that AI-based diagnostic support has applications across multiple medical specialties. Imaging-based applications can support visual interpretation, while EHR and laboratory models can integrate diverse clinical variables. Multimodal systems may provide more comprehensive diagnostic information. However, clinical validation, appropriate workflow integration, data quality, and professional interpretation remain essential.

## 6. Discussion

Artificial intelligence-based diagnostic support systems can strengthen healthcare by providing computational assistance for analyzing large and complex clinical datasets. Machine-learning algorithms can identify relationships between patient characteristics and diagnostic outcomes, while deep-learning systems can process medical images and other high-dimensional information. These capabilities can complement conventional diagnostic methods and provide healthcare professionals with additional analytical evidence.

The usefulness of AI-based diagnostic support depends on the specific clinical application. A model designed for medical imaging may require different architecture and evaluation criteria from a model analyzing laboratory results or electronic health records. Consequently, AI systems should be developed according to clinical objectives rather than applying a single computational approach across all diagnostic tasks.

Medical imaging remains one of the most significant areas for AI-assisted diagnosis. Deep-learning models can identify patterns within radiological and pathological images and assist with classification and abnormality detection. These systems may help prioritize cases and provide quantitative information. However, imaging models can be influenced by variations in equipment, image quality, acquisition protocols, and patient populations.

Electronic health records provide another important source of diagnostic information. EHR-based AI systems can integrate diagnoses, medications, laboratory results, clinical observations, and longitudinal patient histories. This can support risk prediction and disease identification. However, EHR information is often collected for clinical purposes rather than model development, resulting in missing values, inconsistent documentation, and variable coding practices.

Laboratory-based AI systems can identify combinations of test results associated with disease conditions. Conventional laboratory interpretation may consider individual measurements or predefined thresholds, whereas machine-learning models can analyze multiple variables simultaneously. Such systems may provide additional diagnostic evidence, but their outputs must be evaluated in relation to clinical context and other diagnostic findings.

AI can also contribute to early disease identification. Predictive models may identify patterns associated with increased risk before obvious clinical deterioration occurs. Early alerts can potentially support timely investigation and intervention. However, excessive false-positive alerts may increase workload and contribute to alert fatigue. Diagnostic systems must therefore balance sensitivity with practical usability.

Deep learning provides strong capabilities for processing complex information, but its implementation can present interpretability challenges. Clinicians may be reluctant to rely on predictions that cannot be meaningfully evaluated. Explainable AI methods can provide information about influential variables or relevant image regions. Nevertheless, explanations should be carefully validated and should not be interpreted as definitive biological mechanisms.

Clinical decision support is most effective when AI-generated information is integrated into existing healthcare workflows. Systems should provide information at appropriate points in the diagnostic process and avoid unnecessary interruptions. Clinicians should be able to evaluate AI predictions alongside patient history, physical examination, laboratory findings, and imaging results.

Data quality is a fundamental determinant of diagnostic AI performance. Inaccurately labeled datasets, incomplete clinical information, and inconsistent measurements can cause models to learn unreliable relationships. High-quality annotation is particularly important for medical imaging and pathology because incorrect labels can directly affect model learning. Data curation should therefore be considered a central component of system development.

## 7. Challenges

### 7.1 Data Quality and Clinical Data Heterogeneity

AI-based diagnostic systems depend on high-quality clinical data, yet healthcare datasets frequently contain missing values, inconsistent documentation, measurement errors, coding differences, and incomplete patient histories. These problems can influence model training and reduce diagnostic reliability. Medical imaging and pathology datasets may additionally require expert annotation, making data preparation time-consuming and resource-intensive.

Healthcare data also vary considerably across institutions. Differences in equipment, laboratory procedures, clinical documentation, patient populations, and disease prevalence can affect model performance. Standardization, preprocessing, quality control, and external validation are therefore essential. Models should be evaluated using diverse datasets to determine whether learned patterns

### 7.2 Bias and Generalizability

Algorithmic bias can emerge when diagnostic AI systems are trained using datasets that inadequately represent particular populations. Unequal representation may result in differences in sensitivity, specificity, calibration, or other performance measures across patient groups. Such disparities can reduce trust and create risks when systems are used across diverse clinical populations.

Generalizability is also challenging because models may learn characteristics specific to their development environment. A model trained at one institution may depend on local equipment, documentation practices, or patient characteristics. Multi-institutional research, subgroup evaluation, external validation, and continuous monitoring can help determine whether diagnostic systems maintain reliable performance after deployment.

### 7.3 Interpretability and Clinical Workflow

Complex AI models can generate diagnostic predictions without providing explanations that clinicians can readily understand. Limited interpretability may make it difficult for professionals to assess whether a prediction is clinically plausible. Explainable AI methods can provide information about influential variables or image regions, but explanations must be evaluated for clinical usefulness.

