

Intelligent Clinical Decision Systems Using Machine Learning

Prof. Paolo Soda

Professor, University Campus Bio-Medico of Rome, Italy

Abstract

Intelligent clinical decision systems using machine learning have emerged as important tools for supporting healthcare professionals in evidence-based diagnosis, risk assessment, treatment planning, and patient management. Machine-learning models can process large volumes of clinical information, identify patterns, estimate risks, and provide decision-support outputs that may assist professionals in complex healthcare environments. These systems can incorporate electronic health records, laboratory findings, medical imaging, physiological measurements, medication information, and patient histories.

This study examines intelligent clinical decision systems using machine learning, focusing on machine-learning methods, clinical data processing, predictive decision support, healthcare applications, and implementation requirements. A qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design was adopted using secondary academic literature. The analysis indicates that machine-learning-based decision systems can support disease prediction, clinical risk assessment, patient stratification, diagnosis, treatment planning, and resource management.

However, effective implementation depends on data quality, model accuracy, interpretability, interoperability, cybersecurity, privacy, clinical validation, and professional oversight. Challenges include biased datasets, incomplete clinical information, model generalizability, technical complexity, workflow integration, and potential overreliance on automated recommendations. The study concludes that machine-learning-based clinical decision systems can strengthen healthcare decision-making when appropriately validated and integrated with professional expertise. Future research should emphasize explainable artificial intelligence, multimodal clinical data, real-time decision support, federated learning, personalized prediction, continuous model monitoring, and clinically meaningful evaluation.

Keywords: Machine Learning, Clinical Decision Systems, Clinical Decision Support, Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Analytics, Predictive Modeling, Medical Diagnosis, Risk Assessment, Health Informatics, Intelligent Healthcare.

1. Introduction

Clinical decision-making requires healthcare professionals to evaluate diverse sources of information, including patient histories, symptoms, laboratory results, medical images, physiological measurements, and treatment records. The increasing volume and complexity of healthcare information can create difficulties in processing relevant evidence efficiently. Intelligent clinical decision systems have emerged as technological approaches for organizing and analyzing healthcare information. These

systems can provide decision-support information that assists professionals in diagnosis, risk assessment, treatment planning, and patient management while maintaining the importance of clinical expertise.

Machine learning provides the analytical foundation for many intelligent clinical decision systems. Machine-learning algorithms can identify patterns within large datasets and develop predictive relationships between clinical variables and healthcare outcomes. Classification, regression, clustering, and other analytical approaches can be used according to the intended clinical application. When appropriately developed, these models can support risk prediction, disease identification, patient stratification, and clinical prioritization. However, reliable performance depends on appropriate training data, model selection, validation procedures, and clinically relevant evaluation.

Clinical decision systems can process information from multiple healthcare sources. Electronic health records can provide patient histories and clinical observations, while laboratory systems contribute diagnostic measurements. Medical imaging systems can provide visual information, and monitoring devices can produce physiological data. Integrating these sources can create broader analytical representations of patients. However, differences in data formats, completeness, quality, and availability can complicate integration and influence the reliability of machine-learning predictions.

Machine-learning-based decision systems have potential applications across many medical areas. Predictive models can assist in identifying patients at increased risk of disease or adverse outcomes. Clinical systems can support diagnosis, treatment recommendations, medication management, patient monitoring, and resource allocation. Such technologies may improve decision-making efficiency by presenting relevant analytical information at appropriate points in clinical workflows. Nevertheless, algorithmic recommendations should be interpreted in relation to patient-specific circumstances and professional clinical judgment.

The implementation of intelligent clinical decision systems involves technological, organizational, ethical, and clinical challenges. Data privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability, algorithmic bias, interpretability, model generalizability, regulatory requirements, and professional acceptance require careful consideration. Poorly designed systems may increase workload or create inappropriate reliance on automated recommendations. This study therefore examines intelligent clinical decision systems using machine learning, focusing on their technological foundations, clinical applications, analytical capabilities, implementation challenges, and future research opportunities.

2. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to examine intelligent clinical decision systems based on machine learning and their contribution to healthcare decision-making. The study focuses on predictive analytics, clinical data processing, disease identification, risk assessment, patient stratification, treatment support, and healthcare management while considering the importance of data quality, clinical validation, interpretability, professional oversight, and responsible technological integration.

2.1 Examination of Machine-Learning Technologies

The first objective is to examine machine-learning technologies supporting intelligent clinical decision systems, including classification, regression, clustering, predictive modeling, deep learning, and

ensemble approaches. The study evaluates their capabilities for processing clinical information, identifying patterns, estimating risks, supporting diagnostic assessment, and generating analytical outputs that can assist healthcare professionals in different clinical environments.

2.2 Assessment of Clinical Decision-Support Applications

The second objective is to assess applications of machine-learning-based clinical decision systems in disease prediction, diagnosis, patient risk assessment, treatment planning, patient stratification, monitoring, and healthcare resource management.

The study examines how intelligent systems can provide timely analytical information while supporting healthcare professionals in making informed decisions based on patient-specific clinical information.

2.3 Identification of Implementation Requirements

The third objective is to identify important requirements affecting successful implementation, including data quality, privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability, explainability, clinical validation, workflow integration, regulatory compliance, and professional acceptance.

The study emphasizes that machine-learning-based decision systems should be reliable, transparent, clinically relevant, secure, and continuously evaluated to ensure responsible healthcare application.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Machine Learning in Clinical Decision-Making

Rajkomar, Dean, and Kohane (2019) examined machine learning in medicine and highlighted its potential for analyzing large clinical datasets and supporting healthcare decision-making. Machine-learning methods can identify complex relationships among clinical variables and provide predictive information. However, clinical implementation requires appropriate validation, data quality, and integration with professional decision-making.

Topol (2019) discussed the convergence of artificial and human intelligence in medicine. Intelligent systems can process large quantities of healthcare information and assist professionals with analytical tasks. The literature emphasizes that effective clinical AI should augment professional capabilities rather than remove human responsibility from healthcare decisions.

3.2 Predictive Clinical Analytics

Obermeyer and Emanuel (2016) examined machine learning and big data in clinical medicine, highlighting opportunities for predictive healthcare applications. Predictive models can identify patterns associated with future outcomes and potentially support early intervention. Nevertheless, prediction systems require careful assessment of data quality, clinical relevance, and generalizability.

Jiang et al. (2017) reviewed artificial intelligence applications in healthcare and identified clinical decision support as an important application area. AI systems can process diverse information and assist diagnosis and risk assessment. Their effectiveness depends on technological reliability, appropriate implementation, and consideration of clinical and organizational requirements.

3.3 Clinical Validation and Human Oversight

Kelly et al. (2019) identified important challenges involved in achieving clinical impact with artificial intelligence. High model accuracy does not necessarily guarantee improved healthcare outcomes because systems must function appropriately within real clinical environments. Clinical validation, workflow integration, monitoring, and professional acceptance are therefore essential.

Shortliffe and Sepúlveda (2018) discussed clinical decision support in the context of artificial intelligence and emphasized the continuing importance of clinical expertise. Decision-support technologies can provide valuable analytical information, but healthcare professionals remain responsible for interpreting recommendations in relation to individual patient circumstances.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine intelligent clinical decision systems using machine learning. The approach was selected because the study focuses on synthesizing existing knowledge concerning machine-learning technologies, clinical prediction, decision support, healthcare data, and implementation considerations.

The conceptual analysis examined relationships among clinical data, machine-learning models, decision-support outputs, healthcare professionals, patients, and clinical outcomes. Particular attention was given to predictive analytics, disease detection, risk assessment, patient stratification, interpretability, and clinical workflow integration.

4.2 Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, scholarly publications, and established scientific literature concerning machine learning, artificial intelligence, clinical decision support, and health informatics.

