

# Artificial Intelligence Models for Environmental Decision Support

**Prof. Ana Deletic**

Professor, University of New South Wales, Australia

## Abstract

Environmental decision-making increasingly depends on large, diverse, and continuously changing datasets generated through satellites, sensors, geographic information systems, climate observations, environmental surveys, and monitoring networks. Conventional analytical approaches may face difficulties when environmental systems involve nonlinear relationships, uncertainty, spatial variability, and rapidly changing conditions. Artificial intelligence provides advanced computational approaches for processing environmental information, identifying patterns, forecasting risks, optimizing resources, and supporting evidence-based decisions. This study examines artificial intelligence models for environmental decision support through a conceptual and descriptive research approach. The study focuses on machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, reinforcement learning, computer vision, predictive analytics, explainable artificial intelligence, and hybrid AI models. Applications include climate-risk assessment, air-quality prediction, water-resource management, biodiversity monitoring, disaster-risk management, pollution detection, land-use planning, energy management, and environmental impact assessment.

An integrated framework is developed connecting environmental data acquisition, preprocessing, AI modeling, uncertainty assessment, decision support, stakeholder interpretation, and adaptive environmental management. The analysis indicates that AI models can improve environmental forecasting, anomaly detection, spatial analysis, scenario evaluation, and resource-allocation decisions. Nevertheless, their implementation involves challenges related to data quality, model transparency, uncertainty, computational requirements, cybersecurity, bias, interoperability, technical expertise, and environmental costs associated with computing infrastructure. The study emphasizes that AI should function as decision support rather than an isolated replacement for environmental expertise, institutional governance, or stakeholder participation. Future research should investigate explainable AI, multimodal environmental models, digital twins, edge AI, causal machine learning, AI-enabled climate adaptation, autonomous environmental monitoring, and human-centered decision-support systems. The proposed framework provides a structured foundation for integrating artificial intelligence with environmental science and governance to support more informed, adaptive, transparent, and sustainable environmental decision-making.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Environmental Decision Support, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Environmental Management, Predictive Analytics, Climate Risk, Explainable AI, Environmental Monitoring, Sustainable Decision-Making

## 1. Introduction

Environmental systems are characterized by complexity, uncertainty, spatial variation, and interactions among ecological, climatic, economic, and human factors. Decision-makers must frequently evaluate large quantities of information before determining appropriate responses to environmental risks. Climate change, air pollution, water scarcity, biodiversity loss, land degradation, natural hazards, and resource pressures require timely and evidence-based decisions. The growing availability of environmental data has created opportunities for computational methods capable of processing information at greater scale and speed.

Artificial intelligence has emerged as an important technological approach for environmental analysis and decision support. Machine-learning models can identify relationships within environmental datasets, while deep-learning approaches can process complex spatial, temporal, and image-based information. Artificial intelligence can support prediction, classification, anomaly detection, pattern recognition, optimization, and scenario analysis. These capabilities can complement traditional environmental modeling and provide additional analytical support for decision-makers.

Environmental monitoring generates data from satellites, remote sensors, weather stations, IoT devices, water-quality instruments, drones, geographic information systems, and field observations. AI models can integrate these heterogeneous data sources to identify environmental conditions and changes. Computer vision can support biodiversity and land-cover analysis, while machine-learning models can forecast air pollution and water quality. Predictive systems can also support early identification of environmental risks.

AI-based decision support is relevant across multiple environmental domains. Climate models can be supplemented with machine-learning techniques for forecasting and downscaling. Water-management systems can use predictive analytics to estimate demand, quality, and availability. Air-quality models can incorporate meteorological and emissions information. Disaster-management systems can analyze spatial and temporal data to support risk assessment. Environmental agencies can use AI to identify pollution patterns and prioritize monitoring activities.

However, AI models can produce misleading or uncertain outputs when trained on incomplete, biased, inconsistent, or geographically limited data. Complex models may also be difficult for decision-makers to interpret. Environmental decisions can have substantial consequences for communities, ecosystems, infrastructure, and natural resources, making transparency and uncertainty assessment important. AI outputs should therefore be interpreted alongside scientific evidence, domain expertise, institutional procedures, and stakeholder considerations.