Workflow integration presents another challenge. Diagnostic systems that generate excessive alerts or require additional steps may increase workload rather than improve efficiency. AI outputs should therefore be presented at appropriate stages of clinical decision-making. Human-centered interface design, clinician participation, appropriate training, and clear communication of uncertainty can improve practical adoption.

### 7.4 Privacy, Security, and Interoperability

AI diagnostic systems require access to sensitive healthcare information, including clinical records, medical images, laboratory results, and physiological measurements. Unauthorized access or inappropriate data use can compromise patient confidentiality. Secure storage, encryption, authentication, controlled access, de-identification, and privacy-preserving analytical approaches are therefore essential.

Interoperability creates an additional challenge because relevant diagnostic information may be distributed across different healthcare systems. EHRs, laboratories, imaging platforms, and monitoring devices may use different standards and formats. Incompatible systems can prevent AI models from accessing complete information. Standardized data structures and interoperable communication mechanisms can support more comprehensive and reliable diagnostic analysis.

## 8. Future Research

Future research should focus on multimodal AI diagnostic systems capable of integrating electronic health records, medical images, laboratory data, physiological signals, and molecular information. Explainable and fairness-aware models should be developed to improve transparency and equitable diagnostic performance. Research should also examine real-time diagnostic support, personalized disease detection, uncertainty estimation, and AI-assisted clinical reasoning.

Further research should prioritize prospective and multi-institutional validation of AI diagnostic systems. Federated learning and privacy-preserving methods may enable collaborative model development while reducing centralized exposure of sensitive healthcare information. Future studies should also investigate model drift, cybersecurity, interoperability, human-AI collaboration, clinical workflow integration, and patient outcomes to establish long-term clinical effectiveness.

## 9. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence-based diagnostic support systems provide important opportunities for improving healthcare diagnosis by analyzing complex clinical, imaging, laboratory, and physiological information. Machine-learning and deep-learning models can identify patterns associated with disease and provide predictions that complement conventional diagnostic procedures. These capabilities can support healthcare professionals in managing increasingly complex clinical information.

AI diagnostic systems have applications across radiology, pathology, cardiology, oncology, laboratory medicine, and general clinical practice. Medical imaging models can support abnormality detection, while EHR-based systems can analyze longitudinal patient information. Multimodal approaches can integrate different data sources to provide broader diagnostic information. However, each application requires appropriate model development and clinical validation.

The effectiveness of AI-based diagnosis depends strongly on data quality, representativeness, and generalizability. Missing information, inconsistent documentation, dataset bias, and differences between healthcare institutions can influence model performance. External validation and continuous monitoring are therefore essential. Diagnostic systems should also be evaluated using clinically meaningful performance measures rather than relying exclusively on overall accuracy.

Explainability, privacy, cybersecurity, and interoperability are critical requirements for responsible implementation. Healthcare professionals need to understand the limitations and appropriate interpretation of AI-generated predictions. Sensitive clinical information must be protected through secure infrastructures and governance mechanisms. Interoperability is also necessary to ensure that diagnostic models can access complete and relevant patient information.

Overall, AI-based diagnostic support systems can contribute significantly to data-driven and technology-enabled healthcare when appropriately designed and validated. Their successful

implementation requires a combination of advanced analytical methods, high-quality data, clinical expertise, transparent processes, secure infrastructure, and human oversight. Future research should emphasize multimodal integration, explainable AI, fairness, privacy-preserving learning, prospective validation, and effective human-AI collaboration to strengthen reliable diagnostic support.