The data collection focused on:

- Machine learning in healthcare.
- Intelligent clinical decision systems.
- Clinical decision support.
- Predictive healthcare analytics.
- Disease prediction.
- Clinical risk assessment.
- Patient stratification.
- Electronic health records.

4.3 Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed through thematic analysis to identify recurring themes related to machine-learning applications, clinical prediction, decision support, data integration, and healthcare implementation.

The analysis considered model performance, clinical relevance, data quality, interpretability, interoperability, privacy, cybersecurity, workflow integration, professional acceptance, and continuous

monitoring. The identified themes were organized conceptually to explain how machine-learning systems can contribute to intelligent clinical decision-making.

5. Analysis

5.1 Machine-Learning Techniques for Clinical Decision Systems

Machine-learning-based clinical decision systems use computational methods to process healthcare information and generate predictive or analytical outputs. Classification models can support disease identification, regression methods can estimate continuous clinical outcomes, and clustering can identify patient groups with similar characteristics.

Ensemble and deep-learning approaches can process complex datasets. The usefulness of these techniques depends on appropriate feature selection, dataset quality, validation, model calibration, and clinical relevance. Effective systems should provide decision-support information that complements professional healthcare judgment.

Table 1: Machine-Learning Techniques and Clinical Decision Functions

Machine-Learning Technique	Primary Function	Clinical Application
Classification	Category prediction	Disease identification
Regression	Outcome estimation	Risk prediction
Clustering	Patient grouping	Patient stratification
Decision Trees	Rule-based prediction	Clinical assessment
Random Forest	Ensemble prediction	Risk classification
Support Vector Machine	Pattern classification	Disease detection
Neural Networks	Complex pattern learning	Clinical prediction
Deep Learning	High-dimensional analysis	Medical data interpretation
Ensemble Learning	Combined prediction	Decision support
Predictive Analytics	Future outcome estimation	Early risk assessment

The table indicates that different machine-learning methods can support different clinical decision tasks. Classification and predictive models are useful for disease and risk assessment, while clustering can support patient stratification.

Neural networks and deep-learning methods can process complex information but may require substantial datasets and computational resources. Selecting an appropriate technique requires consideration of clinical objectives, data characteristics, interpretability, computational requirements, and validation results.

5.2 Clinical Applications of Intelligent Decision Systems

Intelligent clinical decision systems can support healthcare professionals across multiple stages of patient management. Machine-learning models can assist disease prediction, risk assessment, diagnosis, patient prioritization, treatment planning, and monitoring. Integration with electronic health records and other clinical information sources can provide decision-support outputs within healthcare workflows.

However, clinical applications require careful validation because incorrect predictions may influence treatment decisions and patient outcomes. Human oversight remains essential.

Table 2: Clinical Applications and Decision-Support Functions

Clinical Application	Machine-Learning Function	Decision-Support Contribution
Disease Prediction	Risk classification	Early identification
Diagnosis	Pattern recognition	Diagnostic support
Patient Risk Assessment	Predictive modeling	Risk prioritization
Patient Stratification	Clustering/classification	Care planning
Treatment Support	Outcome prediction	Treatment evaluation
Patient Monitoring	Pattern detection	Continuous assessment
Readmission Prediction	Risk estimation	Preventive intervention
Medication Management	Predictive analysis	Medication support
Clinical Prioritization	Risk classification	Workflow management
Resource Management	Demand prediction	Healthcare planning

The table demonstrates that machine-learning systems can support diverse clinical and healthcare-management activities. Predictive models can help identify risks, while classification and pattern-recognition systems can assist diagnostic assessment. Patient stratification can support differentiated care planning, and monitoring systems can identify changes over time. These applications may improve

efficiency, but their clinical value depends on reliable predictions, appropriate integration, professional interpretation, and continuous performance evaluation.