The environmental footprint of AI should also be considered. Training and operating computationally intensive models can require electricity, computing infrastructure, data storage, and specialized hardware. Consequently, the use of AI for sustainability purposes should consider both its environmental benefits and resource requirements. Efficient models, appropriate model selection, edge computing, renewable-powered computing, and lifecycle assessment can contribute to more responsible deployment.

This study examines artificial intelligence models for environmental decision support through a conceptual and descriptive research approach. It focuses on machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, natural language processing, reinforcement learning, explainable AI, predictive analytics, and

hybrid models. An integrated framework is proposed connecting environmental data acquisition, preprocessing, AI analysis, uncertainty assessment, decision support, stakeholder interpretation, implementation, and adaptive environmental management.

## 2. Objectives of the Study

### 2.1 Primary Objective

The primary objective of this study is to examine artificial intelligence models for environmental decision support by analyzing how machine learning, deep learning, predictive analytics, computer vision, explainable AI, reinforcement learning, and hybrid computational approaches can improve environmental monitoring, forecasting, risk assessment, resource management, and evidence-based sustainability decisions.

### 2.2 Examination of AI Models for Environmental Applications

The first objective is to examine major artificial intelligence models applicable to environmental decision support, including supervised learning, unsupervised learning, deep learning, computer vision, natural language processing, reinforcement learning, and hybrid modeling. The study considers their applications in climate-risk assessment, air-quality prediction, water management, biodiversity monitoring, pollution detection, land-use analysis, disaster management, and environmental planning. Particular attention is given to model selection, environmental data requirements, predictive capabilities, interpretability, and practical decision-support functions.

### 2.3 Analysis of AI-Enabled Environmental Decision Support

The second objective is to analyze how AI models can support environmental decisions through prediction, classification, anomaly detection, optimization, scenario analysis, and risk assessment. The study also examines challenges involving data quality, uncertainty, explainability, computational resources, cybersecurity, model bias, interoperability, technical expertise, and environmental costs. The objective is to develop an integrated framework in which AI outputs complement scientific knowledge, institutional governance, environmental expertise, and stakeholder participation.

## 3. Review of Literature

### 3.1 Artificial Intelligence and Environmental Modeling

Artificial intelligence has increasingly been applied to environmental modeling because of its ability to identify complex relationships in large datasets. Machine-learning techniques can support prediction and classification, while deep-learning methods can analyze complex spatial and temporal information. AI can complement conventional environmental models by providing additional analytical capabilities.

### 3.2 AI for Environmental Monitoring and Prediction

Environmental monitoring increasingly incorporates satellite imagery, sensors, drones, remote sensing, and IoT systems. AI models can process these data to identify pollution, land-use changes, ecological conditions, and environmental anomalies. Predictive models can support early identification of risks such as air-quality deterioration, water contamination, extreme weather, and ecosystem changes.

### 3.3 Explainability and Responsible Environmental AI

Environmental decisions often require transparent and scientifically defensible reasoning. Explainable AI approaches can help users understand how models generate predictions or recommendations. Responsible environmental AI also requires consideration of uncertainty, data quality, model bias, computational impacts, privacy, and accountability. Human oversight remains important when AI outputs inform consequential environmental decisions.

## 4. Research Methodology

### 4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual and descriptive research design to examine artificial intelligence models for environmental decision support. The study synthesizes concepts related to machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, natural language processing, reinforcement learning, predictive analytics, explainable AI, and hybrid modeling. The framework considers relationships among environmental data, preprocessing, AI model development, prediction, uncertainty assessment, decision interpretation, environmental intervention, and performance evaluation.

A conceptual approach is appropriate because the study seeks to establish an integrated understanding of AI-enabled environmental decision support without introducing unsupported empirical measurements or fabricated experimental results.

### 4.2 Literature Collection and Thematic Organization

The literature was conceptually organized around artificial intelligence, environmental modeling, climate science, air quality, water resources, biodiversity, disaster management, pollution monitoring, remote sensing, and environmental governance. AI applications were categorized according to prediction, classification, optimization, anomaly detection, image analysis, risk assessment, and decision support.

