

Sustainable Engineering Approaches for Green Infrastructure Development

Dr. John Gallagher

Associate Professor, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

Abstract

Sustainable engineering has become increasingly important for developing infrastructure that can respond to environmental pressures, resource limitations, urban expansion, and long-term socioeconomic requirements. Conventional infrastructure development has often prioritized construction efficiency and immediate economic returns, whereas sustainable engineering incorporates environmental performance, resource efficiency, resilience, lifecycle considerations, and social value into infrastructure planning and design. This study examines sustainable engineering approaches for green infrastructure development, focusing on renewable energy integration, energy-efficient design, sustainable materials, water management, circular construction, intelligent infrastructure, nature-based solutions, and lifecycle assessment. A qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design was adopted using secondary academic literature. The study analyzes how engineering innovations can improve infrastructure efficiency while reducing resource consumption, environmental impacts, waste generation, and operational costs. Green infrastructure approaches can integrate natural systems with engineered solutions through urban green spaces, sustainable drainage systems, green buildings, renewable-energy systems, ecological corridors, and water-sensitive infrastructure.

Digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, Internet of Things systems, building information modelling, digital twins, and environmental sensors can further improve infrastructure monitoring and optimization. However, implementation involves challenges including high initial investment, technological complexity, regulatory limitations, maintenance requirements, limited technical expertise, material availability, and difficulties in assessing long-term environmental performance. The study emphasizes integrated engineering frameworks that combine lifecycle thinking, sustainable materials, renewable energy, circular resource management, intelligent technologies, and nature-based approaches. Such frameworks can support resilient infrastructure development while improving environmental performance and resource efficiency. Future research should focus on advanced sustainable materials, digital infrastructure management, climate-resilient engineering, lifecycle optimization, circular construction systems, and integrated nature-based solutions to strengthen the sustainability of future infrastructure.

Keywords: Sustainable Engineering, Green Infrastructure, Sustainable Construction, Green Buildings, Renewable Energy, Circular Economy, Infrastructure Resilience, Sustainable Materials, Nature-Based Solutions, Lifecycle Assessment

1. Introduction

Infrastructure provides the physical foundation for economic activity, urban development, transportation, energy distribution, water management, housing, and public services. Rapid urbanization and population growth have increased demand for infrastructure while simultaneously intensifying concerns regarding resource consumption, environmental degradation, waste generation, and climate-related risks. Sustainable engineering provides an approach for addressing these challenges by integrating environmental, economic, technical, and social considerations into infrastructure planning and development.

Green infrastructure combines engineered systems with natural processes to improve environmental performance and resilience. Examples include green buildings, urban forests, permeable surfaces, rain gardens, sustainable drainage systems, renewable-energy infrastructure, ecological corridors, and water-sensitive urban systems. These approaches can support stormwater management, energy efficiency, biodiversity, thermal regulation, water conservation, and improved urban environmental conditions.

Engineering technologies are also transforming infrastructure development. Building Information Modelling can support integrated planning and construction management, while Internet of Things sensors can enable real-time infrastructure monitoring. Digital twins can simulate infrastructure performance, and artificial intelligence can support predictive maintenance and energy optimization. Advanced materials, prefabrication, modular construction, renewable energy technologies, and circular construction practices can further improve resource efficiency throughout infrastructure lifecycles.

Sustainable infrastructure requires consideration beyond the construction phase. Infrastructure generates environmental impacts through material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, construction, operation, maintenance, and eventual demolition. Lifecycle assessment can therefore help engineers evaluate environmental impacts across different stages. Circular approaches can further reduce waste by promoting material recovery, reuse, recycling, adaptive reuse, and design for disassembly.

Despite the potential benefits, sustainable infrastructure development faces financial, technical, organizational, regulatory, and operational challenges. Green technologies may require higher initial investment, while infrastructure owners may have limited access to specialized skills and reliable sustainability data. Effective development therefore requires integrated engineering approaches that combine technical innovation, lifecycle thinking, environmental assessment, digital technologies, and stakeholder collaboration. This study examines sustainable engineering approaches for green infrastructure development and evaluates their applications, benefits, challenges, and future research opportunities.

