

Environmental Risk Assessment Models Using Advanced Analytics

Prof. Ndeke Musee

Professor, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Abstract

Environmental risks associated with climate change, industrial activities, urbanization, resource exploitation, and ecological degradation have increased the need for reliable risk assessment approaches. Conventional environmental assessment methods can be constrained by limited datasets, uncertain environmental interactions, delayed monitoring, and difficulties in processing complex information. Advanced analytics provides opportunities to strengthen environmental risk assessment through artificial intelligence, machine learning, geographic information systems, remote sensing, Internet of Things technologies, predictive modeling, and large-scale environmental data analysis. This study examines environmental risk assessment models using advanced analytics, focusing on environmental monitoring, predictive risk modeling, spatial analysis, climate-related risk assessment, pollution detection, ecological vulnerability, and data-driven decision-making.

A qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design was adopted using secondary academic literature. The study analyzes how advanced analytical approaches can integrate environmental, climatic, geographic, and socioeconomic information to identify potential risks and support timely intervention. Machine learning models can identify complex relationships within environmental datasets, while remote sensing and GIS technologies can support spatial risk mapping and monitoring. Advanced analytics can also improve early-warning systems by identifying patterns associated with pollution events, extreme weather, ecosystem degradation, and environmental hazards.

Nevertheless, challenges include data quality, uncertainty, model interpretability, technological costs, interoperability, privacy concerns, and shortages of specialized analytical expertise. The study emphasizes integrated environmental risk frameworks combining advanced analytics with continuous monitoring, spatial intelligence, predictive modeling, and evidence-based environmental management. Future research should investigate explainable artificial intelligence, digital twins, real-time environmental intelligence, multimodal environmental data integration, climate-risk forecasting, and automated early-warning systems. Such developments can strengthen environmental decision-making and support more proactive approaches to environmental protection and risk reduction.

Keywords: Environmental Risk Assessment, Advanced Analytics, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Environmental Monitoring, Predictive Modeling, Geographic Information Systems, Climate Risk, Remote Sensing, Environmental Management.

1. Introduction

Environmental risks have become increasingly complex because of climate variability, industrialization, urban development, pollution, ecosystem degradation, and intensive resource utilization. Environmental systems involve interconnected physical, biological, climatic, and socioeconomic processes, making risk identification and prediction challenging. Effective environmental risk assessment requires reliable information about hazards, exposure, vulnerability, and potential consequences. Traditional assessment approaches remain important, but advanced analytical technologies provide additional capabilities for processing complex and continuously generated environmental information.

The growth of environmental data from satellites, sensors, monitoring stations, laboratories, geographic information systems, and connected devices has created new opportunities for data-driven risk assessment. Advanced analytics can process large and heterogeneous datasets to identify patterns, relationships, anomalies, and emerging risks. Artificial intelligence and machine learning can support predictive environmental modeling, while GIS and remote sensing can provide spatial information for identifying high-risk areas.

Environmental monitoring is increasingly being supported by real-time sensing technologies. IoT-enabled sensors can monitor air quality, water quality, temperature, soil conditions, radiation, and other environmental indicators. Continuous monitoring can provide timely information about changing environmental conditions. When integrated with advanced analytics, these data can support early detection of pollution events, ecological disturbances, extreme environmental conditions, and other hazards.

Climate change has further increased the importance of predictive environmental risk assessment. Extreme temperatures, floods, droughts, wildfires, storms, and changing precipitation patterns can create significant environmental and socioeconomic consequences. Advanced models can integrate historical observations, climate information, geographic variables, and other datasets to identify spatial and temporal risk patterns. Such capabilities can strengthen environmental planning and preparedness.

Despite technological progress, advanced environmental analytics faces challenges involving data quality, uncertainty, model transparency, computational requirements, interoperability, and specialist skills. Environmental predictions also contain uncertainty because natural systems are dynamic and influenced by multiple interacting variables. This study therefore examines environmental risk assessment models using advanced analytics, with emphasis on predictive modeling, environmental monitoring, spatial intelligence, climate risk, implementation challenges, and future research opportunities.