6. Discussion

Machine-learning-based clinical decision systems can provide healthcare professionals with analytical support by processing large quantities of clinical information. Such systems can identify patterns and estimate risks that may be difficult to assess manually across extensive datasets. Their use can potentially support diagnosis, disease prediction, patient stratification, and monitoring. However, algorithmic outputs should remain supportive rather than authoritative, with final clinical decisions incorporating professional expertise and individual patient circumstances.

The quality of clinical decision support depends strongly on the quality and representativeness of healthcare data. Electronic health records may contain missing information, inconsistent documentation, coding differences, or measurement variations. Models developed using limited institutional datasets may not perform similarly in other healthcare environments. Diverse training data, external validation, appropriate calibration, and subgroup evaluation are therefore important for establishing reliable and generalizable clinical performance.

Explainability is another important consideration for intelligent clinical decision systems. Healthcare professionals may need to understand the factors contributing to a model's prediction before incorporating its output into clinical reasoning. Explainable AI techniques can provide information about influential variables or relevant patterns. However, explanations should be clinically meaningful and should not be interpreted as absolute evidence. Transparent systems can support appropriate trust while reducing the risk of uncritical reliance.

Successful implementation also requires integration with clinical workflows and healthcare information systems. Decision-support systems that produce excessive alerts or poorly timed recommendations may increase professional workload. Interoperability can allow relevant patient information to reach the analytical system without unnecessary duplication. Privacy and cybersecurity must also be maintained because clinical decision systems process sensitive health information. Continuous monitoring, professional training, and organizational support are therefore essential for responsible implementation.

7. Challenges

7.1 Data Quality and Model Generalizability

Clinical decision systems depend on accurate and representative healthcare data. Electronic health records may contain missing values, inconsistent documentation, coding variations, measurement errors, and incomplete patient histories. These issues can affect machine-learning performance and reduce prediction reliability. Data preprocessing, quality assessment, standardized documentation, and appropriate validation are therefore necessary to ensure that models learn clinically meaningful patterns.

Model generalizability represents another important challenge. A system developed using data from one hospital or patient population may perform differently in another environment. Differences in demographics, clinical practices, equipment, disease prevalence, and documentation can influence

predictions. External validation across multiple healthcare institutions and population groups can help determine whether a model remains reliable outside its original development environment.

7.2 Interpretability and Professional Trust

Many advanced machine-learning models can be difficult to interpret because their predictions depend on complex computational relationships. Healthcare professionals may hesitate to rely on outputs when the basis of a recommendation is unclear. Explainable AI techniques can provide information about influential variables, prediction patterns, or relevant features. However, explanations should be understandable and clinically meaningful to support appropriate professional interpretation.

Professional trust can also be affected by inconsistent model performance. False-positive predictions may create unnecessary investigations, while false-negative predictions may delay appropriate attention. Clinical decision systems should therefore communicate limitations and uncertainty appropriately. Human oversight, transparent validation, professional training, and clear responsibility structures can help ensure that machine-learning recommendations are used appropriately.

7.3 Privacy, Security, and Regulatory Requirements

Clinical decision systems process highly sensitive patient information, creating important privacy and cybersecurity requirements. Unauthorized access, insecure data transmission, inadequate authentication, or poorly protected storage can expose confidential information. Healthcare organizations should implement encryption, access controls, secure authentication, monitoring, and appropriate data-governance practices to protect clinical information throughout the system lifecycle.

Regulatory requirements also influence the development and deployment of intelligent clinical systems. Systems used for clinical decision support may require evidence regarding safety, performance, and intended use. Developers and healthcare organizations must consider applicable regulatory requirements, documentation, risk management, and post-deployment monitoring. Continuous oversight becomes especially important when models are updated, retrained, or exposed to changing clinical environments.

7.4 Workflow Integration and Organizational Readiness

Machine-learning decision systems must fit naturally within clinical workflows to provide practical value. Poorly integrated systems can require duplicate data entry, interrupt clinical processes, or generate excessive alerts. These problems may reduce professional acceptance and create additional workload. User-centered design, interoperability, workflow analysis, and appropriate alert management can improve the practical integration of decision-support technologies.

