

Machine Learning Techniques for Medical Data Interpretation

Elena Casiraghi

Professor, University of Milan, Italy

Abstract

Machine learning has become an important computational approach for interpreting complex medical data and supporting data-driven healthcare research. The increasing availability of electronic health records, medical imaging, laboratory results, physiological measurements, genomic information, and clinical documentation has created substantial opportunities for machine-learning-based analysis. This study examines machine learning techniques for medical data interpretation through a conceptual and literature-based research approach. The study focuses on supervised learning, unsupervised learning, deep learning, classification, regression, clustering, dimensionality reduction, and predictive analytics. These techniques can assist in identifying patterns, classifying medical observations, predicting clinical outcomes, grouping patients according to characteristics, and extracting meaningful information from complex datasets.

Machine learning can support medical image interpretation, disease-risk assessment, clinical decision support, patient stratification, and analysis of longitudinal healthcare information. However, the effectiveness of machine-learning systems depends strongly on data quality, representativeness, preprocessing, model selection, validation, interpretability, and appropriate clinical integration. Medical datasets may contain missing values, inconsistent measurements, class imbalance, demographic biases, and heterogeneous information, which can affect model performance. Furthermore, machine-learning predictions should not be considered independent clinical conclusions because professional interpretation and appropriate validation remain essential. The study concludes that machine learning can contribute significantly to medical data interpretation by improving analytical efficiency, identifying complex patterns, and supporting evidence-informed healthcare decision-making. Future research should emphasize explainable artificial intelligence, multimodal medical data integration, federated learning, privacy-preserving analytics, robust validation, personalized medicine, and human-centered clinical decision-support systems.

Keywords: Machine Learning, Medical Data, Data Interpretation, Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Analytics, Clinical Decision Support, Deep Learning, Predictive Analytics, Medical Informatics, Healthcare Data

1. Introduction

The rapid expansion of digital healthcare has generated large volumes of medical data from electronic health records, diagnostic imaging, laboratory investigations, wearable devices, genomic systems, and

clinical documentation. Interpreting such information manually can be challenging because medical datasets are often heterogeneous, multidimensional, and continuously generated. Machine learning provides computational techniques capable of analyzing large datasets and identifying patterns that may support medical research and healthcare decision-making. Its increasing application has created new opportunities for transforming complex medical information into useful analytical insights.

Machine learning techniques include supervised learning, unsupervised learning, deep learning, classification, regression, clustering, and dimensionality reduction. Supervised models can learn relationships between input variables and known outcomes, whereas unsupervised approaches can identify structures within data without predefined outcome labels. Deep learning can process complex representations, particularly in medical imaging and other high-dimensional datasets. These methods can therefore be applied according to the characteristics of the medical data and the objectives of the analysis.

Medical data interpretation can involve multiple analytical tasks, including disease classification, risk prediction, patient segmentation, anomaly detection, outcome estimation, and image analysis. Machine-learning models can evaluate combinations of clinical variables and identify relationships that may not be immediately visible through conventional analytical approaches. In medical imaging, deep-learning models can assist with the identification and classification of image patterns. In clinical datasets, predictive models can support the assessment of potential outcomes based on historical information.

Despite these opportunities, medical machine learning presents important methodological challenges. Data quality can vary across healthcare institutions, patient populations, devices, and clinical environments. Missing observations, inconsistent coding, class imbalance, measurement differences, and demographic representation can influence model performance. A model that performs effectively on one dataset may not necessarily achieve similar performance when applied to a different population or healthcare setting. Therefore, external validation and careful assessment of generalizability are important components of responsible medical machine learning.

Machine learning should consequently be viewed as an analytical support technology rather than a replacement for medical expertise. Reliable medical data interpretation requires appropriate preprocessing, model selection, validation, interpretability, clinical context, and professional oversight. This study examines machine learning techniques for medical data interpretation, focusing on major methodologies, healthcare applications, analytical contributions, implementation challenges, and future research directions.