2. Objectives of the Study

2.1 Examination of Advanced Analytics for Environmental Risk Assessment

The first objective is to examine advanced analytical technologies used in environmental risk assessment, including artificial intelligence, machine learning, predictive analytics, remote sensing, GIS, IoT sensors, and big-data analytics. The study investigates how these technologies can process complex environmental datasets and identify patterns associated with pollution, ecological degradation, climate

hazards, and environmental vulnerability. Particular attention is given to their contribution to evidence-based environmental monitoring and risk identification.

The study also examines the application of predictive models for anticipating environmental risks. Machine-learning algorithms can analyze historical and real-time data to identify relationships between environmental variables and potential hazards. Predictive analytics can support early-warning systems by detecting patterns associated with floods, droughts, pollution events, and ecosystem changes. Integrating predictive models with monitoring systems can provide environmental managers with timely information for planning and intervention.

2.2 Assessment of Spatial and Predictive Environmental Modeling

The second objective is to assess the contribution of GIS, remote sensing, and spatial analytics to environmental risk assessment. Spatial technologies can integrate information about land use, vegetation, pollution, climate conditions, population distribution, and geographic characteristics. The study examines how spatial modeling can identify areas with higher environmental exposure or vulnerability and support environmental planning, monitoring, and resource management.

The study further evaluates predictive environmental models that integrate climatic, ecological, geographic, and socioeconomic variables. Advanced analytics can identify relationships among multiple risk factors and generate forecasts for changing environmental conditions. These models can support climate-risk assessment, pollution forecasting, ecosystem monitoring, and environmental vulnerability analysis. The objective emphasizes the importance of combining spatial intelligence with predictive analytics for comprehensive environmental risk assessment.

2.3 Identification of Implementation Challenges

The third objective is to identify challenges affecting the implementation of advanced analytics in environmental risk assessment. The study examines data availability, data quality, uncertainty, model interpretability, technological costs, computational requirements, interoperability, cybersecurity, and shortages of specialized analytical expertise. These factors can influence the reliability and practical usability of advanced environmental assessment systems.

The study also aims to identify approaches for improving the implementation of advanced environmental analytics. Particular attention is given to standardized environmental datasets, explainable AI, interoperable platforms, continuous monitoring, uncertainty analysis, interdisciplinary collaboration, and professional training. These approaches can strengthen the reliability and transparency of environmental risk models. The objective connects technological innovation with practical environmental management and evidence-based decision-making.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Artificial Intelligence and Environmental Risk Assessment

Reichstein et al. (2019) examined the role of deep learning in Earth system science and highlighted opportunities for processing large and complex environmental datasets. Machine-learning techniques can identify nonlinear relationships and patterns that may be difficult to capture using conventional approaches. Their application includes environmental prediction, climate analysis, and Earth

observation. The literature suggests that advanced analytics can complement established environmental models by providing additional capabilities for large-scale data interpretation.

Shen (2018) discussed the growing application of machine learning in hydrology and environmental prediction. Machine-learning methods can analyze relationships among hydrological variables and support prediction under complex environmental conditions. The literature indicates that data-driven models can contribute to forecasting water-related hazards and environmental changes. However, model performance depends on appropriate training data, variable selection, validation procedures, and careful interpretation of predictions.

3.2 Remote Sensing and Spatial Environmental Analysis

Wulder et al. (2019) examined the increasing availability and applications of satellite-based Earth observation data. Remote sensing provides extensive information about land cover, vegetation, environmental change, and natural processes. Such information can support environmental monitoring and spatial risk assessment. The literature indicates that combining satellite observations with GIS and advanced analytics can improve the ability to identify environmental changes across large geographic areas.

Goodchild (2007) examined the role of geographic information science in environmental analysis and highlighted the importance of spatial information for understanding geographic processes. GIS can integrate diverse environmental datasets and support visualization, spatial modeling, and decision-making. Spatial analysis can identify relationships between environmental hazards and exposed areas. The literature therefore supports GIS as an important component of advanced environmental risk assessment frameworks.