2. Objectives of the Study

2.1 Examination of Sustainable Engineering Approaches

The first objective is to examine sustainable engineering approaches involving energy-efficient design, renewable energy, sustainable materials, water management, circular construction, lifecycle assessment, and nature-based infrastructure.

The objective also analyzes how these approaches can improve resource efficiency, reduce environmental impacts, strengthen infrastructure performance, and support long-term economic and environmental sustainability.

2.2 Assessment of Intelligent Green Infrastructure Technologies

The second objective is to assess the application of Internet of Things systems, artificial intelligence, digital twins, Building Information Modelling, smart sensors, and automated monitoring in green infrastructure.

The objective further examines how intelligent technologies can support energy optimization, predictive maintenance, environmental monitoring, infrastructure management, operational efficiency, and evidence-based engineering decisions.

2.3 Identification of Sustainable Infrastructure Challenges

The third objective is to identify financial, technological, regulatory, organizational, material, and maintenance-related challenges affecting green infrastructure development.

The objective also examines the importance of lifecycle planning, technical expertise, sustainability standards, stakeholder participation, financing mechanisms, and integrated engineering strategies for successful implementation.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Sustainable Engineering and Green Infrastructure

Beatley (2012) examined green urban development and emphasized the importance of integrating natural systems, ecological planning, and sustainable infrastructure within urban environments.

Ahern (2011) discussed urban landscape strategies for resilience and highlighted the importance of multifunctional green infrastructure for addressing environmental and urban challenges.

3.2 Sustainable Construction and Materials

Kibert (2016) examined sustainable construction and emphasized resource efficiency, environmentally responsible materials, waste reduction, and lifecycle considerations in construction practices.

Cabeza et al. (2014) reviewed life-cycle assessment applications in buildings and highlighted the importance of evaluating environmental impacts throughout building lifecycles rather than focusing only on construction-stage impacts.

3.3 Intelligent and Resilient Infrastructure

Batty (2013) discussed smart-city development and highlighted the role of digital technologies, information systems, and intelligent infrastructure in improving urban management.

O'Rourke (2007) examined infrastructure resilience and emphasized the importance of designing infrastructure systems capable of responding to environmental and operational disruptions.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine sustainable engineering approaches for green infrastructure development. The approach supported systematic analysis of academic literature concerning sustainable construction, green buildings, renewable energy, sustainable materials, circular infrastructure, nature-based solutions, and intelligent infrastructure.

The conceptual analysis focused on relationships among engineering innovation, resource efficiency, environmental performance, infrastructure resilience, lifecycle management, and sustainable development.

4.2 Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from peer-reviewed academic articles, scholarly books, technical research, and established literature concerning sustainable engineering and green infrastructure development.

The data collection focused on:

- Green infrastructure.
- Sustainable engineering.
- Green buildings.
- Renewable energy.
- Sustainable construction.
- Sustainable materials.
- Circular construction.
- Water-sensitive infrastructure.
- Nature-based solutions.
- Energy efficiency.
- Lifecycle assessment.
- Smart infrastructure.
- Digital twins.

4.3 Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed through thematic analysis. Major themes included sustainable design, green construction, renewable energy, sustainable materials, circular resource management, intelligent infrastructure, lifecycle assessment, and nature-based solutions.

The analysis also considered challenges related to financing, technology, regulation, maintenance, workforce capabilities, material availability, and long-term infrastructure performance.

5. Analysis

5.1 Sustainable Engineering Approaches

Sustainable engineering integrates environmental considerations into infrastructure design, construction, operation, maintenance, and end-of-life management. Energy-efficient building systems can reduce operational energy requirements, while renewable energy technologies can support low-carbon energy

supply. Sustainable materials can reduce resource consumption, and circular construction can promote material recovery. Water-sensitive engineering can improve water efficiency and stormwater management, while nature-based solutions can complement conventional engineered systems.