## References

1. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 44–56.
2. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 24–29.
3. Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., & Kohane, I. (2019). Machine learning in medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(14), 1347–1358.
4. Chen, I. Y., Joshi, S., & Ghassemi, M. (2021). Treating health disparities with artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 27, 1610–1612.
5. Chen, I. Y., Joshi, S., Ghassemi, M., & Ranganath, R. (2021). Probabilistic machine learning for healthcare. *Annual Review of Biomedical Data Science*, 4, 393–415.
6. Kouri, A., Yamada, J., Lam Shin Cheung, J., & Van de Velde, S. (2022). Do providers use computerized clinical decision support systems? A systematic review and meta-regression of clinical decision support uptake. *Implementation Science*, 17, 21.
7. Hak, F., Guimarães, T., & Santos, M. (2022). Towards effective clinical decision support systems: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE*, 17(8), e0272846.
8. El-Kareh, R., & Sittig, D. F. (2022). Enhancing diagnosis through technology: Decision support, artificial intelligence, and beyond. *Critical Care Clinics*, 38(1), 129–139.
9. Vasey, B., Nagendran, M., Campbell, B., Clifton, D. A., Collins, G. S., Denaxas, S., Denniston, A. K., Faes, L., Geerts, B., Ibrahim, M., Liu, X., Mateen, B. A., Mathur, P., McCradden, M. D., Morgan, L., Ordish, J., Rogers, C., Saria, S., Ting, D. S. W., Watkinson, P., Weber, W., Wheatstone, P., & McCulloch, P. (2022). Reporting guideline for the early stage clinical evaluation of decision support systems driven by artificial intelligence: DECIDE-AI. *BMJ*, 377, e070904.
10. Knop, M., Weber, S., Mueller, M., & Niehaves, B. (2022). Human factors and technological characteristics influencing the interaction of medical professionals with artificial intelligence-enabled clinical decision support systems: Literature review. *JMIR Human Factors*, 9(1).
11. Papadopoulos, P., Soflano, M., Chaudy, Y., Adejo, W., & Connolly, T. M. (2022). A systematic review of technologies and standards used in the development of rule-based clinical decision support systems. *Health and Technology*, 12, 713–727.
12. Akalın, B., & Veranyurt, Ü. (2022). Artificial intelligence based clinical decision support systems in health sciences. *Gevher Nesibe Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 7(18), 64–73.
13. Morley, J., Machado, C. C. V., Burr, C., Cows, J., Joshi, I., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2020). The ethics of AI in health care: A mapping review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 260, 113172.
14. Nagendran, M., Chen, Y., Lovejoy, C. A., Gordon, A. C., Komorowski, M., Harvey, H., Topol, E. J., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Collins, G. S., & Maruthappu, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence versus clinicians:

- Systematic review of design, reporting standards, and claims of deep learning studies. *BMJ*, 368, m689.
15. Liu, X., Faes, L., Kale, A. U., Wagner, S. K., Fu, D. J., Bruynseels, A., Mahendiran, T., Moraes, G., Shamdas, M., Kern, C., Ledsam, J. R., Schmid, M. K., Balaskas, K., Topol, E. J., Bachmann, L. M., Keane, P. A., & Denniston, A. K. (2019). A comparison of deep learning performance against health-care professionals in detecting diseases from medical imaging: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 1(6), e271–e297.
  16. Mei, X., Lee, H.-C., Diao, K.-y., Huang, M., Lin, B., Liu, C., Xie, Z., Ma, Y., Robson, P. M., Chung, M., Bernheim, A., Mani, V., Calcagno, C., Li, K., Li, S., Shan, H., Lv, J., Zhao, T., Xia, J., Long, Q., Steinberger, S., Jacobi, A., Deyer, T., Luksza, M., Liu, F., Little, B. P., Fayad, Z. A., & Yang, Y. (2020). Artificial intelligence-enabled rapid diagnosis of patients with COVID-19. *Nature Medicine*, 26, 1224–1228.
  17. McKinney, S. M., Sieniek, M., Godbole, V., Godwin, J., Antropova, N., Ashrafian, H., Back, T., Chesus, M., Corrado, G. S., Darzi, A., Etemadi, M., Garcia-Vicente, F., Gilbert, F. J., Halling-Brown, M., Hassabis, D., Jansen, S., Karthikesalingam, A., Kelly, C. J., King, D., Ledsam, J. R., Melnick, D., Mostofi, H., Peng, L., Reicher, J. J., Romera-Paredes, B., Sidebottom, R., Suleyman, M., Tse, D., Young, K. C., & Shetty, S. (2020). International evaluation of an AI system for breast cancer screening. *Nature*, 577, 89–94.
  18. Tjoa, E., & Guan, C. (2021). A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (XAI): Toward medical XAI. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(11), 4793–4813.
  19. Nagendran, M., Chen, Y., Lovejoy, C. A., Gordon, A. C., Komorowski, M., Harvey, H., Topol, E. J., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Collins, G. S., & Maruthappu, M. (2020). Artificial intelligence versus clinicians: Systematic review of design, reporting standards, and claims of deep learning studies. *BMJ*, 368, m689.
  20. Vasey, B., Nagendran, M., Campbell, B., Clifton, D. A., Collins, G. S., Denaxas, S., Denniston, A. K., Faes, L., Geerts, B., Ibrahim, M., Liu, X., Mateen, B. A., Mathur, P., McCradden, M. D., Morgan, L., Ordish, J., Rogers, C., Saria, S., Ting, D. S. W., Watkinson, P., Weber, W., Wheatstone, P., & McCulloch, P. (2022). Reporting guideline for the early stage clinical evaluation of decision support systems driven by artificial intelligence: DECIDE-AI. *BMJ*, 377, e070904.