Additional attention was given to explainability, uncertainty, data quality, computational requirements, model transferability, cybersecurity, technical expertise, and environmental impacts of AI infrastructure. This thematic organization provided the foundation for constructing an integrated AI-based environmental decision-support framework.

### 4.3 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework includes:

- **Environmental Data Acquisition:** Collection of satellite, sensor, GIS, climate, ecological, and field data.
- **Data Preprocessing:** Cleaning, integration, normalization, feature engineering, and quality assessment.
- **Machine Learning:** Prediction and classification of environmental conditions.
- **Deep Learning:** Processing of complex spatial, temporal, and image-based datasets.
- **Computer Vision:** Analysis of satellite, drone, and ecological imagery.
- **Natural Language Processing:** Analysis of environmental reports, documents, and textual information.

- **Predictive Analytics:** Forecasting environmental conditions and risks.
- **Anomaly Detection:** Identification of unusual environmental patterns.
- **Optimization:** Selection of appropriate environmental management strategies.
- **Explainable AI:** Interpretation of model outputs and decision factors.
- **Uncertainty Assessment:** Evaluation of confidence, limitations, and prediction variability.
- **Scenario Analysis:** Examination of alternative environmental management conditions.
- **Decision Support:** Translation of AI outputs into actionable information.
- **Stakeholder Integration:** Incorporation of scientific, institutional, and community knowledge.
- **Performance Evaluation:** Assessment of model and environmental-management outcomes.
- **Adaptive Management:** Continuous learning and refinement of environmental strategies.

#### 4.4 Data Analysis Approach

A thematic analytical approach was used to synthesize relationships between AI models and environmental decision-support applications. The analysis organized AI functions into data acquisition, preprocessing, prediction, classification, anomaly detection, optimization, uncertainty assessment, interpretation, and decision support. Applications were examined across climate, air quality, water resources, biodiversity, pollution, land management, and disaster-risk domains.

The analysis also considered model transparency, data quality, computational requirements, transferability, cybersecurity, and environmental impacts. The resulting synthesis informed the development of an integrated framework for responsible AI-supported environmental decision-making.

### 5. Analysis

#### 5.1 AI Models and Environmental Applications

Table 1: Artificial Intelligence Models for Environmental Decision Support

| AI Model                | Primary Function              | Environmental Application         |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Linear Regression       | Prediction                    | Environmental trend analysis      |
| Decision Trees          | Classification                | Environmental risk categorization |
| Random Forest           | Prediction and classification | Air and water quality             |
| Support Vector Machines | Classification                | Land-cover mapping                |
| Neural Networks         | Nonlinear prediction          | Environmental forecasting         |
| Deep Learning           | Complex pattern recognition   | Remote sensing                    |
| CNN                     | Image analysis                | Biodiversity and land monitoring  |
| LSTM                    | Time-series prediction        | Climate and pollution             |

| AI Model               | Primary Function      | Environmental Application     |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                        |                       | forecasting                   |
| Reinforcement Learning | Adaptive optimization | Resource management           |
| NLP                    | Text analysis         | Environmental reports         |
| Hybrid AI Models       | Integrated prediction | Complex environmental systems |
| Explainable AI         | Model interpretation  | Decision transparency         |

AI models provide different capabilities depending on environmental data characteristics and decision requirements. Regression and tree-based approaches can support structured environmental prediction and classification. Deep-learning models can process complex imagery and temporal datasets, while convolutional neural networks are particularly applicable to remote-sensing and image-analysis tasks.

LSTM-based models can support time-series forecasting. Reinforcement learning can address adaptive optimization problems. Explainable AI complements these approaches by improving understanding of model outputs and supporting transparent environmental decision-making.

