

Sustainable Manufacturing Models for Future Industrial Systems

Dr. Adam Hamrol

Professor, Poznan University of Technology, Poland

Abstract

The increasing demand for industrial production, resource efficiency, environmental protection, and technological modernization has intensified the need for sustainable manufacturing models capable of supporting future industrial systems. Conventional manufacturing processes can generate substantial material waste, energy consumption, emissions, and operational inefficiencies. Sustainable manufacturing integrates environmental, economic, technological, and organizational considerations into industrial production and management. This study examines sustainable manufacturing models for future industrial systems, focusing on resource efficiency, circular production, renewable energy, intelligent automation, Industry 4.0 technologies, predictive analytics, digital twins, smart supply chains, and sustainable production planning.

A qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design was adopted using secondary academic literature. The study examines how Internet of Things technologies, artificial intelligence, machine learning, robotics, cloud computing, and advanced analytics can improve production efficiency while supporting environmental objectives. Sustainable manufacturing models can enable real-time monitoring of energy and material consumption, predictive maintenance, waste reduction, production optimization, and lifecycle-based decision-making. Circular manufacturing approaches can further support material recovery, product reuse, remanufacturing, and recycling. However, implementation challenges include high investment requirements, technological complexity, workforce skill gaps, interoperability, cybersecurity, supply-chain uncertainty, and difficulties in measuring sustainability performance.

The study emphasizes integrated manufacturing models that combine digital technologies with resource efficiency, renewable energy, circular economy principles, sustainable supply chains, and responsible industrial management. Future research should investigate AI-enabled production optimization, industrial digital twins, autonomous sustainable manufacturing, low-carbon production systems, industrial symbiosis, smart energy management, and lifecycle-oriented manufacturing analytics. Such developments can support industrial competitiveness while reducing environmental impacts and improving the resilience and efficiency of future manufacturing systems.

Keywords: Sustainable Manufacturing, Future Industrial Systems, Industry 4.0, Circular Manufacturing, Resource Efficiency, Artificial Intelligence, Smart Manufacturing, Green Production, Digital Manufacturing, Industrial Sustainability.

1. Introduction

Manufacturing plays a central role in economic development, employment generation, technological advancement, and global supply chains. However, industrial production can also require substantial amounts of energy, raw materials, water, and other resources while generating waste and emissions. Increasing environmental pressures and resource constraints have created a need for manufacturing systems that can maintain productivity while reducing environmental impacts. Sustainable manufacturing has therefore become an important area of research connecting industrial efficiency with environmental and economic objectives.

The emergence of Industry 4.0 technologies has created new opportunities for improving manufacturing sustainability. Internet of Things sensors, artificial intelligence, machine learning, robotics, cloud computing, edge computing, digital twins, and advanced analytics can provide manufacturers with detailed information about production processes. Real-time data can be used to monitor energy consumption, machine performance, material utilization, production quality, and waste generation. These capabilities can support more informed production decisions and improve operational efficiency.

Circular manufacturing provides another important pathway toward sustainable industrial systems. Instead of following linear models based on extraction, production, consumption, and disposal, circular approaches emphasize resource recovery, reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishment, recycling, and longer product lifecycles. Digital technologies can support circular production by tracking materials, monitoring product conditions, predicting maintenance requirements, and improving reverse logistics. Integration of circular principles with smart manufacturing can contribute to more efficient use of industrial resources.

Sustainable manufacturing also requires attention to supply-chain management and energy systems. Manufacturers increasingly depend on interconnected global supply networks that may be exposed to disruptions, resource constraints, and environmental pressures. Renewable energy integration, smart energy management, sustainable procurement, green logistics, and supplier collaboration can strengthen the environmental and operational performance of manufacturing systems. Digital technologies can improve visibility across these interconnected processes.

Despite technological opportunities, sustainable manufacturing implementation remains complex. Organizations may face high investment costs, limited technical expertise, legacy equipment, interoperability problems, cybersecurity risks, data-quality issues, and difficulties in measuring environmental performance. This study therefore examines sustainable manufacturing models for future industrial systems, emphasizing digital technologies, resource efficiency, circular production, intelligent automation, sustainable supply chains, implementation challenges, and future research opportunities.