2. Objectives of the Study

2.1 Primary Objective

The primary objective of this study is to examine machine learning techniques used for medical data interpretation and analyze how classification, regression, clustering, deep learning, dimensionality reduction, and predictive analytics can support the identification of patterns, prediction of outcomes, patient stratification, diagnostic analysis, and evidence-informed healthcare decision-making.

The study also aims to identify methodological and practical requirements for reliable machine-learning-based medical data interpretation. Particular attention is given to data quality, preprocessing,

model selection, validation, interpretability, generalization, privacy, bias, clinical integration, and professional oversight. The objective is to provide a structured understanding of how machine learning can complement conventional medical data analysis.

2.2 Examination of Machine Learning Techniques

The first objective is to examine major machine learning techniques applicable to medical data interpretation, including supervised learning, unsupervised learning, deep learning, classification, regression, clustering, and dimensionality reduction. The study considers their analytical functions across different medical data types.

The objective further evaluates how different techniques can be applied to clinical records, medical imaging, laboratory information, physiological measurements, and other healthcare datasets. Emphasis is placed on selecting analytical approaches according to data characteristics and research objectives while recognizing the importance of appropriate validation and clinical interpretation.

2.3 Assessment of Medical Data Applications

The second objective is to assess the application of machine learning in medical data interpretation, including disease classification, risk prediction, patient stratification, diagnostic support, outcome prediction, anomaly detection, and medical image analysis. These applications demonstrate the potential contribution of computational analytics to digital healthcare.

The objective also examines challenges involving data heterogeneity, missing information, class imbalance, bias, interpretability, privacy, generalization, and clinical integration. Understanding these challenges is necessary for developing machine-learning systems that provide reliable analytical information and can be appropriately incorporated into healthcare research and decision-support environments.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Machine Learning in Medical Data Analysis

Machine learning has become increasingly relevant to medical data analysis because healthcare datasets contain complex relationships among clinical variables, patient characteristics, diagnoses, treatments, and outcomes. Rajkomar, Dean, and Kohane (2019) discussed the growing application of machine learning in medicine and identified opportunities across diagnosis, prognosis, and clinical decision support. Machine-learning models can process large datasets and identify patterns that may support medical analysis.

Yu, Beam, and Kohane (2018) examined artificial intelligence in healthcare and highlighted the potential of machine-learning methods to support clinical applications. However, the authors emphasized that successful healthcare implementation requires appropriate data, validation, and integration with clinical practice. Model performance alone is insufficient when analytical outputs are not clinically interpretable or applicable.

3.2 Deep Learning and Medical Imaging

Deep learning has become particularly important for interpreting complex medical images. Litjens et al. (2017) reviewed deep learning applications in medical image analysis and identified applications in classification, segmentation, detection, and other imaging tasks. Deep-learning approaches can automatically learn representations from image data and support computational interpretation.

Esteva et al. (2017) demonstrated the potential of deep neural networks for skin-lesion classification, illustrating how machine learning can support image-based diagnostic tasks. Such findings have encouraged research into AI-assisted medical imaging. Nevertheless, image-based models require careful validation across diverse populations, equipment, imaging protocols, and clinical settings before their outputs can be appropriately interpreted.

3.3 Predictive Analytics and Clinical Decision Support

Predictive machine learning can assist in estimating clinical outcomes using historical and current medical information. Obermeyer and Emanuel (2016) discussed the broader potential of machine learning for improving healthcare and highlighted applications involving diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment decisions. Predictive systems can provide additional analytical information to healthcare professionals.

Topol (2019) emphasized the importance of combining artificial intelligence with human clinical expertise. Machine-learning systems may identify patterns rapidly, but healthcare professionals provide contextual interpretation and accountability. This human-machine relationship is particularly important when model outputs influence clinical decisions, because predictions must be evaluated in relation to patient-specific conditions and available medical evidence.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual and literature-based research design to examine machine learning techniques for medical data interpretation. The research focuses on supervised learning, unsupervised learning, deep learning, classification, regression, clustering, dimensionality reduction, and predictive analytics.

The study is descriptive and analytical rather than experimental. Existing academic literature is examined to identify methodological approaches, medical applications, analytical capabilities, and implementation limitations. No fabricated patient records, clinical measurements, diagnostic outcomes, or experimental results are introduced. The research instead develops a structured conceptual assessment of how machine learning can support the interpretation of complex medical information.