3.3 Climate and Environmental Prediction

Coumou and Rahmstorf (2012) examined the relationship between climate change and extreme weather events and emphasized the importance of understanding changing environmental risks. Climate-related changes can affect the frequency and intensity of certain hazards. Environmental risk assessment therefore requires models capable of considering temporal variability and changing baseline conditions. Advanced analytics can complement climate research by processing large datasets and identifying patterns associated with environmental changes.

Kumar et al. (2020) examined machine-learning applications in environmental and climate modeling and identified opportunities for improving prediction and analysis. Data-driven methods can process meteorological, geographic, and environmental information to support forecasting. The literature suggests that hybrid approaches combining physical knowledge with machine learning may provide useful capabilities for complex environmental systems. Appropriate validation and uncertainty assessment remain essential for reliable environmental predictions.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive and conceptual research design to examine environmental risk assessment models using advanced analytics. The study focused on artificial intelligence, machine

learning, remote sensing, GIS, IoT-based monitoring, predictive analytics, climate-risk modeling, and environmental data analysis.

The conceptual analysis examined relationships between advanced analytics, environmental monitoring, risk prediction, spatial assessment, vulnerability identification, and environmental decision-making.

4.2 Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, research publications, and established literature related to environmental risk assessment and advanced analytical technologies.

The literature focused on:

- Environmental risk assessment.
- Artificial intelligence.
- Machine learning.
- Predictive analytics.
- Remote sensing.
- Geographic information systems.
- Environmental IoT.
- Climate-risk modeling.
- Pollution monitoring.
- Ecological assessment.
- Spatial analytics.
- Environmental forecasting.
- Big-data analytics.
- Early-warning systems.
- Environmental decision-making.

4.3 Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed using thematic analysis. Major themes included predictive environmental modeling, spatial risk assessment, real-time monitoring, climate-risk analysis, pollution detection, and ecological vulnerability.

The analysis also considered challenges involving data quality, uncertainty, model interpretability, computational requirements, technological costs, interoperability, and specialist skills.

5. Analysis

5.1 Advanced Analytics for Environmental Monitoring

Advanced analytics can transform environmental monitoring by enabling large volumes of information to be processed rapidly. IoT sensors can continuously collect environmental information, while machine-learning models can analyze patterns and detect abnormal conditions. Remote sensing can provide extensive spatial information, enabling monitoring of environmental conditions across large geographic areas.

Table 1: Advanced Analytical Technologies in Environmental Risk Assessment

Technology	Environmental Application	Risk Assessment Contribution
Artificial Intelligence	Environmental prediction	Risk identification
Machine Learning	Pattern detection	Hazard forecasting
IoT Sensors	Real-time monitoring	Early detection
Remote Sensing	Earth observation	Spatial assessment
GIS	Risk mapping	Vulnerability identification
Big Data Analytics	Data integration	Complex analysis
Predictive Analytics	Hazard forecasting	Early warning
Deep Learning	Image analysis	Environmental classification
Cloud Computing	Data processing	Scalable analysis
Digital Twins	Environmental simulation	Scenario assessment

These technologies can support continuous environmental intelligence by combining information from multiple sources. Machine-learning models can identify unusual patterns in air quality, water conditions, temperature, vegetation, and other indicators. Automated analytics can reduce the time required to identify potential environmental risks and provide decision-makers with more timely information.

5.2 Spatial Risk Mapping and Environmental Vulnerability

Spatial analysis enables environmental risks to be examined according to geographic location. GIS platforms can combine environmental hazards with information about land use, population, infrastructure, ecosystems, and natural resources. This integration can support identification of areas with greater exposure or vulnerability.

Table 2: Spatial and Predictive Environmental Risk Applications

Application	Data Sources	Analytical Purpose
Flood Risk Mapping	Rainfall, elevation, rivers	Hazard identification
Air Pollution Mapping	Sensors, meteorological data	Pollution assessment
Wildfire	Satellite imagery, vegetation	Fire-risk analysis

Application	Data Sources	Analytical Purpose
Assessment		
Drought Monitoring	Soil, rainfall, vegetation	Vulnerability assessment
Water Quality Analysis	Sensors, laboratory data	Pollution detection
Land Degradation	Satellite imagery, GIS	Ecosystem assessment
Climate Risk Mapping	Climate and geographic data	Spatial forecasting
Coastal Risk Analysis	Elevation, sea-level data	Exposure assessment
Ecosystem Monitoring	Remote sensing	Ecological change
Urban Heat Analysis	Temperature, land cover	Heat-risk assessment

Spatial risk mapping can support environmental planning by identifying geographic patterns and high-risk areas. Combining GIS with machine learning can improve classification and prediction by analyzing multiple environmental variables simultaneously. However, spatial models require accurate geographic datasets and appropriate spatial resolution. Differences in data quality or temporal coverage can affect the reliability of risk maps.