2. Objectives of the Study

2.1 Examination of Sustainable Manufacturing Technologies

The first objective is to examine major technologies supporting sustainable manufacturing, including Internet of Things sensors, artificial intelligence, machine learning, robotics, digital twins, cloud computing, edge computing, and advanced industrial analytics. The study investigates how these technologies can monitor production processes, identify inefficiencies, optimize machine performance,

reduce energy consumption, and improve resource utilization. Particular attention is given to technologies that enable real-time industrial monitoring and data-driven production management.

The study also examines how digital technologies can support predictive and adaptive manufacturing processes. Predictive maintenance can identify potential equipment failures before major disruptions occur, while AI-based optimization can improve production scheduling and resource allocation. Digital twins can represent manufacturing processes virtually and support scenario analysis. These technologies can help organizations reduce downtime, material waste, and unnecessary energy consumption while improving production quality and operational efficiency.

2.2 Assessment of Circular and Resource-Efficient Manufacturing

The second objective is to assess circular manufacturing approaches that support material efficiency, product reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishment, recycling, and waste reduction. The study examines how circular principles can be integrated into manufacturing processes and product lifecycle management. Particular attention is given to material tracking, reverse logistics, resource recovery, sustainable product design, and digital technologies that support circular production models.

The study further evaluates resource-efficiency strategies involving energy, water, materials, and industrial inputs. Smart monitoring systems can identify consumption patterns and operational inefficiencies, while intelligent analytics can support optimization. Renewable energy integration can reduce dependence on conventional energy sources, and efficient production planning can minimize resource losses. The objective considers how these approaches can collectively support environmentally responsible manufacturing without compromising industrial productivity.

2.3 Identification of Implementation Challenges

The third objective is to identify challenges associated with implementing sustainable manufacturing models. The study examines financial investment, technological complexity, workforce skills, legacy equipment, interoperability, cybersecurity, data management, supply-chain coordination, and sustainability measurement. These challenges can influence the ability of organizations to adopt advanced manufacturing technologies and integrate environmental objectives into existing production systems.

The study also aims to identify approaches for improving sustainable manufacturing implementation. Particular attention is given to workforce development, modular technology adoption, standardized industrial communication, lifecycle assessment, sustainability indicators, renewable energy integration, supplier collaboration, and data-driven decision-making. These approaches can help organizations manage technological and organizational barriers while gradually developing sustainable industrial capabilities. The objective connects manufacturing innovation with long-term environmental and operational performance.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Industry 4.0 and Sustainable Manufacturing

Stock and Seliger (2016) examined opportunities for sustainable manufacturing within Industry 4.0 and highlighted the potential of interconnected production technologies to improve resource efficiency.

Digital systems can provide information about manufacturing processes and support better resource utilization. Their work emphasizes that sustainability can be incorporated into production systems through improved efficiency, lifecycle management, and interconnected industrial processes. Industry 4.0 therefore provides technological opportunities for integrating environmental objectives into modern manufacturing.

Kamble et al. (2018) examined the relationship between Industry 4.0 and sustainable manufacturing and identified technological and organizational factors affecting implementation. Their research indicated that smart technologies can improve production flexibility, resource efficiency, and process monitoring. However, successful implementation requires appropriate organizational capabilities, technical infrastructure, and workforce skills. The literature therefore suggests that sustainable manufacturing depends on both technological innovation and organizational readiness rather than technology adoption alone.

3.2 Circular Manufacturing and Resource Efficiency

Lieder and Rashid (2016) examined the relationship between Industry 4.0 and the circular economy and emphasized opportunities for digital technologies to support resource efficiency and circular production. Circular manufacturing can reduce dependence on virgin resources through reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishment, and recycling. Digital systems can support product tracking and lifecycle management. The literature suggests that technological integration can strengthen circular manufacturing by providing information needed to coordinate material flows and product recovery.

Jayal et al. (2010) examined sustainable manufacturing and identified the importance of integrating environmental considerations throughout product and manufacturing lifecycles. Sustainable production involves reducing material and energy consumption while controlling waste and emissions. Their work emphasized the need for lifecycle-oriented manufacturing approaches that consider environmental performance alongside productivity and quality. This perspective supports manufacturing models that incorporate sustainability from product design through production, use, recovery, and end-of-life stages.