Table 1: Sustainable Engineering Approaches and Applications

Approach	Main Application	Sustainability Contribution
Energy-Efficient Design	Buildings and facilities	Reduced energy consumption
Renewable Energy	Infrastructure energy supply	Cleaner energy
Sustainable Materials	Construction	Reduced resource impacts
Circular Construction	Material management	Waste reduction
Water-Sensitive Design	Urban water systems	Water conservation
Green Buildings	Building development	Environmental efficiency
Nature-Based Solutions	Urban environments	Ecological resilience
Lifecycle Assessment	Infrastructure planning	Impact evaluation
Modular Construction	Building systems	Material efficiency
Passive Design	Building performance	Reduced energy demand

These approaches demonstrate that sustainable infrastructure requires interventions across multiple stages of the infrastructure lifecycle. Energy-efficient and passive designs can reduce operational requirements, while renewable energy systems can provide alternative energy sources. Sustainable materials and circular construction can reduce waste and resource extraction.

Water-sensitive systems can improve water management, and nature-based infrastructure can provide ecological functions. Lifecycle assessment can connect these approaches by evaluating environmental performance across different infrastructure stages.

5.2 Intelligent Technologies for Green Infrastructure

Digital technologies provide new opportunities for monitoring and optimizing infrastructure performance. IoT sensors can continuously measure energy consumption, temperature, water use, structural conditions, and environmental parameters. Artificial intelligence can analyze these data and identify patterns or potential performance problems.

Digital twins can simulate infrastructure conditions, while Building Information Modelling can support coordination among architects, engineers, contractors, and infrastructure managers.

Table 2: Intelligent Technologies and Infrastructure Applications

Technology	Infrastructure Application	Potential Benefit
IoT Sensors	Environmental monitoring	Real-time information
Artificial Intelligence	Predictive maintenance	Operational efficiency
Digital Twins	Infrastructure simulation	Performance optimization
BIM	Design and construction	Project coordination
Smart Meters	Energy monitoring	Energy management
Remote Sensing	Environmental assessment	Spatial monitoring
Cloud Platforms	Infrastructure data	Data accessibility
Automation	Building management	Resource optimization
Machine Learning	Performance prediction	Predictive insights
Smart Controls	Energy systems	Demand optimization

Intelligent technologies can transform infrastructure management from periodic assessment toward continuous monitoring. Smart meters can identify energy-consumption patterns, while sensors can monitor environmental and structural conditions. AI-based models can support predictive maintenance and identify unusual operational conditions.

Digital twins can provide virtual representations of infrastructure systems for simulation and optimization. However, successful implementation requires reliable data, appropriate cybersecurity measures, technical expertise, interoperability, and long-term system maintenance.

5.3 Green Infrastructure and Environmental Resilience

Green infrastructure can improve resilience by integrating natural processes with engineered systems. Urban vegetation can moderate local temperatures, while green roofs and permeable surfaces can contribute to stormwater management. Wetlands and restored waterways can provide water-management and ecological functions.

Table 3: Green Infrastructure Components

Green Infrastructure	Primary Function	Environmental Contribution
Green Roofs	Stormwater and thermal management	Urban resilience
Rain Gardens	Water infiltration	Stormwater control

Green Infrastructure	Primary Function	Environmental Contribution
Urban Forests	Thermal regulation	Environmental quality
Permeable Pavements	Water infiltration	Reduced runoff
Constructed Wetlands	Water treatment	Ecosystem support
Green Corridors	Habitat connectivity	Biodiversity
Bioswales	Stormwater filtration	Water-quality improvement
Urban Parks	Recreation and ecology	Environmental benefits
Restored Waterways	Flood management	Ecosystem resilience
Green Walls	Building environment	Thermal improvement

Green infrastructure can provide multiple functions simultaneously. For example, urban forests can contribute to thermal regulation, ecological quality, and recreational opportunities. Permeable surfaces can facilitate infiltration and reduce surface runoff, while wetlands can support water treatment and ecological functions.

Such multifunctionality makes green infrastructure relevant to integrated urban planning. Engineering design should nevertheless consider local environmental conditions, maintenance requirements, land availability, and long-term performance when selecting appropriate green infrastructure components.

6. Discussion

6.1 Sustainable Engineering and Infrastructure Performance

Sustainable engineering can improve infrastructure performance by integrating energy efficiency, resource conservation, environmental protection, and lifecycle management into technical decisions. Energy-efficient systems can reduce operational requirements, while sustainable materials can reduce resource and waste impacts. Lifecycle assessment provides engineers with a framework for comparing environmental consequences across alternative designs. Such approaches encourage infrastructure developers to consider long-term performance rather than focusing exclusively on construction-stage cost and efficiency.