5.3 Predictive Environmental Risk Modeling

Predictive analytics can support environmental risk management by estimating the likelihood or potential magnitude of future environmental events. Machine-learning models can use historical and real-time information to forecast environmental conditions, pollution levels, climate-related hazards, and ecological changes.

Predictive models can also contribute to early-warning systems. For example, environmental monitoring platforms can continuously analyze rainfall, temperature, river levels, air quality, or other indicators. When analytical models identify patterns associated with elevated risk, alerts can be generated for environmental managers and relevant stakeholders. Such systems can support proactive rather than purely reactive environmental management.

6. Discussion

6.1 Data-Driven Environmental Intelligence

Advanced analytics can improve environmental risk assessment by transforming large and heterogeneous datasets into actionable information. Environmental sensors, satellites, laboratories, and

monitoring stations generate information at different spatial and temporal scales. Analytical platforms can integrate these sources and identify patterns that may not be immediately apparent through conventional monitoring.

The effectiveness of data-driven environmental intelligence depends strongly on data quality and model validation. Missing observations, inconsistent measurements, sensor errors, and differences in geographic coverage can influence analytical results. Environmental organizations therefore require appropriate data-cleaning, validation, and quality-control procedures. Combining automated analytics with expert interpretation can improve the practical reliability of environmental risk assessments.

6.2 Spatial Analytics and Environmental Vulnerability

GIS and remote sensing provide important capabilities for understanding where environmental risks occur and which areas may be particularly vulnerable. Spatial models can integrate hazard information with population, infrastructure, land use, ecosystems, and other geographic variables. Such approaches can help environmental planners visualize risk distributions and identify areas requiring additional monitoring or intervention.

Advanced spatial analytics can further improve risk assessment by incorporating machine-learning techniques. Algorithms can classify satellite imagery, detect environmental changes, and identify relationships among multiple geographic variables. However, spatial models can be affected by differences in data resolution, geographic coverage, and temporal frequency. Consistent spatial datasets and appropriate validation procedures are therefore important for reliable environmental risk mapping.

6.3 Predictive Analytics and Early-Warning Systems

Predictive environmental models can strengthen risk management by providing information about potential future conditions. Machine-learning algorithms can process historical observations and current measurements to forecast pollution, hydrological conditions, ecological changes, and climate-related hazards. Forecasting capabilities can provide environmental managers with additional time to prepare appropriate responses.

Early-warning systems can become more effective when predictive analytics is combined with continuous environmental monitoring. Sensors can provide updated observations while analytical models continuously reassess environmental conditions. Nevertheless, predictive outputs contain uncertainty, particularly when environmental systems are influenced by multiple interacting processes. Risk communication should therefore clearly distinguish model predictions from observed conditions and include appropriate uncertainty information.

7. Challenges

7.1 Data Quality and Environmental Uncertainty

Environmental datasets can contain missing observations, measurement errors, inconsistent formats, and differences in spatial or temporal resolution. These limitations can affect the accuracy of advanced analytical models. Environmental monitoring networks may also have uneven geographic coverage, making it difficult to develop representative datasets for certain regions or ecosystems.

Environmental uncertainty creates another challenge because natural systems are dynamic and influenced by multiple interacting factors. A model trained on historical patterns may not always accurately represent future conditions.

Researchers and environmental organizations therefore need robust validation, uncertainty analysis, sensitivity testing, and periodic model updating. Transparent reporting of uncertainty can improve the responsible interpretation and application of analytical environmental risk assessments.