Organizational readiness is equally important. Healthcare professionals may require training to understand model outputs, limitations, and appropriate use. Technical support, leadership commitment, infrastructure, and implementation policies can influence adoption. Organizations should establish clear responsibilities for monitoring system performance and responding to errors. Continuous feedback from healthcare professionals can support system improvement and sustainable implementation.

8. Future Research

Future research should investigate explainable and multimodal machine-learning systems capable of integrating electronic health records, medical images, laboratory information, physiological measurements, and patient histories. Research should focus on personalized clinical prediction, real-time decision support, improved model calibration, and robust external validation. Greater attention should also be given to clinically meaningful evaluation rather than relying exclusively on technical performance indicators.

Further research should examine federated learning, privacy-preserving analytics, interoperable decision-support architectures, and continuous model monitoring. Longitudinal studies should assess clinical outcomes, patient safety, workflow efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and professional acceptance. Future investigations should also address algorithmic fairness, changing clinical environments, model drift, regulatory requirements, and appropriate human-AI collaboration in healthcare decision-making.

9. Conclusion

Intelligent clinical decision systems using machine learning provide important opportunities for strengthening healthcare decision-making through data-driven analysis and predictive support. Machine-learning algorithms can process extensive clinical information and identify patterns associated with disease, risk, patient characteristics, and potential outcomes. These capabilities can support healthcare professionals in diagnostic assessment, risk evaluation, patient management, and clinical prioritization.

The analysis demonstrates that different machine-learning techniques can serve different clinical purposes. Classification can support disease identification, regression can estimate clinical outcomes, clustering can assist patient stratification, and advanced neural networks can process complex healthcare information. However, the effectiveness of each approach depends on the characteristics of the clinical problem, quality of available data, model validation, and appropriate integration into healthcare workflows.

Several challenges continue to affect the responsible implementation of intelligent clinical decision systems. Data quality, model generalizability, interpretability, privacy, cybersecurity, regulatory requirements, workflow integration, and professional trust require systematic attention. Healthcare organizations must ensure that decision-support technologies are appropriately evaluated before and after implementation. Continuous monitoring is particularly important because clinical environments and patient populations can change.

Human expertise remains central to effective clinical decision-making. Machine-learning systems should provide analytical support rather than independently determine patient care. Healthcare professionals should evaluate algorithmic outputs alongside clinical histories, symptoms, diagnostic findings, and patient preferences. Appropriate training and transparent communication about model limitations can reduce inappropriate reliance and strengthen responsible human-AI collaboration.

Overall, machine-learning-based clinical decision systems can contribute to more informed, efficient, and data-supported healthcare management. Their long-term value will depend on reliable data, clinically validated models, explainable outputs, secure information management, interoperable infrastructure, and effective professional integration. Future developments in multimodal analytics,

personalized prediction, federated learning, and real-time decision support may further strengthen intelligent clinical decision-making when implemented responsibly.