4.2 Literature Collection and Organization

The literature was organized around major themes including machine learning methodologies, medical data types, clinical prediction, medical imaging, patient stratification, anomaly detection, and clinical decision support. Academic studies were examined to identify recurring applications and methodological considerations.

The reviewed literature was categorized according to machine learning technique, medical data source, analytical task, healthcare application, and potential contribution. Clinical records, medical images, laboratory information, physiological measurements, and other digital health data were considered within the conceptual framework. This organization allowed comparison between machine learning techniques and their respective medical data interpretation functions.

4.3 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework evaluates machine learning-based medical data interpretation through the following stages:

- **Data Acquisition:** Medical information is obtained from electronic health records, medical imaging, laboratory systems, wearable devices, physiological measurements, and other digital healthcare sources.
- **Data Preprocessing:** Data are examined for missing information, inconsistencies, outliers, duplication, formatting differences, and other quality-related issues before analytical modeling.
- **Feature Representation:** Relevant clinical, numerical, categorical, imaging, or temporal characteristics are represented in forms suitable for machine-learning analysis.
- **Model Development:** Appropriate supervised, unsupervised, deep-learning, classification, regression, or clustering techniques are selected according to the analytical objective.
- **Model Evaluation:** Model performance is assessed using appropriate validation procedures and relevant analytical measures.
- **Medical Interpretation:** Machine-learning outputs are considered within clinical context and interpreted by qualified healthcare professionals.
- **Decision Support:** Validated analytical information may support clinical research, risk assessment, patient stratification, monitoring, or healthcare decision-making.

4.4 Data Analysis Approach

A thematic analytical approach was used to examine the literature concerning machine learning and medical data interpretation. The analysis focused on machine-learning techniques, data sources, analytical tasks, healthcare applications, methodological requirements, and implementation challenges.

The identified themes were grouped into computational, clinical, data-related, technological, and governance categories. Comparative analysis was used to examine the suitability of different machine-learning techniques for different medical data types and interpretation tasks. Particular attention was given to model accuracy, validation, generalization, interpretability, data quality, privacy, bias, and clinical integration. The approach provides a structured conceptual assessment of machine learning within medical data analysis.

5. Analysis

5.1 Machine Learning Techniques for Medical Data Interpretation

Medical datasets vary considerably in structure and analytical requirements. Machine learning provides different techniques for addressing classification, prediction, grouping, dimensionality reduction, and complex representation tasks. Supervised learning is useful when labeled outcomes are available,

whereas unsupervised learning can identify previously unknown patterns. Deep learning can process high-dimensional data, particularly images and complex representations. Regression can support outcome estimation, while clustering can identify patient groups. Table 1 summarizes major techniques and their medical interpretation applications.

Table 1: Machine Learning Techniques and Medical Data Applications

Machine Learning Technique	Analytical Function	Medical Application
Classification	Category prediction	Disease classification
Regression	Numerical prediction	Outcome estimation
Decision Trees	Rule-based prediction	Clinical risk assessment
Random Forest	Ensemble prediction	Patient risk analysis
Support Vector Machines	Pattern classification	Diagnostic classification
Clustering	Group identification	Patient stratification
Deep Learning	Complex representation learning	Medical image interpretation
Neural Networks	Nonlinear prediction	Clinical prediction
Dimensionality Reduction	Feature simplification	High-dimensional data analysis
Anomaly Detection	Unusual pattern identification	Abnormality detection

Interpretation: Table 1 demonstrates that different machine learning techniques provide complementary capabilities for medical data interpretation. Classification methods can support disease-category prediction, while regression can estimate continuous clinical outcomes. Decision trees and ensemble methods can assist risk analysis, whereas support vector machines can classify complex patterns. Clustering can support patient stratification without predefined groups.

Deep learning and neural networks are particularly relevant to complex and high-dimensional information. Dimensionality reduction can simplify large feature spaces, while anomaly detection can identify unusual observations. Appropriate technique selection should depend on data characteristics, analytical objectives, and validation requirements.