7.2 Model Interpretability and Technical Complexity

Advanced machine-learning models can provide strong predictive capabilities but may be difficult to interpret. Environmental decision-makers may require clear explanations of why a model identifies a particular area or condition as high risk. Limited interpretability can reduce trust and make it difficult to communicate analytical findings to policymakers, environmental professionals, and communities.

Technical complexity can also affect implementation. Environmental organizations may need specialized expertise in artificial intelligence, data science, GIS, remote sensing, and environmental science. Developing and maintaining advanced analytical infrastructure can require significant financial and technical resources. Explainable AI, interdisciplinary teams, standardized workflows, and professional training can help address these challenges and improve the usability of advanced environmental assessment systems.

7.3 Infrastructure, Interoperability, and Security

Advanced environmental monitoring requires sensors, communication networks, computing infrastructure, databases, and analytical platforms. Establishing and maintaining these systems can be challenging, especially in remote or resource-constrained environments. Equipment maintenance and network connectivity can affect the continuity of environmental monitoring.

Interoperability is another important issue because environmental datasets can originate from different agencies, sensors, satellites, laboratories, and research institutions. Incompatible formats and systems can limit data integration. Environmental platforms must also address cybersecurity and data protection. Standardized data structures, secure communication, interoperable platforms, and appropriate governance mechanisms can strengthen the reliability and sustainability of advanced environmental analytics.

8. Future Research

Future research should investigate explainable artificial intelligence models for environmental risk assessment. Researchers could develop analytical systems capable of providing understandable explanations for environmental predictions, risk classifications, and anomaly detections. Hybrid models combining machine learning with established environmental and physical models could also be examined. Such approaches may improve predictive performance while maintaining greater interpretability.

Research should evaluate these models across pollution monitoring, climate risk, hydrology, ecosystem assessment, and environmental hazard forecasting. Future studies should examine real-time environmental intelligence through integrated IoT, remote sensing, GIS, and cloud or edge-computing

infrastructures. Research could investigate multimodal systems that combine satellite imagery, sensor observations, meteorological information, geographic variables, and socioeconomic data. Digital twins could be developed for selected environmental systems to simulate possible changes and evaluate risk-management scenarios. Particular attention should be given to data interoperability, computational efficiency, uncertainty quantification, and continuous model updating.

Further research should explore advanced early-warning systems for climate-related and ecological hazards. AI-enabled platforms could integrate real-time environmental observations with predictive models to identify emerging risks and generate timely alerts. Research should examine how such systems can communicate uncertainty and support environmental decision-making without creating unnecessary alarm. Studies should also address accessibility, cybersecurity, governance, interdisciplinary collaboration, and capacity building. These areas can contribute to practical and responsible deployment of advanced environmental risk assessment systems.

9. Conclusion

Advanced analytics provides significant opportunities for improving environmental risk assessment by integrating artificial intelligence, machine learning, remote sensing, GIS, IoT sensors, predictive modeling, and large-scale environmental datasets. These technologies can support continuous monitoring, pattern identification, spatial analysis, and environmental forecasting. By processing information from multiple sources, advanced analytical systems can provide environmental managers with more detailed and timely information about changing environmental conditions.

Spatial intelligence is particularly important for understanding environmental exposure and vulnerability. GIS and remote sensing can identify geographic patterns associated with pollution, floods, droughts, wildfires, land degradation, and ecosystem changes. Machine-learning techniques can further improve environmental classification and prediction. However, spatial risk assessments require reliable datasets with appropriate geographic and temporal resolution to produce meaningful results.

Predictive analytics can strengthen environmental management by supporting early identification of potential hazards. AI and machine-learning models can analyze historical and real-time environmental information to forecast changes and identify unusual conditions. When connected with continuous monitoring systems, predictive analytics can contribute to early-warning mechanisms. Nevertheless, environmental predictions contain uncertainty, and risk assessments should communicate model limitations and uncertainty clearly.

Overall, effective environmental risk assessment requires integration of advanced analytical technologies with environmental expertise, reliable data, appropriate validation, and responsible decision-making. Future developments in explainable AI, environmental digital twins, multimodal data integration, real-time sensing, and intelligent early-warning systems can strengthen environmental intelligence. Such integrated approaches can support more proactive environmental management, improve risk preparedness, and contribute to evidence-based strategies for protecting ecosystems and reducing environmental vulnerabilities.