### 5.2 Environmental Domains Supported by AI

Table 2: Environmental Applications and AI Decision Functions

| Environmental Domain | AI Function            | Decision-Support Application |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Climate              | Forecasting            | Climate-risk assessment      |
| Air Quality          | Prediction             | Pollution management         |
| Water Resources      | Prediction             | Allocation and monitoring    |
| Biodiversity         | Classification         | Species monitoring           |
| Agriculture          | Optimization           | Resource management          |
| Forests              | Image analysis         | Deforestation monitoring     |
| Disaster Management  | Risk prediction        | Early warning                |
| Waste Management     | Classification         | Recycling optimization       |
| Land Use             | Spatial classification | Planning support             |
| Energy               | Forecasting            | Resource optimization        |

AI-enabled environmental decision support can operate across diverse environmental domains. Climate applications can support risk assessment and scenario analysis, while air-quality models can help

identify pollution patterns. Water-resource applications can assist monitoring and allocation. Computer vision can support biodiversity and forest monitoring.

AI can also support agricultural resource optimization, disaster-risk assessment, waste classification, land-use planning, and energy management. These applications demonstrate the broad potential of AI as an analytical layer within environmental management systems.

## 6. Discussion

### 6.1 AI-Based Environmental Prediction and Monitoring

Artificial intelligence can enhance environmental monitoring by processing large volumes of information generated from heterogeneous sources. Machine-learning models can identify patterns in air-quality, water-quality, climate, ecological, and land-use datasets. Deep-learning models can analyze satellite and drone imagery to identify environmental changes. These capabilities can provide decision-makers with timely information that complements conventional field observations and scientific models.

AI-supported monitoring is particularly relevant where environmental conditions change rapidly or monitoring resources are limited. Automated anomaly detection can identify unusual observations for further investigation, while predictive models can provide advance information about potential environmental changes. However, prediction accuracy depends strongly on the quality, representativeness, and temporal continuity of training data. Models should therefore be validated against independent observations and periodically reassessed as environmental conditions change.

### 6.2 AI for Environmental Risk and Resource Management

AI models can support environmental risk assessment by integrating multiple variables and identifying complex relationships. Climate-risk models can incorporate meteorological and geographical information, while water-management systems can analyze rainfall, demand, quality, and storage conditions. AI can also support land-use planning, pollution management, disaster-risk assessment, and resource allocation. Optimization algorithms can help evaluate alternative management strategies under defined constraints.

Decision support should distinguish between prediction and decision-making. An AI model may estimate a risk or forecast an environmental condition, but the appropriate response also depends on regulations, costs, social considerations, ecological priorities, and institutional responsibilities. AI outputs should therefore be integrated with environmental expertise and established decision procedures. This approach can improve analytical capacity while maintaining human and institutional oversight.

### 6.3 Explainability, Uncertainty, and Responsible AI

Explainability is important when AI models influence environmental decisions because users may need to understand why a particular prediction or recommendation was produced. Explainable AI techniques can identify influential variables, provide local explanations, or summarize model behavior. Such information can help environmental experts evaluate whether model outputs are scientifically plausible and relevant to the decision context.

Uncertainty should also be communicated clearly. Environmental datasets frequently contain measurement errors, missing observations, spatial gaps, and changing conditions. A model can produce

highly precise-looking outputs even when underlying information is uncertain. Confidence intervals, uncertainty estimates, sensitivity analysis, scenario analysis, and independent validation can provide additional context. Responsible AI deployment should combine model performance with transparency, environmental expertise, governance, and continuous validation.

## 7. Challenges

### 7.1 Data Quality and Model Generalization

AI models require sufficiently accurate and representative data for reliable environmental analysis. Environmental datasets may contain missing observations, inconsistent measurements, sensor errors, spatial gaps, and differences between monitoring systems. Training data collected in one geographic or climatic setting may not adequately represent another environment. Such limitations can affect model performance and reduce the transferability of predictions.

Model generalization is particularly important because environmental conditions can change over time. Climate patterns, land use, pollution sources, ecosystems, and human activities are dynamic. Models trained using historical data may therefore require regular updating and validation. Researchers should examine model performance across different locations, seasons, environmental conditions, and data sources. Robust validation and continuous monitoring can help identify changes in model reliability.

### 7.2 Explainability and Uncertainty

Some advanced AI models can be difficult for environmental professionals to interpret because their internal decision processes are complex. Limited interpretability can reduce confidence in AI-supported recommendations, particularly when decisions involve significant environmental consequences. Explainable AI approaches can provide additional information about important variables and model behavior, but explanations should not automatically be interpreted as complete representations of causal environmental processes.