The effectiveness of sustainable engineering depends on early integration into infrastructure planning. Sustainability considerations introduced during conceptual design can influence material selection, energy systems, spatial organization, water management, and maintenance requirements. Retrofitting sustainability features after construction may be more complex and expensive. Engineers therefore require multidisciplinary collaboration involving architects, planners, environmental specialists, infrastructure managers, and communities to ensure that sustainability objectives are incorporated throughout the project lifecycle.

6.2 Intelligent Infrastructure and Digital Management

Intelligent infrastructure technologies can improve operational efficiency through continuous data collection and automated analysis. IoT systems can provide information about energy, water, temperature, occupancy, and equipment conditions. Artificial intelligence can analyze these data to identify inefficiencies and support predictive maintenance. Digital twins can simulate infrastructure performance and evaluate alternative operational strategies. These capabilities can support more responsive infrastructure management and reduce reliance on periodic manual assessments.

Digital infrastructure management also introduces new technical requirements. Sensors require calibration and maintenance, while digital platforms need reliable connectivity and data-management systems. Different infrastructure technologies may use incompatible data formats, limiting integration. Cybersecurity and privacy considerations may also become relevant when infrastructure systems collect and transmit extensive information. Effective digital sustainability therefore requires interoperability, data governance, cybersecurity, technical skills, and lifecycle planning alongside technological deployment.

6.3 Green Infrastructure and Urban Resilience

Green infrastructure can contribute to urban resilience by providing ecological functions alongside conventional infrastructure services. Vegetation, wetlands, permeable surfaces, green roofs, and restored waterways can support stormwater management, thermal regulation, biodiversity, and environmental quality. Their multifunctional character allows a single infrastructure intervention to contribute to several sustainability objectives. This can make green infrastructure relevant to cities seeking integrated responses to environmental and infrastructure pressures.

However, green infrastructure requires context-specific planning. Performance depends on climate, soil characteristics, hydrology, vegetation selection, maintenance practices, land availability, and local development patterns. Green infrastructure should therefore be evaluated based on site-specific requirements rather than treated as a uniform solution. Combining nature-based systems with engineered infrastructure can provide integrated approaches in which ecological processes complement conventional drainage, energy, water, and transportation systems.

7. Challenges

7.1 Financial and Technical Challenges

Green infrastructure can involve higher initial costs associated with sustainable materials, renewable-energy systems, advanced monitoring technologies, specialized design, and environmental assessments. Although lifecycle benefits may reduce operating costs, organizations may prioritize short-term financial considerations. Limited access to sustainable infrastructure financing can further restrict implementation. Financial assessment methods should therefore consider construction, operation, maintenance, replacement, and end-of-life costs rather than focusing exclusively on initial expenditure.

Technical complexity represents another challenge. Sustainable infrastructure often integrates multiple systems, including energy, water, materials, digital monitoring, and ecological components. Coordinating these systems requires multidisciplinary expertise and appropriate design tools. Infrastructure organizations may lack personnel with specialized knowledge of sustainable engineering

and digital technologies. Training, professional development, interdisciplinary project teams, and standardized engineering procedures can help improve technical capacity and implementation quality.

7.2 Regulatory, Maintenance, and Implementation Challenges

Regulatory frameworks may not always adequately address emerging sustainable technologies or integrated green infrastructure systems. Planning standards, building regulations, procurement practices, and infrastructure specifications can influence which technologies are adopted. Unclear standards may increase uncertainty for infrastructure developers. Consistent sustainability criteria and updated technical guidelines can help create clearer conditions for sustainable engineering implementation.

Maintenance is also essential for long-term infrastructure performance. Green roofs, rain gardens, wetlands, smart sensors, renewable-energy systems, and digital platforms require appropriate maintenance and monitoring. Poor maintenance can reduce environmental and operational benefits over time. Infrastructure planning should therefore incorporate maintenance budgets, performance indicators, monitoring procedures, and responsible management structures. Long-term sustainability depends not only on initial design but also on continuous management throughout the infrastructure lifecycle.