References

1. Kaikkonen, L., Parviainen, T., Rahikainen, M., Uusitalo, L., & Lehtikainen, A. (2021). Bayesian networks in environmental risk assessment: A review. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 17(1), 62–78. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4332>
2. Moe, S. J., Carriger, J. F., & Glendell, M. (2021). Increased use of Bayesian network models has improved environmental risk assessments. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 17(1), 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4369>
3. Fan, J., Huang, G., Chi, M., Shi, Y., Jiang, J., Feng, C., Yan, Z., & Xu, Z. (2021). Prediction of chemical reproductive toxicity to aquatic species using a machine learning model: An application in an ecological risk assessment of the Yangtze River, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 796, 148901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148901>
4. Li, X., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., & colleagues. (2021). Ecological risk assessment of heavy metal contamination of mining area soil based on land type changes: An information network environ analysis. *Ecological Modelling*, 455, 109633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109633>
5. Xu, M., Yang, H., & colleagues. (2022). In silico prediction of chemical aquatic toxicity by multiple machine learning and deep learning approaches. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.4354>
6. Kaikkonen, L., Parviainen, T., Rahikainen, M., Uusitalo, L., & Lehtikainen, A. (2021). Bayesian networks in environmental risk assessment: A review. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 17(1), 62–78. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4332>
7. Nguyen, T. T., Tran, T. H., & colleagues. (2022). Using Bayesian networks for environmental health risk assessment. *Environmental Research*, 204, 112059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112059>
8. Ghoroghi, A., Rezgui, Y., Petri, I., & Beach, T. (2022). Advances in application of machine learning to life cycle assessment: A literature review. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 27, 433–456. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-022-02030-3>
9. Scott-Fordsmand, J. J., & Amorim, M. J. B. (2023). Using machine learning to make nanomaterials sustainable. *Science of the Total Environment*, 859, 160303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160303>
10. Méndez, M., Merayo, M. G., & Núñez, M. (2023). Machine learning algorithms to forecast air quality: A survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 56, 10031–10066. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-023-10424-4>
11. Yang, S., Wang, Y., & colleagues. (2023). Advances and applications of machine learning and deep learning in environmental ecology and health. *Environmental Pollution*, 335, 122358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122358>
12. Xu, Y., Li, X., & colleagues. (2023). Current applications and future impact of machine learning in emerging contaminants: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 53(20), 1817–1835. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2023.2190313>
13. Zhang, Y., Wang, H., & colleagues. (2023). Review of machine learning-based surrogate models of groundwater contaminant modeling. *Environmental Research*, 238, 117268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.117268>

14. Wang, X., Chen, Y., & colleagues. (2023). Flood risk assessment by using an interpretative structural modeling based Bayesian network approach (ISM-BN): An urban-level analysis of Shenzhen, China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 329, 117040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117040>
15. Ukachukwu, M., Nnemeka, U., & Nnama, E. (2023). Application of machine learning to air pollution studies: A systematic review. *Journal of Energy Research and Reviews*, 15(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jenrr/2023/v15i2302>
16. Forio, M. A. E., Moltchanova, E., & Goethals, P. L. M. (2023). Editorial: Application of Bayesian modeling in environmental management. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 1128055. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1128055>
17. Li, W., & colleagues. (2024). Adapting machine learning for environmental spatial data: A review. *Ecological Informatics*, 81, 102634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2024.102634>
18. Zhang, Q., Wu, H., Ma, C., Wang, Y., & Zheng, X. (2024). Environmental risk assessment and management of nuclear power plants based on big data analysis. *Intelligent Decision Technologies*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.3233/IDT-240041>
19. Jin, X., Hou, L., Liu, N., & colleagues. (2024). Bridging the gap: Advancing ecological risk assessment from laboratory predictions to ecosystem reality. *Environmental Science & Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c10058>
20. Bouaboula, H., Chaouki, J., Belmabkhout, Y., & Zaabout, A. (2024). Comparative review of direct air capture technologies: From technical, commercial, economic, and environmental aspects. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 484, 149411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.149411>