5.2 Medical Data Interpretation Applications

Machine learning can be applied to multiple forms of medical information, including electronic health records, medical images, laboratory results, physiological measurements, and longitudinal patient data. These applications can support diagnosis, risk prediction, patient segmentation, monitoring, and clinical research. The analytical contribution varies according to data type and model capability. Table 2 summarizes major medical data interpretation applications and their potential contributions.

Table 2: Medical Data Types and Machine Learning Interpretation Applications

Medical Data Type	Machine Learning Application	Potential Contribution
Electronic Health Records	Predictive modeling	Clinical risk assessment
Medical Imaging	Deep learning	Image classification
Laboratory Data	Classification and regression	Clinical interpretation
Physiological Data	Pattern recognition	Monitoring support
Genomic Data	Feature analysis	Biological pattern identification
Patient History	Predictive analytics	Outcome estimation
Medication Data	Pattern analysis	Treatment-related assessment
Wearable Data	Time-series analysis	Continuous monitoring
Clinical Notes	Natural language processing	Information extraction
Longitudinal Data	Temporal modeling	Patient trajectory analysis

Interpretation: Table 2 indicates that machine learning can interpret diverse medical data types and support different healthcare analytical tasks. Electronic health records can be used for predictive modeling, while medical imaging can benefit from deep-learning-based classification and analysis. Laboratory and physiological information can support classification, regression, and pattern recognition.

Genomic data can provide complex biological information for computational analysis, while wearable devices can generate longitudinal measurements for monitoring. Natural language processing can extract information from clinical documentation. These applications demonstrate that machine learning can provide analytical support across structured, unstructured, image-based, and time-dependent medical information.

6. Discussion

Machine learning provides diverse analytical capabilities for interpreting medical data. Classification, regression, clustering, deep learning, and anomaly detection can address different types of healthcare information and research questions. The analysis indicates that no single technique is universally appropriate for all medical datasets. Model selection should consider data structure, sample characteristics, outcome definitions, and intended application. Deep learning can be particularly useful for complex image-based information, whereas conventional machine-learning approaches may be suitable for structured clinical data. Appropriate validation remains essential for determining whether model performance is reliable.

Machine learning can support medical interpretation across electronic health records, medical imaging, laboratory data, physiological measurements, genomic information, clinical notes, and wearable-device information. Such applications can facilitate disease classification, risk assessment, patient stratification, monitoring, outcome prediction, and information extraction. However,

computational performance does not automatically establish clinical usefulness. A model may achieve strong results under specific experimental conditions but perform differently in another healthcare environment. External validation and assessment across diverse populations are therefore necessary before practical clinical use.

The integration of machine learning into medical data interpretation also requires attention to explainability, privacy, bias, interoperability, and professional oversight. Healthcare professionals need to understand the relevance and limitations of model outputs when using them to support decisions. Medical data can contain sensitive information, requiring secure storage, controlled access, and responsible processing. Bias in training data can also affect predictions for underrepresented populations. Consequently, effective machine learning should combine technical performance with transparent validation, ethical data management, clinical expertise, and human-centered implementation.

7. Challenges

7.1 Data Quality and Heterogeneity

Medical data are generated from diverse sources and can vary considerably in format, quality, completeness, and measurement standards. Electronic records may contain missing information, inconsistent coding, duplicated observations, and differences between healthcare institutions. Medical images can also vary according to equipment, acquisition protocols, and image quality.

Such heterogeneity can affect machine-learning model performance and reduce the reliability of medical interpretation. Appropriate preprocessing, standardization, quality assessment, and data harmonization are therefore important. Researchers should also evaluate whether the training data adequately represent the population and clinical environment in which the model is intended to operate. Independent validation can help determine the stability of analytical performance across different datasets.

7.2 Bias and Generalization

Machine-learning systems can reproduce or amplify patterns present in their training data. If specific demographic groups, medical conditions, or healthcare environments are underrepresented, model performance may vary across populations. This creates concerns about fairness and generalizability in medical data interpretation.