Uncertainty presents another challenge. Environmental observations and predictions often involve inherent variability and incomplete knowledge. AI systems should communicate uncertainty rather than presenting predictions as absolute facts. Sensitivity analysis, uncertainty quantification, confidence measures, scenario testing, and independent validation can help users understand limitations. Decision-support interfaces should present model outputs together with relevant uncertainty information to encourage appropriately cautious interpretation.

### 7.3 Computational Requirements and Governance

Advanced AI models may require substantial computational resources for training, deployment, storage, and data processing. These requirements can increase energy consumption and hardware demand, potentially creating environmental impacts. Efficient algorithms, model compression, edge computing, appropriate model selection, and renewable-powered computing infrastructure can help reduce resource requirements. AI systems should therefore be assessed according to both their environmental benefits and computational footprints.

Governance is also necessary for responsible environmental AI. Institutions need procedures defining who develops, validates, operates, reviews, and acts upon AI-supported information. Data ownership, privacy, cybersecurity, model documentation, accountability, and update procedures should be clearly established. Environmental AI should operate within scientific and regulatory frameworks rather than functioning as an independent authority. Governance mechanisms can strengthen transparency and responsible use.

## 8. Future Research

Future research should investigate explainable and uncertainty-aware AI models for environmental decision support. Studies could develop methods that simultaneously provide predictions, confidence estimates, influential variables, and scenario-based interpretations. Research should examine how environmental scientists and decision-makers interpret different explanation formats and how these affect the appropriate use of AI-generated information. Additional work should investigate causal machine learning approaches capable of distinguishing predictive relationships from potentially causal environmental mechanisms, particularly in climate, pollution, and ecosystem-management applications.

Future studies should explore multimodal environmental AI models capable of integrating satellite imagery, sensor measurements, climate observations, GIS layers, textual reports, and real-time IoT information. Such systems could provide broader representations of environmental conditions than models relying on a single data source. Research should investigate digital twins and AI-enabled simulations for climate adaptation, water management, urban environmental planning, disaster preparedness, and ecosystem conservation. Model transferability across geographic regions and changing environmental conditions should also receive systematic attention.

Future research should examine human-centered environmental AI systems that combine machine intelligence with scientific expertise, institutional knowledge, and stakeholder participation. Research could investigate AI-supported environmental dashboards, adaptive early-warning systems, edge AI for field monitoring, autonomous environmental observation, and collaborative decision platforms. Additional studies should evaluate energy consumption, hardware lifecycle impacts, cybersecurity, data governance, fairness, accessibility, and regulatory requirements. Developing standardized evaluation frameworks combining predictive performance, uncertainty, interpretability, environmental impact, and decision usefulness could strengthen responsible environmental AI research.

## 9. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence models can provide valuable analytical capabilities for environmental decision support by processing large and complex datasets, identifying patterns, forecasting environmental conditions, detecting anomalies, and supporting optimization. Machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, natural language processing, and hybrid approaches can be applied across climate, air quality, water resources, biodiversity, pollution, land management, disaster risk, waste management, and energy systems. Their integration can strengthen environmental monitoring and provide decision-makers with additional evidence for planning and management.

AI should nevertheless be considered a decision-support technology rather than an independent replacement for scientific expertise, institutional governance, or stakeholder judgment. Environmental

decisions involve uncertainty, ecological complexity, regulatory requirements, economic considerations, and social consequences that cannot always be represented by computational models. Human review is therefore important when interpreting predictions and determining appropriate environmental responses. Combining AI outputs with scientific knowledge and institutional procedures can strengthen responsible application.

Data quality, uncertainty, explainability, model transferability, computational requirements, cybersecurity, and governance remain important challenges. Environmental AI systems should be validated using appropriate observations and evaluated across diverse geographical and temporal conditions. Users should receive information about model limitations and uncertainty rather than only final predictions. Responsible implementation should also consider the environmental footprint of AI infrastructure and promote efficient computational practices.