3.3 Intelligent Manufacturing and Digital Transformation

Tao et al. (2019) examined digital twins and their role in intelligent manufacturing. Digital twins can connect physical manufacturing processes with digital representations, allowing production information to be monitored and analyzed. Such systems can support simulation, optimization, predictive maintenance, and process improvement. The literature indicates that digital twins can contribute to more intelligent production management by enabling manufacturers to evaluate process conditions and potential interventions using continuously updated information.

Frank et al. (2019) examined Industry 4.0 implementation and identified different levels of technological transformation in manufacturing organizations. Their findings indicate that companies vary considerably in their adoption of digital technologies, depending on resources, organizational capabilities, and technological maturity. The literature suggests that sustainable manufacturing transformation should consider organizational readiness and implementation stages. Gradual and

strategically coordinated digital transformation can support manufacturers in integrating advanced technologies with existing production infrastructure.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine sustainable manufacturing models and their relevance to future industrial systems. The research focused on smart manufacturing, Industry 4.0, circular production, resource efficiency, intelligent automation, renewable energy, and sustainable supply chains.

The conceptual analysis examined relationships among digital technologies, production efficiency, environmental performance, circularity, resource management, and industrial resilience.

4.2 Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, research studies, and established literature related to sustainable manufacturing and Industry 4.0.

The literature focused on:

- Sustainable manufacturing.
- Industry 4.0.
- Smart manufacturing.
- Circular manufacturing.
- Artificial intelligence.
- Internet of Things.
- Digital twins.
- Predictive maintenance.
- Industrial robotics.
- Renewable energy.
- Resource efficiency.
- Lifecycle assessment.
- Sustainable supply chains.
- Green production.
- Industrial analytics.

4.3 Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed using thematic analysis. Major themes included intelligent production, resource efficiency, circular manufacturing, energy management, digital transformation, sustainable supply chains, and industrial resilience.

The analysis also considered challenges related to investment, workforce skills, legacy systems, interoperability, cybersecurity, data management, supply-chain coordination, and sustainability measurement.

5. Analysis

5.1 Digital Technologies for Sustainable Manufacturing

Digital technologies provide manufacturers with tools for monitoring and optimizing production systems. IoT sensors can collect information about machine performance, energy consumption, material use, and environmental conditions. AI and machine learning can analyze production data and support predictive maintenance, quality management, and process optimization.

Table 1: Digital Technologies and Sustainable Manufacturing Applications

Technology	Manufacturing Application	Sustainability Contribution
IoT Sensors	Process monitoring	Resource visibility
Artificial Intelligence	Production optimization	Efficiency improvement
Machine Learning	Predictive analytics	Waste reduction
Digital Twins	Process simulation	Optimization
Robotics	Automated production	Precision
Cloud Computing	Data management	System integration
Edge Computing	Local analytics	Faster processing
Smart Meters	Energy monitoring	Energy efficiency
Predictive Maintenance	Equipment management	Reduced downtime
Big Data Analytics	Production analysis	Decision support

The integration of these technologies can improve manufacturing visibility and support more efficient production decisions. Real-time monitoring can identify abnormal energy consumption, material losses, and equipment conditions.

Predictive systems can reduce unplanned downtime and unnecessary maintenance activities. However, the sustainability benefits depend on appropriate system design, data quality, technological integration, and organizational capability.

5.2 Circular Production and Resource Management

Circular manufacturing focuses on keeping products, components, and materials in productive use for longer periods. Digital systems can support tracking, condition monitoring, product lifecycle management, and reverse logistics. These capabilities can help manufacturers identify opportunities for reuse, refurbishment, remanufacturing, and recycling.