Generalization should therefore be evaluated using external datasets and diverse patient populations whenever appropriate. Researchers should examine performance across relevant subgroups rather than relying only on overall model accuracy. Transparent reporting of training data, validation methods, limitations, and subgroup performance can help identify potential weaknesses. Continuous monitoring may also be required when models are applied to changing healthcare environments.

7.3 Interpretability and Clinical Trust

Complex machine-learning models may produce predictions without providing sufficiently understandable explanations for healthcare professionals. Limited interpretability can make it difficult to determine why a model produced a particular output or whether the prediction is consistent with relevant clinical information.

Explainable AI methods can provide information about influential variables, model behavior, or prediction characteristics. However, an explanation does not automatically establish clinical validity. Healthcare professionals must consider machine-learning outputs together with patient history, examination findings, laboratory results, imaging, and other evidence. Building clinical trust therefore requires transparent development, appropriate validation, understandable outputs, and clear communication of model limitations.

7.4 Privacy, Security, and Clinical Integration

Medical datasets contain sensitive patient information and require strong privacy and security protections. Machine-learning workflows may involve data collection, storage, transmission, preprocessing, model development, and sharing across different systems. Weak security practices can create risks of unauthorized access or inappropriate information use.

Clinical integration presents additional challenges because machine-learning tools must operate within existing healthcare workflows and information systems. Interoperability, user training, workflow compatibility, and professional responsibility should be considered during implementation. Secure data architectures, controlled access, appropriate governance, and human oversight are essential for ensuring that machine-learning systems provide useful analytical support without compromising patient privacy or disrupting clinical practice.

8. Future Research

Future research should investigate multimodal machine-learning systems capable of combining electronic health records, medical images, laboratory results, physiological measurements, genomic information, and clinical narratives. Such approaches may provide more comprehensive representations of patient information. Research should also emphasize explainable and uncertainty-aware models that communicate prediction confidence and analytical limitations clearly to healthcare professionals.

Further research should explore federated learning, privacy-preserving machine learning, edge analytics, temporal modeling, and personalized medical prediction. Federated approaches may support collaborative model development while reducing the need to centralize sensitive healthcare information. Temporal models can examine changes in patient information over time, while personalized approaches may improve the relevance of predictions for individual patients.

Future empirical studies should evaluate machine-learning techniques using diverse datasets and independent clinical environments. Research should compare model performance, interpretability, computational efficiency, fairness, generalization, and clinical usefulness. Studies should also examine how machine-learning outputs influence professional workflows and healthcare decisions. Long-term evaluation will be important for determining whether machine-learning systems remain reliable as medical practices, patient populations, technologies, and data characteristics change.

9. Conclusion

Machine learning provides important computational capabilities for interpreting complex medical data. Classification, regression, clustering, deep learning, anomaly detection, and related techniques can identify patterns and generate analytical information from electronic health records, medical imaging,

laboratory results, physiological measurements, and other healthcare datasets. These capabilities can support medical research and contribute to data-driven healthcare analysis.

The analysis demonstrates that different machine-learning techniques provide different analytical functions. Classification can support disease categorization, regression can assist outcome estimation, clustering can support patient stratification, and deep learning can process complex information such as medical images. Predictive analytics can provide information related to risk and outcomes. Appropriate selection of methods remains important because medical datasets differ substantially in structure and analytical requirements.

Despite significant potential, reliable medical data interpretation requires attention to data quality, bias, generalization, interpretability, privacy, security, and clinical integration. Machine-learning models should be validated using appropriate datasets and evaluated across relevant populations and healthcare environments. Model outputs should be interpreted within clinical context and should complement rather than replace professional medical expertise.

Overall, machine learning can contribute to more efficient and data-driven medical information analysis when implemented responsibly. Future development should emphasize multimodal learning, explainable AI, privacy-preserving analytics, robust external validation, personalized modeling, and effective clinical integration. Combining computational capabilities with medical expertise can help ensure that machine-learning-based interpretation provides reliable, transparent, and practically meaningful support for modern healthcare systems.