Future environmental decision-support systems are likely to become more integrated, adaptive, multimodal, and intelligent. Explainable AI, causal learning, digital twins, edge computing, autonomous monitoring, and multimodal environmental models can expand analytical capabilities. At the same time, responsible governance, transparent evaluation, scientific validation, and human oversight should remain central. An integrated AI framework connecting environmental data, intelligent analytics, uncertainty assessment, expert interpretation, and adaptive management can support more informed, transparent, and sustainable environmental decision-making.

## References

1. Cortés, U., Sánchez-Marrè, M., Ceccaroni, L., R-Roda, I., & Poch, M. (2000). Artificial intelligence and environmental decision support systems. *Applied Intelligence*, 13(1), 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008331413864>
2. Maier, H. R., & Dandy, G. C. (2000). Neural networks for the prediction and forecasting of water resources variables: A review of modelling issues and applications. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 15(1), 101–124. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152\(99\)00089-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152(99)00089-1)
3. Booty, W. G., Lam, D. C. L., Wong, I. W. S., & Swayne, D. A. (2001). Design and implementation of an environmental decision support system. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 16(5), 453–467. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152\(01\)00011-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152(01)00011-1)
4. Brown, J. D. (2004). Knowledge, uncertainty and physical geography: Towards the development of methodologies for questioning belief. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 28(4), 521–541. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0309133304pp423oa>
5. Chau, K. W. (2006). A review on integration of artificial intelligence into water quality modelling. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 52(7), 726–733. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.04.003>
6. Recknagel, F. (Ed.). (2006). *Ecological informatics: Scope, techniques and applications*. Springer.
7. Solomatine, D. P., & Ostfeld, A. (2008). Data-driven modelling: Some past experiences and new approaches. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 10(1), 3–22. <https://doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2008.015>
8. Recknagel, F., & Ganf, G. G. (2008). Modelling and forecasting of water quality using artificial intelligence techniques. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 23(2), 1–12.

9. Aguilera, P. A., Fernández, A., Fernández, R., Rumí, R., & Salmerón, A. (2011). Bayesian networks in environmental modelling. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 26(12), 1376–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.06.004>
10. Maier, H. R., Jain, A., Dandy, G. C., & Sudheer, K. P. (2010). Methods used for the development of neural networks for the prediction of water resource variables in river systems: Current status and future directions. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 25(8), 891–909. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.02.003>
11. Nicklow, J., Reed, P., Savic, D., Dessalegne, T., Harrell, L., Chan-Hilton, A., Karamouz, M., Minsker, B., Ostfeld, A., Singh, A., & Zechman, E. (2010). State of the art for genetic algorithms and beyond in water resources planning and management. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 136(4), 412–432. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)WR.1943-5452.0000053](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000053)
12. Raghavendra, N. S., & Deka, P. C. (2014). Support vector machine applications in the field of hydrology: A review. *Applied Soft Computing*, 19, 372–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2014.02.002>
13. 2015. *Journal of Hydrology*, 530, 829– [1] 844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.10.038>
14. Mosavi, A., Ozturk, P., & Chau, K. W. (2018). Flood prediction using machine learning models: Literature review. *Water*, 10(11), Article 1536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w10111536>
15. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436–444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539>
16. 2020. *Journal of Hydrology*, 585, Article [2] 124670. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124670>
17. Zou, Z., Xie, H., & others. (2020). Artificial intelligence-based single and hybrid models for prediction of water quality in rivers: A review. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 200, Article 103978. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2020.103978>
18. Fang, B., Yu, J., Chen, Z., Osman, A. I., Farghali, M., Ihara, I., Hamza, E. H., Rooney, D. W., & Yap, P.-S. (2023). Artificial intelligence for waste management in smart cities: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 21, 1959–1989. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-023-01604-3>
19. Alotaibi, E., & Nassif, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in environmental monitoring: In-depth analysis. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 4, Article 84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-024-00198-1>
20. Ncube, M. M., & Ngulube, P. (2024). Enhancing environmental decision-making: A systematic review of data analytics applications in monitoring and management. *Discover Sustainability*, 5, Article 290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00510-0>