Table 2: Circular Manufacturing Strategies

Strategy	Primary Focus	Expected Benefit
Product Reuse	Extended utilization	Reduced resource demand
Remanufacturing	Component recovery	Material conservation
Refurbishment	Product restoration	Lifecycle extension
Recycling	Material recovery	Waste reduction
Eco-Design	Sustainable products	Lifecycle improvement
Reverse Logistics	Product return	Resource recovery
Material Tracking	Resource visibility	Better management
Waste Analytics	Process losses	Waste minimization
Lifecycle Assessment	Environmental evaluation	Impact measurement
Industrial Symbiosis	Resource exchange	Resource efficiency

Circular strategies can reduce material losses and support more efficient industrial resource utilization. However, successful circular manufacturing requires coordination among manufacturers, suppliers, customers, logistics providers, and recycling or recovery organizations.

Digital technologies can facilitate this coordination by providing information about product condition, material composition, location, and lifecycle status.

6. Discussion

6.1 Digitalization and Sustainable Production Efficiency

Industry 4.0 technologies can improve sustainability by providing manufacturers with detailed information about production processes. IoT sensors enable continuous monitoring, while AI and analytics can identify inefficiencies. Predictive maintenance can reduce unexpected machine failures and associated production losses. Digital twins can support process simulation and optimization before physical changes are introduced.

However, digitalization does not automatically produce sustainable outcomes. Digital infrastructure itself requires energy, hardware, maintenance, and investment. Manufacturers should therefore evaluate the complete lifecycle of technological systems. Sustainability assessments should consider the resources consumed by digital technologies alongside the efficiency improvements they generate.

6.2 Circular Manufacturing and Industrial Resource Efficiency

Circular manufacturing provides an alternative to linear production models by emphasizing resource recovery, reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishment, and recycling. Digital technologies can strengthen

circular processes through material tracking, product identification, condition monitoring, and reverse-logistics coordination. Manufacturers can use lifecycle information to determine when products or components can be recovered rather than discarded.

The implementation of circular production requires changes throughout the industrial value chain. Product design must consider durability, repairability, modularity, and material recovery. Suppliers and customers may need to participate in product-return systems, while manufacturers require appropriate recovery infrastructure. Digital platforms can support coordination among these actors. Consequently, circular manufacturing should be treated

6.3 Sustainable Industrial Systems and Resilience

Future manufacturing systems need to combine sustainability with operational resilience. Global supply disruptions, energy-price fluctuations, material shortages, and environmental pressures can affect production continuity. Smart manufacturing technologies can improve visibility across production and supply-chain processes, allowing organizations to monitor resources and identify potential disruptions.

Resilient manufacturing also requires diversified supply strategies, sustainable procurement, energy flexibility, and data-supported planning. Renewable energy integration can reduce dependence on certain external energy sources, while predictive analytics can support demand and supply planning. Sustainable manufacturing frameworks should therefore connect environmental performance with operational resilience. Such integration can help industrial systems respond to changing conditions while maintaining efficient and responsible production.

7. Challenges

7.1 Investment and Technological Complexity

Sustainable manufacturing transformation can require substantial investment in sensors, robotics, communication infrastructure, analytical platforms, renewable energy systems, and digital production technologies. Smaller manufacturers may experience greater difficulties in financing advanced technologies. The economic benefits may also take time to materialize, particularly when modernization involves replacement of established equipment.

Technological complexity creates another challenge. Manufacturers may operate legacy machines that were not originally designed for digital connectivity. Integrating these systems with modern IoT platforms can require additional hardware and software. Organizations therefore need carefully planned transformation strategies that consider compatibility, scalability, implementation costs, and long-term maintenance. Modular adoption can allow manufacturers to introduce technologies progressively while managing operational disruption.

7.2 Workforce Skills and Organizational Adaptation

Advanced sustainable manufacturing requires employees with skills in data analytics, automation, robotics, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, and digital system management. Existing workers may require additional training to operate and maintain technologically advanced production systems. Shortages of specialized skills can therefore slow the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies. Organizational adaptation is equally important. Digital manufacturing can change established

workflows, responsibilities, decision-making structures, and performance-management processes. Employees and managers need to understand how sustainability objectives are connected with digital production practices. Training, cross-functional collaboration, knowledge sharing, and organizational learning can support the transition toward more digitally enabled and environmentally responsible manufacturing environments.