References

1. Kononenko, I. (2001). Machine learning for medical diagnosis: History, state of the art and perspective. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 23(1), 89–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0933-3657\(01\)00077-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0933-3657(01)00077-X)
2. Bellazzi, R., & Zupan, B. (2008). Predictive data mining in clinical medicine: Current issues and guidelines. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 77(2), 81–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2006.11.006>
3. Boxwala, A. A., Kim, J., Grillo, J. M., & Ohno-Machado, L. (2011). Using statistical and machine learning to help institutions detect suspicious access to electronic health records. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 18(4), 498–505. <https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000217>
4. Rink, B., Harabagiu, S., & Roberts, K. (2011). Automatic extraction of relations between medical concepts in clinical texts. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 18(5), 594–600. <https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000153>
5. Deo, R. C. (2015). Machine learning in medicine. *Circulation*, 132(20), 1920–1930. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.001593>
6. Obermeyer, Z., & Emanuel, E. J. (2016). Predicting the future—Big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 375(13), 1216–1219. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1606181>
7. Miotto, R., Wang, F., Wang, S., Jiang, X., & Dudley, J. T. (2018). Deep learning for healthcare: Review, opportunities and challenges. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 19(6), 1236–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx044>
8. Shen, D., Wu, G., & Suk, H.-I. (2017). Deep learning in medical image analysis. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*, 19, 221–248. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071516-044442>

9. Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A. A. A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., van der Laak, J. A. W. M., van Ginneken, B., & Sánchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. *Medical Image Analysis*, 42, 60–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005>
10. Rajkomar, A., Oren, E., Chen, K., Dai, A. M., Hajaj, N., Hardt, M., Liu, P. J., Liu, X., Marcus, J., Sun, M., Sundberg, P., Yee, H., Zhang, K., Zhang, Y., Flores, G., Duggan, G. E., Irvine, J., Le, Q., Litsch, K., ... Dean, J. (2018). Scalable and accurate deep learning with electronic health records. *npj Digital Medicine*, 1, Article 18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-018-0029-1>
11. Yu, K.-H., Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, 2, 719–731. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0305-z>
12. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 24–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z>
13. Wiens, J., Saria, S., Sendak, M., Ghassemi, M., Liu, V. X., Doshi-Velez, F., Jung, K., Heller, K., Kale, D., Saeed, M., Ossorio, P. N., Thadaneys-Israni, S., & Goldenberg, A. (2019). Do no harm: A roadmap for responsible machine learning for health care. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 1337–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0548-6>
14. Ghassemi, M., Naumann, T., Schulam, P., Beam, A. L., Chen, I. Y., & Ranganath, R. (2020). A review of challenges and opportunities in machine learning for health. *AMIA Joint Summits on Translational Science Proceedings*, 2020, 191–200.
15. Arrieta, A. B., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Bennetot, A., Tabik, S., Barbado, A., Garcia, S., Gil-Lopez, S., Molina, D., Benjamins, R., Chatila, R., & Herrera, F. (2020). Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. *Information Fusion*, 58, 82–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012>
16. Piccialli, F., Di Somma, V., Giampaolo, F., Cuomo, S., & Fortino, G. (2021). A survey on deep learning in medicine: Why, how and when? *Information Fusion*, 66, 111–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2020.09.006>
17. Brnabic, A., & Hess, L. M. (2021). Systematic literature review of machine learning methods used in the analysis of real-world data for patient-provider decision making. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 21, Article 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-021-01403-2>
18. Chen, I. Y., Joshi, S., Ghassemi, M., & Ranganath, R. (2021). Probabilistic machine learning for healthcare. *Annual Review of Biomedical Data Science*, 4, 393–415. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biodatasci-092820-033938>
19. Shehab, M., Abualigah, L., Shambour, Q., Abu-Hashem, M. A., Shambour, M. K. Y., Alslibi, A. I., & Gandomi, A. H. (2022). Machine learning in medical applications: A review of state-of-the-art methods. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 145, 105458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2022.105458>
20. Kline, A., Wang, H., Li, Y., Dennis, S., Hutch, M., Xu, Z., Wang, F., Cheng, F., & Luo, Y. (2022). Multimodal machine learning in precision health: A scoping review. *npj Digital Medicine*, 5, Article 171. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-022-00712-8>