8. Future Research

Future research should develop integrated lifecycle frameworks for evaluating sustainable infrastructure performance. Researchers could examine the combined environmental, economic, and technical effects of sustainable materials, renewable energy, circular construction, water-sensitive systems, and nature-based solutions. Comparative lifecycle studies could evaluate different infrastructure designs according to resource consumption, emissions, maintenance requirements, resilience, and total lifecycle costs. Such research could provide engineering practitioners with systematic methods for selecting sustainable alternatives during early project planning.

Future studies should investigate intelligent technologies for sustainable infrastructure management. Research could examine AI, IoT sensors, digital twins, Building Information Modelling, remote sensing, and predictive analytics for energy optimization, structural monitoring, water management, and predictive maintenance. Particular attention should be given to interoperability, data quality, cybersecurity, explainability, and system reliability. Research could also investigate how digital models can integrate real-time infrastructure information with lifecycle assessment to support continuous environmental and operational optimization.

Further research should examine climate-resilient and nature-based engineering approaches across different urban and regional contexts. Studies could assess green roofs, urban forests, wetlands, permeable infrastructure, ecological corridors, and restored waterways alongside conventional engineering systems. Researchers should investigate long-term performance, maintenance requirements, community participation, land-use constraints, and environmental outcomes. Interdisciplinary research involving engineers, environmental scientists, urban planners, architects, economists, and policymakers can contribute to integrated infrastructure strategies that combine technical reliability with ecological and social considerations.

9. Conclusion

Sustainable engineering provides an integrated approach for developing infrastructure that addresses resource efficiency, environmental protection, operational performance, and long-term resilience. Green buildings, renewable energy systems, sustainable materials, circular construction, water-sensitive infrastructure, and lifecycle assessment can contribute to more environmentally responsible infrastructure development. These approaches demonstrate that sustainability can be integrated into engineering decisions from initial planning through construction, operation, maintenance, and eventual redevelopment.

Intelligent technologies provide additional opportunities to improve green infrastructure performance. IoT sensors, artificial intelligence, digital twins, Building Information Modelling, smart meters, and remote monitoring systems can provide continuous information about infrastructure conditions and resource consumption. Such information can support predictive maintenance, energy optimization, environmental monitoring, and evidence-based management. Nevertheless, digital infrastructure requires reliable data, interoperability, cybersecurity, technical expertise, and long-term maintenance to deliver sustained benefits.

Green infrastructure can also contribute to environmental resilience by integrating natural processes with engineered systems. Green roofs, urban forests, wetlands, permeable surfaces, rain gardens, and ecological corridors can support stormwater management, thermal regulation, biodiversity, and environmental quality. Their effectiveness depends on local environmental conditions, design quality, maintenance, and appropriate integration with conventional infrastructure. Context-specific planning is therefore essential for achieving reliable long-term performance.

Overall, future infrastructure development requires engineering approaches that combine sustainability, technological innovation, lifecycle thinking, and ecological integration. Financial limitations, technical complexity, regulatory uncertainty, skills shortages, and maintenance requirements remain important implementation considerations. Continued research into sustainable materials, intelligent infrastructure, circular construction, nature-based solutions, and climate-resilient engineering can strengthen the scientific and practical foundations of green infrastructure development. Integrated collaboration among engineering disciplines, environmental researchers, infrastructure managers, policymakers, and communities can further support sustainable infrastructure systems capable of meeting future environmental and socioeconomic requirements.

References

1. Davis, A. P. (2005). Green engineering principles promote low-impact development. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39(16), 338A–344A. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es053327e>
2. Getter, K. L., & Rowe, D. B. (2006). The role of extensive green roofs in sustainable development. *HortScience*, 41(5), 1276–1285. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.41.5.1276>
3. Oberndorfer, E., Lundholm, J., Bass, B., Coffman, R. R., Doshi, H., Dunnett, N., Gaffin, S., Köhler, M., Liu, K. K. Y., & Rowe, B. (2007). Green roofs as urban ecosystems: Ecological structures, functions, and services. *BioScience*, 57(10), 823–833. <https://doi.org/10.1641/B571005>
4. Tzoulas, K., Korpela, K., Venn, S., Yli-Pelkonen, V., Kaźmierczak, A., Niemelä, J., & James, P. (2007). Promoting ecosystem and human health in urban areas using green infrastructure: A