7.3 Cybersecurity, Interoperability, and Sustainability Measurement

Connected manufacturing systems create cybersecurity requirements because production equipment, sensors, networks, and digital platforms exchange information continuously. Unauthorized access or system disruption can affect manufacturing operations. Secure communication, authentication, network segmentation, software updates, and continuous monitoring are therefore important components of smart manufacturing infrastructure.

Interoperability and sustainability measurement also remain challenging. Different industrial technologies may use incompatible communication standards or data structures, limiting integration. At the same time, organizations may use different indicators for energy efficiency, emissions, waste, and resource consumption. Standardized data architectures and sustainability metrics can improve comparison and coordination. Reliable measurement is necessary for determining whether digital manufacturing investments are producing measurable environmental improvements.

8. Future Research

Future research should investigate AI-enabled sustainable manufacturing models that integrate real-time production data, energy information, material flows, and environmental indicators. Researchers could develop adaptive algorithms for production scheduling, predictive maintenance, quality optimization, energy management, and waste reduction. Digital twins could be integrated with AI to simulate alternative production scenarios and evaluate sustainability impacts before implementation. Studies should assess these technologies across different industrial sectors and manufacturing scales to determine their technical, economic, and environmental performance.

Future studies should explore deeper integration between circular economy principles and intelligent manufacturing. Research could investigate digital product passports, material tracking, automated remanufacturing, intelligent reverse logistics, component condition monitoring, and AI-supported resource recovery. Lifecycle assessment should be incorporated into manufacturing analytics to evaluate environmental impacts from product design through production, use, recovery, and end-of-life stages. Research should also examine industrial symbiosis models in which organizations exchange materials, energy, by-products, or waste resources to improve overall industrial efficiency.

Further research should examine resilient, low-carbon industrial systems that combine renewable energy, smart grids, energy storage, sustainable procurement, and intelligent supply-chain management. Researchers could investigate how manufacturing facilities can adapt to energy variability, material shortages, supply disruptions, and environmental risks while maintaining production continuity. Future models should also address workforce development, cybersecurity, interoperability, sustainability measurement, and responsible technology adoption. Integrated frameworks can help connect industrial competitiveness with environmental performance and long-term manufacturing resilience.

9. Conclusion

Sustainable manufacturing models provide an important framework for developing future industrial systems that combine productivity, technological innovation, resource efficiency, and environmental responsibility. Industry 4.0 technologies such as IoT, artificial intelligence, machine learning, robotics, digital twins, and advanced analytics can improve production monitoring and operational efficiency. These technologies can help manufacturers identify resource losses, optimize processes, reduce downtime, and make more informed production decisions.

Circular manufacturing further strengthens sustainable industrial development by emphasizing reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishment, recycling, and resource recovery. Digital technologies can provide information required to track materials and products throughout their lifecycles. Smart energy systems and renewable energy integration can improve energy efficiency and support lower-carbon production. Together, these approaches can help manufacturers reduce environmental pressures while maintaining industrial productivity and operational performance.

Several challenges must be addressed to achieve effective sustainable manufacturing transformation. High investment requirements, technological complexity, legacy equipment, workforce skill gaps, cybersecurity risks, interoperability limitations, and inconsistent sustainability metrics can affect implementation. Organizations require appropriate transformation strategies, employee training, secure digital infrastructure, standardized systems, and reliable sustainability indicators. Collaboration across manufacturers, suppliers, technology providers, researchers, and policymakers can further support sustainable industrial transformation.

Overall, future sustainable manufacturing should integrate digital intelligence with circular production, renewable energy, resource efficiency, and resilient supply-chain management. Manufacturing organizations can benefit from systems that continuously monitor operations and adapt production according to resource availability, environmental conditions, and market requirements. Continued research into AI-enabled optimization, digital twins, industrial symbiosis, smart energy systems, and lifecycle analytics can contribute to the development of efficient and environmentally responsible industrial systems capable of supporting long-term economic and technological development.