References

1. Bivard, A., Churilov, L., & Parsons, M. (2020). Artificial intelligence for decision support in acute stroke—Current roles and potential. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 16, 575–585. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-020-0390-y>
2. Campanella, G., Hanna, M. G., Geneslaw, L., Miraflor, A., Werneck Krauss Silva, V., Busam, K. J., Brogi, E., Reuter, V. E., Klimstra, D. S., & Fuchs, T. J. (2019). Clinical-grade computational pathology using weakly supervised deep learning on whole slide images. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 1301–1309. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0508-1>
3. Collins, G. S., Reitsma, J. B., Altman, D. G., & Moons, K. G. M. (2015). Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): The TRIPOD statement. *BMJ*, 350, g7594. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7594>
4. De Fauw, J., Ledsam, J. R., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Tomasev, N., Blackwell, S., Askham, H., Glorot, X., O'Donoghue, B., Visentin, D., van den Driessche, G., Lakshminarayanan, V., Meyer, C., Mackinder, F., Bouton, S., Ayoub, K., Chopra, R., King, D., Karthikesalingam, A., ... Ronneberger, O. (2018). Clinically applicable deep learning for diagnosis and referral in retinal disease. *Nature Medicine*, 24, 1342–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0107-6>
5. Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., Ko, J., Swetter, S. M., Blau, H. M., & Thrun, S. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*, 542, 115–118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056>
6. Gulshan, V., Peng, L., Coram, M., Stumpe, M. C., Wu, D., Narayanaswamy, A., Venugopalan, S., Widner, K., Madams, T., Cuadros, J., Kim, R., Raman, R., Nelson, P. C., Mega, J. L., & Webster, D. R. (2016). Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA*, 316(22), 2402–2410. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17216>
7. He, J., Baxter, S. L., Xu, J., Xu, J., Zhou, X., & Zhang, K. (2019). The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0307-0>
8. Kermany, D. S., Goldbaum, M., Cai, W., Valentim, C. C. S., Liang, H., Baxter, S. L., McKeown, A., Yang, G., Wu, X., Yan, F., Dong, J., Prasadha, M. K., Pei, J., Ting, M. Y. L., Zhu, J., Li, C., Hewett, S., Dong, J., Ziyar, I., ... Zhang, K. (2018). Identifying medical diagnoses and treatable diseases by image-based deep learning. *Cell*, 172(5), 1122–1131.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.010>
9. Miotto, R., Wang, F., Wang, S., Jiang, X., & Dudley, J. T. (2018). Deep learning for healthcare: Review, opportunities and challenges. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 19(6), 1236–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx044>
10. Obermeyer, Z., & Emanuel, E. J. (2016). Predicting the future—Big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 375(13), 1216–1219. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1606181>

11. Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., & Kohane, I. (2019). Machine learning in medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(14), 1347–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1814259>
12. Shickel, B., Tighe, P. J., Bihorac, A., & Rashidi, P. (2018). Deep EHR: A survey of recent advances in deep learning techniques for electronic health record analysis. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 22(5), 1589–1604. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2017.2767063>
13. Sutton, R. T., Pincock, D., Baumgart, D. C., Sadowski, D. C., Fedorak, R. N., & Kroeker, K. I. (2020). An overview of clinical decision support systems: Benefits, risks, and strategies for success. *npj Digital Medicine*, 3, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y>
14. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 44–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7>
15. Vasey, B., Ursprung, S., Beddoe, B., Taylor, E. H., Marlow, N., Bilbro, N., Watkinson, P., & McCulloch, P. (2021). Association of clinician diagnostic performance with machine learning-based decision support systems: A systematic review. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(3), e211276. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.1276>
16. Wiens, J., Saria, S., Sendak, M., Ghassemi, M., Liu, V. X., Doshi-Velez, F., Jung, K., Heller, K., Kale, D., Saeed, M., Ossorio, P. N., Thadaney-Israni, S., & Goldenberg, A. (2019). Do no harm: A roadmap for responsible machine learning for health care. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 1337–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0548-6>
17. Wynants, L., Van Calster, B., Collins, G. S., Riley, R. D., Heinze, G., Schuit, E., Bonten, M. M. J., Dahly, D. L., Damen, J. A. A., Debray, T. P. A., de Jong, V. M. T., De Vos, M., Dhiman, P., Haller, M. C., Harhay, M. O., Henckaerts, L., Heus, P., Kammer, M., Kreuzberger, N., ... van Smeden, M. (2020). Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of COVID-19: Systematic review and critical appraisal. *BMJ*, 369, m1328. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1328>
18. Yu, K. H., Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, 2, 719–731. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0305-z>
19. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 24–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z>
20. Hasan, S., Ahmed, M. U., & others. (2021). Potential applications and performance of machine learning techniques and algorithms in clinical practice: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 153, 104679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104679>