- literature review. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 81(3), 167–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.02.001>
5. Gill, S. E., Handley, J. F., Ennos, A. R., & Pauleit, S. (2007). Adapting cities for climate change: The role of the green infrastructure. *Built Environment*, 33(1), 115–133. <https://doi.org/10.2148/benv.33.1.115>
 6. Czemieli Berndtsson, J. (2010). Green roof performance towards management of runoff water quantity and quality: A review. *Ecological Engineering*, 36(4), 351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2009.12.014>
 7. Ahiablame, L. M., Engel, B. A., & Chaubey, I. (2012). Effectiveness of low impact development practices: Literature review and suggestions for future research. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 223(7), 4253–4273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-012-1189-2>
 8. Demuzere, M., Orru, K., Heidrich, O., Olazabal, E., Geneletti, D., Orru, H., Bhave, A. G., Mittal, N., Feliu, E., & Faehnle, M. (2014). Mitigating and adapting to climate change: Multi-functional and multi-scale assessment of green urban infrastructure. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 146, 107–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.025>
 9. Maes, J., Barbosa, A., Baranzelli, C., Zulian, G., Batista e Silva, F., Vandecasteele, I., Hiederer, R., Liqueste, C., Paracchini, M. L., Mubareka, S., Jacobs-Crisioni, C., Perpiña Castillo, C., & Lavalle, C. (2015). More green infrastructure is required to maintain ecosystem services under current trends in land-use change in Europe. *Landscape Ecology*, 30, 517–534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-014-0083-2>
 10. Basnou, C., Pino, J., & Terradas, J. (2015). Ecosystem services provided by green infrastructure in the urban environment. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 10, Article 201510004. <https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR201510004>
 11. Raymond, C. M., Frantzeskaki, N., Kabisch, N., Berry, P., Breil, M., Nita, M. R., Geneletti, D., & Calfapietra, C. (2017). A framework for assessing and implementing the co-benefits of nature-based solutions in urban areas. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 77, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.07.008>
 12. Meerow, S., & Newell, J. P. (2017). Spatial planning for multifunctional green infrastructure: Growing resilience in Detroit. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 159, 62–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.10.005>
 13. Nesshöver, C., Assmuth, T., Irvine, K. N., Rusch, G. M., Waylen, K. A., Delbaere, B., Haase, D., Jones-Walters, L., Keune, H., Kovacs, E., Krauze, K., Külvik, M., Rey, F., van Dijk, J., Vistad, O. I., Wilkinson, M. E., & Wittmer, H. (2017). The science, policy and practice of nature-based solutions: An interdisciplinary perspective. *Science of the Total Environment*, 579, 1215–1227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.106>
 14. Liu, L., & Jensen, M. B. (2018). Green infrastructure for sustainable urban water management: Practices of five forerunner cities. *Cities*, 74, 126–133.
 15. Albert, C., Schröter, B., Haase, D., Brillinger, M., Henze, J., Herrmann, S., Gottwald, S., Guerrero, P., Nicolas, C., & Matzdorf, B. (2019). Addressing societal challenges through nature-based solutions: How can landscape planning and governance research contribute? *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 182, 12–21.

16. Artmann, M., Bastian, O., & Grunewald, K. (2017). Using the concepts of green infrastructure and ecosystem services to unlock multifunctionality in landscape planning. *Landscape Ecology*, 32, 1889–1905.
17. Herath, P., & Bai, X. (2024). Benefits and co-benefits of urban green infrastructure for sustainable cities: Six current and emerging themes. *Sustainability Science*, 19, 1039–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-024-01475-9>
18. Guo, Y., Liu, S., Dong, Y., Wu, G., Liu, J., Wang, W., & Wang, J. (2024). Research progress and prospects of nature-based solutions in green infrastructure: A bibliometric analysis. *Eco-Environment & Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.11.007>
19. Zhou, K., Kong, F., Yin, H., Destouni, G., Meadows, M. E., Andersson, E., Chen, L., Chen, B., Li, Z., & Su, J. (2024). Urban flood risk management needs nature-based solutions: A coupled social-ecological system perspective. *npj Urban Sustainability*, 4, Article 25.
20. 2021. *Environmental Research*, 236, [1] 116701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.116701>