References

1. Ahmadi-Gh, Z., & Bello-Pintado, A. (2024). Towards sustainable manufacturing: How does digitalization and development affect sustainability barriers? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 476, 143792. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.143792>
2. Bag, S., Yadav, G., Dhamija, P., & Kataria, K. K. (2021). Key resources for industry 4.0 adoption and its effect on sustainable production and circular economy: An empirical study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 281, 125233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125233>
3. Beier, G., Niehoff, S., & Hoffmann, M. (2021). Industry 4.0: A step towards achieving the SDGs? A critical literature review. *Discover Sustainability*, 2, Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-021-00030-1>
4. Ching, N. T., Ghobakhloo, M., Iranmanesh, M., Maroufkhani, P., & Asadi, S. (2022). Industry 4.0 applications for sustainable manufacturing: A systematic literature review and a roadmap to

- sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 334, 130133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130133>
5. Chiarini, A. (2021). Industry 4.0 technologies in the manufacturing sector: Are we sure they are all relevant for environmental performance? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(7), 3344–3357. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2797>
 6. Chen, X., Despeisse, M., & Johansson, B. (2020). Environmental sustainability of digitalization in manufacturing: A review. *Sustainability*, 12(24), 10298. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410298>
 7. Gupta, H., Kumar, A., & Wasan, P. (2021). Industry 4.0, cleaner production and circular economy: An integrative framework for evaluating ethical and sustainable business performance of manufacturing organizations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 295, 126253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126253>
 8. Jabbour, A. B. L. de S., Jabbour, C. J. C., Foropon, C., & Godinho Filho, M. (2018). When titans meet—Can industry 4.0 revolutionise the environmentally-sustainable manufacturing wave? The role of critical success factors. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 132, 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.01.017>
 9. Khan, I. S., Ahmad, M. O., & Majava, J. (2021). Industry 4.0 and sustainable development: A systematic mapping of triple bottom line, circular economy and sustainable business models perspectives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 297, 126655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126655>
 10. Kannan, D., Shankar, K. M., & Gholipour, P. (2022). Paving the way for a green transition through mitigation of green manufacturing challenges: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 368, 132578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132578>
 11. Machado, C. G., Winroth, M. P., & Ribeiro da Silva, E. H. D. (2019). Sustainable manufacturing in Industry 4.0: An emerging research agenda. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(5), 1462–1484. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1652777>
 12. Pang, R., & Zhang, X. (2019). Achieving environmental sustainability in manufacture: A 28-year bibliometric cartography of green manufacturing research. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 233, 84–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.303>
 13. Sharma, M., Kamble, S., Mani, V., Sehrawat, R., Belhadi, A., & Sharma, V. (2021). Industry 4.0 adoption for sustainability in multi-tier manufacturing supply chain in emerging economies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 281, 125013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125013>
 14. Siegele, D., Steiner, D., Terzer, M., Riedl, M., Giusti, A., & Matt, D. T. (2023). Sustainable manufacturing through application of reconfigurable and intelligent systems in production processes: A system perspective. *Scientific Reports*, 13, Article 22374. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-49727-5>
 15. Skalli, D., Charkaoui, A., Cherrafi, A., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Antony, J., & Shokri, A. (2024). Analyzing the integrated effect of circular economy, Lean Six Sigma, and Industry 4.0 on sustainable manufacturing performance from a practice-based view perspective. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33(2), 1208–1226. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3546>

16. Vrchota, J., Pech, M., Rolínek, L., & Bednář, J. (2020). Sustainability outcomes of green processes in relation to Industry 4.0 in manufacturing: Systematic review. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 5968. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155968>
17. Bag, S., Pretorius, J. H. C., & others. (2022). Relationships between Industry 4.0, sustainable manufacturing and circular economy: Proposal of a research framework. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*.
18. *Integrating Industry 4.0 and circular economy: A conceptual framework for sustainable manufacturing*. (2024). *Procedia Computer Science*, 232, 1711–1720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.01.169>
19. Dennison, M. S., Kumar, M. B., & Jebabalan, S. K. (2024). Realization of circular economy principles in manufacturing: Obstacles, advancements, and routes to achieve a sustainable industry transformation. *Discover Sustainability*, 5, Article 438. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00689-2>
20. Kwasi Acquah, I. S., Quaicoe, J., & Gatsi, J. G. (2024). Modelling circular economy capabilities and sustainable manufacturing practices for environmental performance: Assessing linear (PLS-SEM) and non-linear (fsQCA) effects. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 206, 123501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123501>